

AGENDA SESSION
December 9, 2020 5:00 p.m.
Meeting Minutes

Vice Chairman Pemrick called the meeting to order and welcomed those in attendance.

Roll call was taken. PRESENT – Eric Connolly, Alan Grattidge, Philip C. Barrett, Jonathon Schopf, Richard Lucia, Preston Allen, Jean Raymond, Michael Smith, Daniel Pemrick, Arthur Wright, Kevin Tollisen, Darren O’Connor, Thomas Richardson, Benny Zlotnick, Theodore Kusnierz, Willard H. Peck, Sandra Winney, Thomas N. Wood, III, Tara N. Gaston, Matthew Veitch, Edward D. Kinowski, John Lawler, John Lant – 23. ABSENT - 0.

On a motion by Mr. Kinowski, seconded by Mr. Smith the minutes of the November 12, 2020 meeting were unanimously approved.

Mr. Pemrick asked for a motion to accept the agenda for the December 15, 2020 Board meeting as presented and approved by the Law and Finance Committee prior to this meeting. The motion was made by Mr. Kinowski and seconded by Mr. Wright. The agenda was accepted with all those in favor with the exception of Mr. Schopf who voted no.

On a motion by Mr. Allen, seconded by Mr. Kinowski, the meeting was unanimously adjourned.

Respectfully submitted,

Pamela Wright
Clerk of the Board

PROPOSED RESOLUTIONS

HEALTH & SOCIAL SERVICES

Authorizing major contracts with Frances Kelly, Jacob Myers and Amy Barnes for contact tracing services in amounts not to exceed \$78,000.

Amending Resolution 202 of 2020 to revise the source of grant funds from NYS Department of Health for the immunizations program.

HUMAN RESOURCES & INSURANCE

Authorize a 2-year renewal agreement with CorVel Corporation in the amount of \$340,790 to provide Administrative Services for the County’s Workers’ Compensation Plan.

Authorizing a \$50 stipend for Early Voting Inspectors to cover additional hours worked beyond the normal eight hour workday.

Authorize the appointment of Chad Cooke to the position of Commissioner of Public Works.

Authorize the temporary appointment of Hugh Burke to the position of Acting County Attorney.

PUBLIC SAFETY

Authorizing a 5-year agreement with Axon Enterprises Inc. in the amount of \$127,440 for storage of digital information relative to Taser testing and storage and dissemination of digital evidence files.

Authorizing a 2021 contract for police services with the Town of Clifton Park.

Authorizing the acceptance of the Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Program (STSJP) grant from the New York State Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) in an amount up to \$59,992 and to authorize the associated specialized provider contracts accordingly for the 2021 fiscal year.

Authorizing the acceptance of grant funds in the amount of \$22,033 for the Ignition Interlock Device (IID) Monitoring Program, which will partially reimburse the County for the Probation's Departments efforts in monitoring offenders with said IID devices, and includes a grant period of October 1, 2020 to September 30, 2021.

Authorizing a 5-year agreement with Spectrum Enterprises in the amount of \$560 per month and a one-time installation fee of \$500 for a fiber optic connection between Edinburg and 6010 County Farm Road in Ballston Spa.

Authorizing a 1 year agreement with Mission Critical Partners in the amount of \$63,200 for maintenance, technical support services, and on-site computer aided dispatch (CAD) 911 network support for the Capital Region CAD/E911 system.

Authorizing a rate increase from \$100 to \$225 per case for Deputy Coroners.

REAL PROPERTY TAX

Approving and confirming the Auction Sale of County Owned Lands acquired for unpaid taxes.

PUBLIC WORKS

Authorizing the implementation, and funding in the first instance 100% of the federal-aid and State "Marchiselli" Program-aid eligible costs, of a transportation federal-aid project, and appropriating funds for the Design and Right-of-Way Incidentals for the replacement of the Coons Crossing Road Bridge over Anthony Kill in the Town of Halfmoon for the amount of \$192,079.

BUILDINGS & GROUNDS

Approving a Local Law identified as A Local Law Establishing Rules and Regulations covering Parking on County owned and County Leased Property, and repealing Local Law No. 6 of 1978.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Forgiving \$225,000 overpayment made to the Saratoga County Prosperity Partnership.

Amending Resolution 252-2020 to establish 2020 funding level for the Saratoga County Prosperity Partnership at the greater of the amount set by Tax Law Section 1202-g(9) or \$450,000 inclusive of the amount set by Tax Law Section 1202-g(9).

Authorizing a logging revenue agreement with Prentiss and Carlisle Management Company for a timber harvest of County-owned parcels in the Town of Northumberland.

Authorization to levy amounts payable to the County Treasurer and the Town Supervisors.

Establish January 4, 2021 at 4 pm in the Board of Supervisors' Chambers as the date, time and place of the 2021 Organizational meeting of the Board of Supervisors.

Confirm the 2021 Scale of Charges for the Saratoga County Sewer District No.1.

Amending Resolution 111 of 2020 to authorize contracts with an additional 25 contact tracers and 5 Supervising contact tracers.

REGULAR MEETING
TUESDAY, December 15, 2020
AT 4:00 p.m., E.S.T.

Chairman Allen called the meeting to order and asked for the roll call.

Roll call was taken by Mrs. Connolly. PRESENT – Eric Connolly, Alan Grattidge, Philip C. Barrett, Jonathon Schopf, Richard Lucia, Preston Allen, Jean Raymond, Michael Smith, Daniel Pemrick, Kevin Tollisen, Darren O'Connor, Thomas Richardson, Benny Zlotnick, Theodore Kusnierz, Willard H. Peck, Sandra Winney, Thomas N. Wood, III, Tara Gaston, Matthew E. Veitch, - Edward D. Kinowski, John Lawler, John Lant - 22. ABSENT – Arthur M. Wright - 1.

Mr. Wood, Chaplain, said “Let us pray. Our heavenly father, as this year comes to a close, we recognize the great amount of hard work that has taken place this past year and we thank you for making possible the many accomplishments of this Board of Supervisors. Your guidance and direction has enabled us to stay focused and achieve our goals. With the holiday season upon us, help us to be ever mindful of those less fortunate, let us be generous in our thoughts and deeds, and remind us of the true meaning of the Holiday season. Enable us to take positive steps to make a difference and help others. Today with an ache in our hearts, we bid farewell to a fellow supervisor and friend Alan Grattidge who will not be returning with us next month. We will greatly miss him and thank him for his years of hard work, dedicated service, and contributions to Saratoga County. You will be long remembered and we hope that you will stay in touch. Finally heavenly father we ask that you protect all of the members of our armed services, and at this time of year, you give them and their families the extra strength needed to celebrate the holidays. In your name, we pray. Amen.”

Public Input:

Saratoga County Board of Supervisors,

Based on concerns raised by the Board of Supervisors involving communications with the Saratoga County CSEA union, there is an obvious need for our bargaining unit to clarify its position on this subject. Both prior to and throughout the COVID-19 pandemic, CSEA was never denied an opportunity to discuss and resolve any issues related to staffing levels and compensation. In fact, I have always enjoyed complete and open access to county officials well beyond the typical workweek and workday hours.

Over the past 10 months, we have always felt that our interaction with members of the negotiating committee, grievance committee, and upper management has been productive. However, there have been instances where we believe politically motivated statements and actions by some board members have resulted in unwarranted criticism and bad faith efforts to work through the various labor related issues that have come up this year. At one point, I was contacted by Ryan Mahan to participate in discussions with Supervisor Phil Barrett in an attempt to criticize County Administration.

I cannot speak for the other collective bargaining units but there was NEVER any breakdown of communications between CSEA and county officials. We have worked collaboratively with administration throughout the pandemic. I do take issue with misinterpretations Attorney Neidl made in his external report. I was never informed by Ryan Mahan that texts were being shared nor was I made aware by Attorney Neidl that he intended to wrongly interpret that exchange or CSEA communication flow with the County. Attorney Neidl interpretation of communication between CSEA and County Officials is completely inaccurate.

It should be noted that CSEA's reluctance to work with Ryan Mahan on employment issues was a direct result of Mr. Mahan's approach. That approach was to work with a handful of Northway Corridor supervisors who politicized staffing decisions implemented by the county and endorsed by CSEA. This political tone is not consistent with our ongoing efforts to represent the CSEA bargaining unit.

The Board of Supervisors needs to recognize and accept that I will never endorse or be a party to any politically motivated blame game or unwarranted character assassinations.



Scott Brackett, President CSEA Saratoga County Unit 8450

Date-December 14, 2020



December 14, 2020

Saratoga Board of Supervisors:

The United Public Service Employees Union (UPSEU) is the exclusive bargaining unit for the Saratoga County Corrections Officers. The Correction Officers have endorsed UPSEU and through a majority vote have selected union officers from our membership to have all labor related discussions with the Saratoga County Board of Supervisors and/or their designees.

Over the past year, concerns have been raised by our members regarding communications occurring outside the established labor bargaining channels that are not consistent with good faith negotiations. This letter is a formal request for the Board of Supervisors to address the concerns our unit has with any and all collective bargaining activities.

More specifically, a county employee who is not a member of our union, who was not part of the bargaining unit elected by the membership, was contacted by a county supervisor and told that Supervisor Phil Barrett wanted to speak with me regarding the contract renewal which had been tentatively approved by our union representatives, but not yet ratified by the Board of Supervisors. This employee went on to share additional details including Supervisor Barrett's desire to hold a press conference to publicly expose mistreatment of the union during negotiations which, based on my experience, was never the case.

Additionally, Ryan Mahan, the President of the County's PBA union communicated directly to me that Supervisor Barrett told him he had the votes to control the outcome of the board vote on the UPSEU contract. There was no need for additional discussions as we had worked out the terms of the renewal agreement.

Our union was well aware of the confrontational environment that existed this year amongst the board and we have no interest or willingness to be a party to any politically motivated actions by members of the board. We are concerned that the politically motivated actions will interfere and undermine our ability and desire to negotiate in good faith with the Board of Supervisors.

Saratoga Board of Supervisors
 December 14, 2020
 Page 2

In terms of the report prepared and submitted by E. Steward Jones Hacker Murphy, it is important to note that the text exchange between Ryan Mahan was completely taken out of context and is not an appropriate characterization of what transpired. During this pandemic, administration was working with us. There was never a time where administration was not open to UPSEU and frankly Ryan Mahan has not right to speak for us nor is he accurate.

At this time, UPSEU would ask the Board of Supervisors to recognize the established and legally approved channels for all labor related discussion going forward to avoid any events that would compromise labor relations between the Board of Supervisors and our union.

Brendan Beardsley
 President
 UPSEU Saratoga
 County Corrections Unit

The Clerk presented the following:

Letter from the Saratoga County Public Health Northway Corridor Task Force requesting the hiring and appointments of Departmental Leadership Positions be suspended until the Board's Organizational Meeting during the first week of January 2021.

Copy to All Supervisors

Letter from the Town of Clifton Park notifying the County of a Public Hearing on a 6-Month Moratorium.

Received and Filed.

Email communication from Rob Arrigo encouraging the Board to reject any attempt to provide a taxpayer funded bail out to the Saratoga Prosperity Partnership.

Copy to All Supervisors

REPORTS:

4. 2019/2020 Delinquent School Tax Report
5. 2019 Delinquent Village Tax Report
6. Summary Town Budget
7. Equalized Full Value
8. Real Property Report
9. Taxable Value

On a motion by Mr. Kinowski, seconded by Mr. Peck the reports were unanimously approved.

Mr. Wood said the 2020 Human Resource and Insurance Committee annual report has been completed and copies are placed in each Supervisors' mailbox. The year 2020 was the busiest year on record for the Committee. The Covid-19 pandemic created issues that have never in our history have come before the Committee. He said the committee successfully dealt with the greatest number, most complicated and complex issues ever to come before us. In addition, with thanks to the Negotiating Team, the Collective Bargaining agreements have been completed with the Sheriff's Officer Association and the PBA. The Committee worked on numerous Covid related staffing and other mandates. Numerous policies and procedures have been updated, interviewed and hired numerous personnel including recommending directors and commissioners. Hundreds of thousands of dollars have been saved in the Workers' Compensation premiums through careful management. Insurance policies have been renewed resulting in no premium increases. The Committee worked to update several civil service rules and regulations. All of the accomplishments of the Committee are as a result of hard work and dedication of the members of the HR Committee and the staff of the HR Department. He said he provided a certificate of appreciation to all HR members and thanked each of them and all of the HR staff for having a great year. He said thank you.

Ms. Gaston made a motion asking the Board not adjourn until there is a roll call vote. She said yet again she had been the victim of being gavelled out at the end of a meeting and would appreciate it if there could be a roll call vote so that individuals were not walking out of County business without the agreement of at least the majority of the Supervisors. Mr. Barrett seconded the motion. The motion passed by the following vote:

AYES (141124): Eric Connolly (9776), Philip C. Barrett (18352.5), Jonathon Schopf (18352.5), Preston Allen (856), Jean Raymond (1214), Michael Smith (3545), Daniel Pemrick (7775), Arthur M. Wright (2048), Kevin Tollisen (21535), Darren O'Connor (14765), Theodore Kusnierz (14728), Tara Gaston (13293), Matthew E. Veitch (13293), John Lant (16173)

NOES (78483): Alan Grattidge (4133), Richard Lucia (6531), Jean Raymond (1214), Michael Smith (3545), Daniel Pemrick (7775), Arthur M. Wright (2048), Thomas Richardson (5196), Benny Zlotnick (18575),

Willard H. Peck (5087), Sandra Winney (1995), Thomas N. Wood, III (5674), Edward D. Kinowski (8287), John Lawler (8423).

On a motion by Mr. Veitch, seconded by Mr. Kinowski Resolutions 259-262, 265-274, 277, 278, and 280 were adopted by a unanimous vote.

RESOLUTION 259 - 2020

Introduced by Supervisors Lucia, Connolly, Kusnierz, O'Connor, Winney, Wood and Zlotnick

AUTHORIZING CONTACT TRACING SERVICES AGREEMENTS WITH FRANCES J. KELLY, JACOB V. MYERS AND AMY BARNES

WHEREAS, pursuant to Resolution 111-2020, this Board authorized the County Administrator to execute up to fifty (50) minor contracts with individuals identified by Saratoga County Public Health Services as willing to serve and complete the required training to work as contact tracers on an as needed basis, at a rate of compensation of \$25.00 per hour; and

WHEREAS, the County entered into existing minor contracts with Frances J. Kelly dated October 16, 2020, Jacob V. Myers dated October 23, 2020 and Amy Barnes dated October 16, 2020 for contact tracer services to rapidly interview positive COVID-19 patients; identify their close contacts; interview and alert those contacts to the risk of infection; instruct the contacts to quarantine or isolate for 14 days and monitor those in quarantine or isolation to ensure their compliance and to ascertain if they are showing any symptoms of COVID-19; and

WHEREAS, as the cases of COVID-19 continue to rise, it is imperative to maintain the services of quality contractors to perform essential contact tracing services; and

WHEREAS, Frances J. Kelly has been a dependable contact tracer, her work has proven to be superior, and as she is a retired nurse administrator who taken a lead role on the COVID response team. Frances is available to perform contact tracing services full time; and

WHEREAS, Jacob V. Myers, a college student studying online for his degree in social services, has proven to be a valuable contact tracer and is available to perform contact tracing services on a full time basis; and

WHEREAS, Amy Barnes, an unemployed Licensed Practical Nurse whose experience has allowed her to take a lead role on the COVID response team, is available to perform contact tracing full time; and

WHEREAS, it is anticipated that the cost of services performed by Frances, Jacob and Amy will meet or exceed their minor contract limits of \$15,000 before the end of December, 2020; and

WHEREAS, our Health and Social Services Committee and Commissioner of Health have recommended that the County enter into new agreements with Frances J. Kelly, Jacob V. Myers and Amy Barnes for contact tracing services for a term of one year, commencing at the signing of said agreements, at the rate of \$25.00 per hour, for a maximum of 60 hours per week, with the total contract amount not to exceed the sum of \$78,000; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Resolution 201-2020, this Board accepted a New York State Department of Health grant administered by Health Research, Inc. that includes \$478,215 for temporary and contracted employees that are hired exclusively for COVID-19 response activities, which grant funds will be used to cover the cost of these three contact tracing agreements; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Chair of the Board is authorized to execute agreements with Frances J. Kelly of Saratoga Springs, New York, Jacob V. Myers of Ballston Spa, New York and Amy Barnes of Rock City Falls, New York for contact tracing services for a term of one year, commencing at the signing of said agreements, at the rate of \$25.00 per hour, for a maximum of 60 hours per week, with the total contract amount not to exceed the sum of \$78,000; and, be it further

RESOLVED, that the form and content of said agreements shall be subject to the approval of the County Attorney.

BUDGET IMPACT STATEMENT: None. Costs associated with this contract will be covered by a Health Research, Inc. grant.

Introduced by Supervisors Lucia, Connolly, Kusnierz, O'Connor, Winney, Wood and Zlotnick

AMENDING RESOLUTION 202-2020 TO REVISE THE PROGRAM FUNDING SOURCE OF THE \$49,601 IN GRANT FUNDING AWARDED BY THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH FROM THE IMMUNIZATION ACTION PLAN PROGRAM TO THE LHD SUPPORT FOR FLU AND COVID 19 RESPONSE PROGRAM

WHEREAS, Saratoga County Public Health Services administers various public health programs, which include the Children With Special Health Care Needs, Lead Poisoning Prevention, Rabies and Immunization Action Plan Programs; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Resolution 202-2020, this Board authorized the Chair of the Board to accept supplemental aid from the New York State Department of Health ("NYSDOH") in the amount \$49,601 for year three of a five (5) year Immunization Action Plan grant received from NYSDOH; and

WHEREAS, on November 21, 2020, Saratoga County Public Health Services was notified by NYSDOH that rather than providing the \$49,601 as supplemental aid under the Immunization Action Plan grant, a stand-alone contract for the same \$49,601 in funding would be issued under the program name of LHD Support for Flu and COVID 19 Response; and

WHEREAS, Public Health Services plans to utilize said grant funding for the purchase of equipment which will provide for proper storage and transportation of vaccines, and the purchase of promotional materials for the Local Health Department Support for Flu and COVID 19 Response program; which equipment and materials will include: the purchase of two trailers in order to conduct mass vaccinations at Points of Dispensing ("POD") locations; the purchase of educational materials for advertising on billboards and in newspaper ads; the printing and mailing of educational materials; and the purchase of additional Data Loggers for use at the POD locations to monitor the temperature of the vaccines; and

WHEREAS, Public Health Services also plans to utilize said grant funds to offset the salary of staff engaged in such vaccine outreach activities; and

WHEREAS, the acceptance of these LHD Support for Flu and COVID 19 Response grant funds requires our approval and an amendment to Resolution 202-2020; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that Resolution 202-2020 is hereby amended to revise the program source of the \$49,601 in grant funding awarded by the New York State Department of Health from supplemental aid under the Immunization Action Plan program to a stand-alone grant under the LHD Support for Flu and COVID 19 Response program; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Chair of the Board is hereby authorized to execute all documents necessary to apply for and accept the LHD Support for Flu and COVID 19 Response grant in the amount of \$49,601 from the New York State Department of Health.

BUDGET IMPACT STATEMENT: No budget impact.

RESOLUTION 261 - 2020

Introduced by Supervisors Wood, Grattidge, Lawler, Lucia, Peck, Winney and Wright

AUTHORIZING A RENEWAL AGREEMENT WITH CORVEL ENTERPRISE COMP, INC. TO PROVIDE ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES FOR THE COUNTY'S WORKERS' COMPENSATION PLAN

WHEREAS, pursuant to Resolution 122-2018, this Board authorized an agreement with CorVel Corporation to provide administrative services for the County's Workers' Compensation Plan for the term July 1, 2018 through December 31, 2020, at a cost not to exceed \$157,700 for the first year from July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019, \$162,280 for the second year from July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020, and \$81,140 for the remaining six months from July 1, 2020 through December 31, 2020; and

WHEREAS, CorVel Corporation is now known as CorVel Enterprise Comp, Inc.; and

WHEREAS, CorVel Enterprise Comp, Inc.; has done an outstanding job in providing administrative services for the County's Workers' Compensation Plan and has been able to control costs and handle claims quickly; and

WHEREAS, our Human Resources and Insurance Committee and the Director of Human Resources have recommended that the County's contract with CorVel Enterprise Comp, Inc. be renewed for a term of two (2) years, for the term January 1, 2021 through December 31, 2022, at a cost not to exceed \$340,790; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Chair of the Board is authorized to execute a renewal agreement with CorVel Enterprise Comp, Inc. for the provision of administrative services for the County's Workers' Compensation Plan for a term of two (2) years, for the term January 1, 2021 through December 31, 2022, at a cost not to exceed \$170,395 for each year of the two year agreement, for a total contract cost not to exceed \$340,790; and, be it further

RESOLVED, that the form and content of said renewal agreement shall be subject to the approval of the County Attorney.

BUDGET IMPACT STATEMENT: None. Funds for this agreement are included in the 2021 tentative budget.

RESOLUTION 262 - 2020

Introduced by the Human Resources Committee and Supervisor Gaston

AUTHORIZING THE PAYMENT OF A ONE-TIME STIPEND OF \$50 PER DAY TO EARLY VOTING ELECTION INSPECTORS

WHEREAS, Chapter 6 of the Laws of 2019 of the State of New York added a new Title 6 to Article 8 of the Election Law of the State of New York mandating early voting in most elections in New York State, with voting to commence on the tenth day prior to the election date and terminate on the second day prior to the election date; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Resolution 231-2019, this Board authorized the amendment of the 2019 Saratoga County Compensation Schedule under "Election Board" to create twenty-four (24) Election Inspector positions for Early Voting at a compensation rate of \$125 per day; and

WHEREAS, due to unprecedented interest in this year's Presidential Election and the desire of many voters to avoid long lines on Election Day, Saratoga County's three early voting polling sites experienced a substantial increase in the number of voters participating in early voting; and

WHEREAS, due to substantial lines that were forming an hour before the scheduled opening of the polls, our early voting election inspectors were required to come in early to open the polling sites in order to lessen wait times throughout the day; and

WHEREAS, due to substantial lines being in place at the scheduled closing times of the polling sites, the election inspectors were required to work late because by law every voter in line at a poll site's closing time must be allowed to vote; and

WHEREAS, our Commissioners of Election have recommended that all early voting election inspectors be appropriately compensated for the additional time they were required to work the early voting poll sites; and

WHEREAS, based on the \$125 per day rate established by Resolution 231-2019, early voting election inspectors are compensated at the rate of \$15.63 per hour for a normal 8 hour work day; and

WHEREAS, our Commissioners of Election have estimated that our early voting inspectors worked an additional 3.25 hours per shift, and have therefore recommended that all early voting election inspectors be paid a stipend of \$50 per day for the additional hours they worked; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that this Board hereby authorizes the payment of one-time \$50 per day stipend for each day worked to all election inspectors who worked the County's early voting polling sites; and be it further

RESOLVED, that this Board wishes to express its deep gratitude and appreciation to all early voting election inspectors for their hard work, diligence and dedication in service to all voters who exercised their right to vote early.

BUDGET IMPACT STATEMENT: No budget impact.

RESOLUTION 265 - 2020

Introduced by Supervisors Peck, Lant, Lawler, O'Connor, Raymond, Veitch and Wright

AUTHORIZING A DIGITAL EVIDENCE STORAGE SYSTEM LICENSE AGREEMENT WITH AXON ENTERPRISE, INC. FOR THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

WHEREAS, law enforcement personnel of the Saratoga County Sheriff's Department have for several years utilized Taser brand Conducted Energy Weapons ("CEWs") from Axon Enterprise, Inc. ("Axon") as a form of less-than-lethal force, using funds included in the Department's annual budget; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Resolution 90-2019, this Board authorized an agreement with Axon for the purchase of Taser CEW equipment, supplies, licenses, training and maintenance services, inclusive of warranties on all equipment, for a term of five (5) years commencing on April 1, 2019 and terminating on March 31, 2024, at a cost of: i) \$18,003.60 for the term April 1, 2019 through March 31, 2020; and ii) \$43,200 per year for four (4) years beginning April 1, 2020 and continuing through March 31, 2024; and

WHEREAS, at the request of the Sheriff's Department, Axon submitted a proposal to the Sheriff's Department for the purchase of an Axon/Evidence.com license for storage of digital information relative to Taser testing and use as well as for storing and disseminating digital evidence files for a five-year period at their current rate of \$25,488 per year for each of the five years of the agreement; and

WHEREAS, Axon Enterprise, Inc. is a sole source vendor for the purchase of Taser brand CEWs; and

WHEREAS, our Public Safety Committee and Sheriff Michael Zurlo have recommended that the proposal of Axon Enterprise, Inc. be accepted; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Chair of the Board and/or Sheriff Zurlo are hereby authorized to execute an agreement with Axon Enterprise, Inc. of Scottsdale, Arizona, for the purchase of an Axon/ Evidence.com license for the storage of digital information relative to Taser testing and use as well as for storing and disseminating digital evidence files for a term commencing on November 1, 2020 and continuing for five (5) years, at cost not to exceed \$25,488 per year for each of the five years of the agreement, with the total contract not to exceed the sum of \$127,440; and, be it further

RESOLVED, that the form and content of such agreement shall be subject to the approval of the County Attorney.

BUDGET IMPACT STATEMENT: Funds for the first year of this agreement are included in the 2021 tentative budget.

Mr. Wood made a motion to waive the reading of the following resolutions 264, 266, 267, 271, 272, 273, 277, 278, 280. Mr. Lucia seconded the motion. By a voice vote, with Mr. Barrett voting no, the motion passed.

RESOLUTION 266 - 2020

Introduced by Supervisors Peck, Lant, Lawler, O'Connor, Raymond, Veitch and Wright

AUTHORIZING A 2021 CONTRACT FOR POLICE SERVICES WITH THE TOWN OF CLIFTON PARK

WHEREAS, Resolution 283-2019 last authorized a contract with the Town of Clifton Park for additional police services to be provided by the Sheriff's Department; and

WHEREAS, the Town wishes to extend its contract; and

WHEREAS, the proposed contract includes reimbursement by the Town for all County expenses including salaries, benefits, training, all transportation expenses and patrol cars; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Chair of the Board is authorized to execute the following contract with the Town of Clifton Park for the indicated police services in 2021, at a cost of \$584,519.96, with the form and content of such contract being subject to the approval of the County Attorney and the Sheriff:

<u>CONTRACT WITH</u>	<u>TIME OF SERVICES</u>	<u># OF PATROLS</u>	<u>PLACE OF SERVICES</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Clifton Park	Mon-Sun 8:00 a.m. - 4:00 p.m.	2	entire Town of	\$584,519.96
	4:00 p.m. - 12:00 a.m.	2	Clifton Park	

and; be it further

RESOLVED, that the Sheriff's Department shall provide such additional police services to the Town of Clifton Park for added patrols or special detail assignments as shall be determined by the Sheriff, the cost of which services shall be included within the stated contract amount; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Sheriff shall have the right to modify the foregoing schedule based upon the changing needs of law enforcement in the Town.

BUDGET IMPACT STATEMENT: No budget impact.

RESOLUTION 267- 2020

Introduced by Supervisors Peck, Lant, Lawler, O'Connor, Raymond, Veitch and Wright

AUTHORIZING ACCEPTANCE OF A NEW YORK STATE SUPERVISION AND TREATMENT SERVICES FOR JUVENILES PROGRAM (STSJP) GRANT, AND AUTHORIZING PROVIDER CONTRACTS THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2021

WHEREAS, the County's Department of Social Services and Probation Department are eligible for a grant from the New York State Office of Children and Family Services directed to reduce juvenile detention usage and encourage alternatives to detention and placement, known as the Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Program (STSJP) grant; and

WHEREAS, the County has made application for an STSJP grant in an amount up to \$59,992 to cover the State's 62% share of the costs associated with clients who are not fully reimbursed under the Flexible Fund for Family Services (FFFS) program, with the remaining 38% of those costs to be funded by the County; and

WHEREAS, said grant funds, if received, would be accepted by the Department of Social Services and passed through to the Probation Department along with the County's matching funds; and

WHEREAS, the Probation Department proposes to utilize said STSJP grant and matching funds to:

- 1) contract with Berkshire Farm Center and Services for Youth, Inc. to provide intensive family-based programming to reduce and prevent juvenile detention through Berkshire Farm's Stepping Stones Program at a cost not to exceed \$167,054;
- 2) enter into a minor contract with KMG Monitoring Service to provide electronic monitoring of youth diverted from detention at an annual cost not to exceed \$15,000; and
- 3) enter into a minor contract with CAPTAIN Community Human Services, Inc. to provide residential respite services for eligible youth to prevent detention at an annual cost not to exceed \$500; and

WHEREAS, the contract period for the foregoing contracts shall run from January 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Chair of the Board and/or the County Commissioner of Social Services execute any and all documents necessary to apply for and accept a Supervision and Treatment Services for Juvenile Program (STSJP) grant in an amount up to \$59,992 to reduce juvenile detention usage and encourage alternatives to detention and placement; and, be it further

RESOLVED, that the Chair of the Board is further authorized to execute a contract with Berkshire Farm Center and Services for Youth, Inc. of Canaan, New York, for the provision of intensive family-based programming to reduce and prevent juvenile detention for the term January 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021, at a sum not to exceed \$167,054, with the form and content of said contract being subject to the approval of the County Attorney; and, be it further

RESOLVED, that the County Administrator is hereby authorized to execute a minor contract with KMG Monitoring Services to provide electronic monitoring services of youth diverted from detention for the term January 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021, at an annual cost not to exceed \$15,000, with the form and content of such minor contract being subject to the approval of the County Attorney; and, be it further

RESOLVED, that the County Administrator is further authorized to execute a minor contract with CAPTAIN Community Human Services, Inc. of Clifton Park, New York, for the provision of residential respite services for eligible youth to prevent detention for the term January 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021, at an annual cost not to exceed \$500, with the form and content of such minor contract being subject to the approval of the County Attorney.

BUDGET IMPACT STATEMENT: None. Funding to administer these contracts is budgeted in the DSS budget and will be passed through from DSS to Probation.

RESOLUTION 268 - 2020

Introduced by Supervisors Peck, Lant, Lawler, O'Connor, Raymond, Veitch and Wright

AUTHORIZING THE CHAIR TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH THE NEW YORK STATE DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES TO ACCEPT STATE AID FOR REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES RELATED TO THE IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE MONITORING PROGRAM

WHEREAS, additional funds are available from the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services for reimbursement of expenses related to the monitoring of court ordered participants in the ignition interlock device program; and

WHEREAS, a State Aid grant in an amount not to exceed \$22,033 is available from the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services for the purpose of assisting the Probation Department with the cost of supervising and monitoring probationers using the ignition interlock device during the period from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021; and

WHEREAS, the acceptance of this grant requires our approval; now therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Chair of the Board and/or the County Administrator execute all documents necessary to apply for and accept a New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services grant in an amount not to exceed \$22,033 to reimburse the Probation Department for expenses incurred in supervising and monitoring its probationers using ignition interlock devices during the period from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021.

BUDGET IMPACT STATEMENT: No budget impact.

RESOLUTION 269 - 2020

Introduced by Supervisors Peck, Lant, Lawler, O'Connor, Raymond, and Wright

AUTHORIZING AN AGREEMENT WITH SPECTRUM ENTERPRISE FOR THE INSTALLATION OF A FIBER OPTIC COMMUNICATION LINE CONNECTING THE FRAKER MOUNTAIN RADIO TOWER SITE TO THE SARATOGA COUNTY 911 CALL CENTER

WHEREAS, as part of the County's Emergency Radio System, the County constructed a radio communications tower on County lands located on Fraker Mountain at 393 Military Road in the Town of Edinburg; and

WHEREAS, the Saratoga County Office of Emergency Services wishes to replace the outdated T1 copper line connection from the Fraker Mountain Communications Tower to the County's 911 Call Center on County Farm Road in Milton with a much more reliable fiber connection to be provided by and through Spectrum Enterprise for the transport of essential 800 MHz radio traffic; and

WHEREAS, Spectrum Enterprise is an authorized State contract provider, and will provide the following services at State contract pricing: 1) install 20 Mbps point-to-point fiber connection between the County's Fraker Mountain Communications Tower in the Town of Edinburg and the County's 911 Call Center in the Town of Milton at a cost of \$500; and 2) provide communication services through said line at a cost of \$560 per month; for a term of five (5) years commencing on January 31, 2021; and

WHEREAS, our Public Safety Committee and the Commissioner of the Saratoga County Office of Emergency Services have recommended that this Board authorize an agreement Spectrum Enterprise for the foregoing services at the State contract pricing; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Chair of the Board is hereby authorized to execute any necessary agreements with Spectrum Enterprise of Latham, New York, for: 1) the installation of 20 Mbps point-to-point fiber connection between the County's Fraker Mountain Communications Tower in the Town of Edinburg and the County's 911 Call Center in the Town of Milton at a cost of \$500; and 2) the provision of communication services through said line at a cost of \$560 per month; for a term of five (5) years commencing January 31, 2021; and, be it further

RESOLVED, that the form and content of such agreements shall be subject to the approval of the County Attorney.

BUDGET IMPACT STATEMENT: Funds for this agreement are included in the 2021 tentative budget

RESOLUTION 270 - 2020

Introduced by Supervisors Peck, Lant, Lawler, O'Connor, Raymond, Veitch and Wright

AUTHORIZING THE CHAIRMAN TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH MISSION CRITICAL PARTNERS, LLC FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE CAPITAL DISTRICT COMPUTER-AIDED DISPATCH SYSTEM

WHEREAS, pursuant to Resolution 245-2015, as amended by Resolution 112-2016, this Board of Supervisors authorized the County of Saratoga to enter into an agreement with the Counties of Albany and Rensselaer to establish a shared interoperable 9-1-1 Telephone, Computer Aided Dispatch, and Records Management Network; and

WHEREAS, the three counties have constructed the shared interoperable 9-1-1 Telephone, Computer Aided Dispatch, and Records Management Network, which is more commonly referred to as the Capital District CAD System; and

WHEREAS, each of the three counties is in need of technical support services and on-site computer-aided dispatch (CAD) network support for the portion of the Capital District CAD System infrastructure constructed within its boundaries; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Resolution 28-2020, this Board authorized an agreement with Mission Critical Partners, LLC for the provision of technical support services and on-site computer-aided dispatch network support for the portion of the Capital District CAD System constructed within Saratoga County, for a term of one (1) year at a cost of \$5,267 per month, with total costs not to exceed \$63,200; and

WHEREAS, said agreement with Mission Critical Partners, LLC is set to expire on December 31, 2020; and

WHEREAS, Mission Critical Partners has submitted a proposal for the renewal of the agreement for the provision of technical support services, on-site computer-aided dispatch network support and Records Management System (RMS) network support for the portion of the Capital District CAD System constructed within Saratoga County at the same rate of \$5,267 per month for the term January 1, 2021 to December 31, 2021; and

WHEREAS, our Public Safety Committee and the Commissioner of Emergency Services have recommended that the proposal of Mission Critical Partners, LLC be accepted; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Chair of the Board is authorized to execute an agreement with Mission Critical Partners, LLC of Port Matilda, Pennsylvania, for the provision of technical support services, on-site computer-aided dispatch network support and Records Management System network support for the portion of the Capital District CAD System constructed within Saratoga County for the term January 1, 2021 to December 31, 2021, at the rate of \$5,267 per month, with total cost not to exceed \$63,200; and, be it further

RESOLVED, that the form and content of such agreement shall be subject to the approval of the County Attorney.

BUDGET IMPACT STATEMENT: No budget impact.

RESOLUTION 271 - 2020

Introduced by Supervisors Peck, Lant, Lawler, O'Connor, Raymond, Veitch and Wright

AUTHORIZING AN AMENDMENT TO THE CONTRACTS WITH THE DEPUTY COUNTY CORONERS TO INCREASE THEIR RATE OF COMPENSATION PER CASE, AND AMENDING THE 2021 COUNTY BUDGET IN RELATION THERETO

WHEREAS, pursuant to Resolution 121-2015, Anthony Perniciaro of the Town of Stillwater was appointed as a Deputy Coroner of the County of Saratoga at the contract rate of \$100 per case plus mileage; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Resolution 43-2017, Eugene LaDue of the Town of Malta was appointed as a Deputy Coroner of the County of Saratoga at the contract rate of \$100 per case plus mileage; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Resolution 204-2020, Robert Ball of the Town of Ballston and Danielle M. Jourdan of the City of Saratoga Springs were appointed as Deputy Coroners of the County of Saratoga at the contract rate of \$100 per case plus mileage; and

WHEREAS, our Deputy County Coroners are responsible for covering our part-time elected County Coroners when the Coroners are unavailable due to work commitments at their full-time jobs; vacations, illness; personal appointments and family matters; and

WHEREAS, the Deputy County Coroners are required to be on-call and available to respond to requests for their services, but do not get paid for that on-call time unless they are actually called to render services outside their homes; and

WHEREAS, the Deputy County Coroners are responsible for attending autopsies in their assigned cases, which autopsies are usually not conducted until several days after their coverage date when they first became involved with decedent’s case; and

WHEREAS, there has not been an increase in the rate of compensation paid to our Deputy County Coroners since the contract rate of \$100 per case plus mileage was originally established in June 2015; and

WHEREAS, our Public Safety Committee and the County Coroners have recommended that the contract rate of compensation for our Deputy County Coroners be increased to \$225 per case without mileage in order to adequately compensate the Deputy County Coroners for the increased time they are spending performing their duties; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the contract rate of compensation paid to our Deputy County Coroners is hereby increased from \$100 per case, plus mileage, to \$225 per case without mileage, effective as of January 1, 2021; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Chair of the Board is hereby authorized to execute amendments to the agreements with Deputy County Coroners Anthony Perniciaro, Eugene LaDue, Robert Ball, and Danielle M. Jourdan providing for an increase in their rate of compensation to \$225 per case, without mileage, effective as of January 1, 2021, with the form and content of such amendments to be subject to the approval of the County Attorney; and be it further

RESOLVED, the 2021 County Budget is hereby amended as follows:

CORONERS

Appropriations:

Increase Acct. A.27.000-8128 Misc Medical Services	\$30,000
--	----------

Revenues:

Increase Acct. A.0599.B Appropriated Fund Balance	\$30,000
---	----------

BUDGET IMPACT STATEMENT: This budget amendment will require an appropriation from fund balance in the amount of \$30,000.

RESOLUTION 272 - 2020

Introduced by Supervisors Winney, Connolly, Gaston, Lant, Schopf, Wood and Zlotnick

APPROVING AND CONFIRMING THE AUCTION SALE OF COUNTY-OWNED LANDS ACQUIRED FOR UNPAID TAXES

WHEREAS, pursuant to Resolution 166-96, this Board, by its Real Property Tax Committee, did offer for sale by and through an on-line auction from 10:00 AM on November 17, 2020 through 10:00 AM on December 2, 2020 certain parcels of land in several Towns and Villages within the County, which parcels are more particularly described below; and

WHEREAS, the persons and entities named below were the highest responsible bidders for each parcel; and

WHEREAS, the Committee finds that the return of these properties to the tax rolls is in the best interest of County residents; and

WHEREAS, all proposed conveyances of County lands require the approval of this Board; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the following proposed conveyances of County lands to the following bidders at said auction conducted from November 17, 2020 to December 2, 2020 are hereby approved and confirmed; and, be it further

RESOLVED, that the Chairman of the Board convey the following lands to the following parties upon their payments of the indicated amount and the deed recording fees by cash or certified bank check payable to the Saratoga County Treasurer by no later than January 15, 2021 at 4:00 pm:

HIGH BIDDER	TOWN	S/B/L	FORMER OWNER	AMOUNT
Maison De L'amour Mobile Restaurant and Catering Services, LLC 491 South Pearl St. Albany, NY 12202	Clifton Park	263.-2-23.161	Kevin L. LeClair Jr.	\$ 3,050.00
TriCity Associates LLC 65 Rifle Range Rd. Albany, NY 12205	Clifton Park	272.9-1-35	Stephan Kirk	\$ 760.00
Jehan Z. Gondal 2145 Ocean Ave., Apt. B3 Brooklyn, NY 11229	Clifton Park	289.37-2-1	Marjorie H. Martin	\$ 3,500.00
Mohammad L. Mustafa 6049 Devlin Ave. Niagara Falls, NY 14304	Corinth V/Corinth	74.22-1-27.2	Marjorie H. Martin	\$ 155.00
John M. DeLisle 322 Grange Hall Rd. Schuylerville, NY 12871	Corinth	86.-1-8.11	Samuel H. Deloriea	\$ 34,600.00
Michael S. Kimmel 487 13 th St. Brooklyn, NY 11215	Corinth	86.-1-38	Andrew White	\$ 65,100.00
Donald W. Sawyer 15 Troy Pl. Niskayuna, NY 12309	Corinth	86.2-1-21	John A. Shambo	\$ 45,500.00
Todd W. Morrow 709 Main St. Corinth, NY 12822	Corinth	87.-1-15.2	Ronald E. Hintz	\$ 46,300.00
Wendy M. St. Aubin 471 County Hwy 107 Johnstown, NY 12095	Edinburg	29.-1-12	Susan Hendler	\$ 910.00
Thomas W. Gan 4522 Longfellow Court Doylestown, PA 18902	Edinburg	68.9-1-64.2	Frank L. Verity	\$ 80,100.00
Steven K. Spory II 166 South St. Glens Falls, NY 12801	Galway	185.-2-13.11	Edward Hermance	\$ 52.50
Frank W. Hoerauf Jr. 1080 Hicksville Rd. Seaford, NY 11783	Galway	185.15-3-41	Wayne G. Roberts	\$ 67,600.00

James G. Doyle 175 Church St. Saratoga Springs, NY 12866	Greenfield	125.-2-24.32	Louis P. Masse	\$ 36,900.00
Ethan H. Winter 148 East Ave. Saratoga Springs, NY 12866	Greenfield	126.-1-24.2	Tara L. Prime	\$ 13,600.00
Riley T. Walz 98 Eastern Ave. Ballston Spa, NY 12020	Halfmoon	251.-1-3	Tara L. Prime	\$ 310.00
Cassandra M. Sweeney 2 Avenue G Mechanicville, NY 12118	Halfmoon	266.12-1-9.8	Arna M. Thibeau	\$ 77.50
Cassandra M. Sweeney 2 Avenue G. Mechanicville, NY 12118	Malta	240.18-2-97	Country Club Acres, Inc.	\$ 52.50
TriCity Associates LLC 65 Rifle Range Rd. Albany, NY 12205	Milton	188.-2-10	Estate of James Doherty	\$ 46,100.00
Smart Home Holdings, LLC 648 Lake Ave. V/South Glens Saratoga Springs, NY 12866	Moreau Falls	37.54-2-65.1	Lisa M. LaCross	\$ 62,400.00
Smart Home Holdings, LLC 648 Lake Ave. Saratoga Springs, NY 12866	Northumberland	130.-1-28	Henry C. Peck	\$ 38,100.00
Matthew C. Whitney 251 Centerline Rd. Middle Grove, NY 12850	Providence	134.20-6-2	Fred L. Stewart	\$ 91,800.00
Cadco Holdings LLC 2174 Hewlett Ave., Suite 210B Merrick, NY 11566	Saratoga V/Victory	170.30-2-2	Steven Conklin	\$ 35,300.00
Jehan Z. Gondal 2145 Ocean Ave., Apt. B3 Brooklyn, NY 11229	Stillwater	233.-1-32	William W. Alwell	\$ 148,200.00
Regina A. Wilson 30 Doten Ave. Saratoga Springs, NY 12866	Stillwater	253.17-1-20	Kevin L. LeClair Jr.	\$ 1,775.00
Michael J. Zaremski 300 Hudson Ave. Mechanicville, NY 12118	Stillwater	253.18-1-74	Frederick Dankulich	\$ 55,400.00
Firehouse Enterprises, LLC 22 Tarragon Terrace Halfmoon, NY 12065	Waterford V/Waterford	291.79-3-16	Jason Ruggiero	\$ 6,300.00

Regina A. Wilson
30 Doten Ave.
Saratoga Springs, NY 12866

Wilton

127.7-1-11

Carl Hammond

\$ 105.00

BUDGET IMPACT STATEMENT: The total revenue of \$884,047.50 represents a profit of \$711,001.98 over the foreclosed delinquent taxes.

RESOLUTION 273 - 2020

Introduced by Supervisors Grattidge, Barrett, Lucia, O'Connor, Raymond, Smith and Tollisen

AUTHORIZING THE IMPLEMENTATION, AND FUNDING IN THE FIRST INSTANCE OF 100% OF THE FEDERAL-AID AND STATE "MARCHISELLI" PROGRAM-AID ELIGIBLE COSTS, OF A TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL-AID PROJECT, AND APPROPRIATING FUNDS THEREFOR

WHEREAS, a Project BIN 2202750, Coons Crossing Road over Anthony Kill, Bridge Replacement, Town of Halfmoon, Saratoga County, PIN 1761.78 (the "Project") is eligible for funding under Title 23 U.S. Code, as amended, that calls for the apportionment of the costs of such program to be borne at the ratio of 80% Federal funds and 20% non-federal funds.

WHEREAS, the County of Saratoga desires to advance the Project by making a commitment of 100% of the non-federal share of the costs of Design and ROW Incidentals;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Saratoga County Board of Supervisors duly convened does hereby

RESOLVE, that the Saratoga County Board of Supervisors hereby approves the above-subject Project; and it is hereby further

RESOLVED, that the Saratoga County Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes the County of Saratoga to pay in the first instance 100% of the federal and non-federal share of the cost of Design and ROW Incidentals work for the Project or portions thereof; and it is further

RESOLVED, that the sum of \$192,079.00 is hereby appropriated from the County's Highway Fund and made available to cover the cost of participation in the Design and ROW Incidentals phase of the Project; and, be it further

RESOLVED, that in the event the full federal and non-federal share costs of the project exceeds the amount appropriated above, the Saratoga County Board of Supervisors shall convene as soon as possible to appropriate said excess amount immediately upon the notification by the New York State Department of Transportation thereof; and it is further

RESOLVED, that the Chairman of the Saratoga County Board of Supervisors be and is hereby authorized to execute all necessary Agreements, certifications or reimbursement requests for Federal Aid and/or Marchiselli Aid on behalf of the County of Saratoga with the New York State Department of Transportation in connection with the advancement or approval of the Project and providing for the administration of the Project and the municipality's first instance funding of project costs and permanent funding of the local share of federal-aid and state-aid eligible Project costs and all Project costs within appropriations therefore that are not so eligible; and it is further

RESOLVED, that a certified copy of this resolution be filed with the New York State Commissioner of Transportation by attaching it to any necessary Agreement in connection with the Project; and it is further

RESOLVED, this Resolution shall take effect immediately.

BUDGET IMPACT STATEMENT: None. The \$9,604 County share for this project is available within the budget.

RESOLUTION 274 - 2020

Introduced by Supervisors Veitch, Grattidge, Kinowski, Peck, Smith, Wood and Zlotnick

ADOPTING A LOCAL LAW IDENTIFIED AS INTRODUCTORY NO. 2 OF 2020, PRINT NO. 1, ENTITLED "A LOCAL LAW ESTABLISHING RULES AND REGULATIONS COVERING PARKING

ON COUNTY-OWNED AND COUNTY-LEASED PROPERTY, AND REPEALING LOCAL LAW NO. 6 OF 1978”

WHEREAS, Resolution 236-2020 introduced and presented a proposed Local Law identified as Introductory No. 2, Print No. 1 of 2020, entitled “A Local Law Establishing Rules and Regulations Covering Parking on County-Owned and County-Leased Property, and Repealing Local Law No. 6 of 1978” to this Board of Supervisors and scheduled a public hearing thereon for December 9, 2020 at 4:25 PM in the Meeting Room of the Saratoga County Board of Supervisors, 40 McMaster Street, Ballston Spa, New York; and

WHEREAS, notice of that public hearing was duly published and posted as required by law; and

WHEREAS, the scheduled public hearing was held and all persons desiring to be heard submitted written comments by email or written correspondence to this Board; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that this Board of Supervisors, on this 15th day of December, 2020, hereby adopts a Local Law identified as Introductory No. 2, Print No. 1 of 2020, entitled “A Local Law Establishing Rules and Regulations Covering Parking on County-Owned and County-Leased Property, and Repealing Local Law No. 6 of 1978”, as set forth in the annexed Schedule A, which once filed with the New York Secretary of State shall be known as Local Law No. 3 of 2020.

BUDGET IMPACT STATEMENT: No budget impact.

SCHEDULE A

INTRODUCTORY NO. 2 OF 2020

PRINT NO. 1

INTRODUCED BY: Supervisors Veitch, Grattidge, Kinowski, Peck, Smith, Wood and Zlotnick

COUNTY OF SARATOGA LOCAL LAW NO. 3 OF 2020

A LOCAL LAW ESTABLISHING RULES AND REGULATIONS COVERING PARKING ON COUNTY-OWNED AND COUNTY-LEASED PROPERTY, AND REPEALING LOCAL LAW NO. 6 OF 1978

BE IT ENACTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Saratoga as follows:

SECTION 1. Title. This Local Law shall be known as “A Local Law Establishing Rules and Regulations Covering Parking on County-Owned and County-Leased Property, and Repealing Local Law No. 6 of 1978”.

SECTION 2. Definitions.

A. The following words when used in this local law, shall have the meanings ascribed to them except in those instances where the context clearly indicated otherwise:

a. The word “vehicle” shall mean any device in, upon or which a person or property is or may be transported upon a highway.

b. The word “person” shall mean and include every natural person, firm, co-partnership, association or corporation.

c. The word “operator” shall mean and include every person who shall operate a vehicle as the owner thereof or as the agent, employee or permittee of the owner, or the person in actual physical control of the vehicle.

d. The word “park” shall mean and include the permitting of any vehicle to be parked or put in place and let remain or leave standing in or upon county-owned or county-leased property hereafter described in Section 3 of this local law.

e. The word “area” shall mean and include the county-owned and county-leased property hereafter described in Section 3 of this local law.

f. The word "Sheriff" shall mean and include the Sheriff of the County of Saratoga and any and all deputies, designees or subordinates as designated by him from time to time in and about the operation and policing of the parking areas hereafter described.

B. Unless otherwise indicated above or by the context in which they might be used, all words used herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them by the General Construction Law of the State of New York.

SECTION 3. Restricted Parking Areas. The following county-owned or county-leased lands and areas are designated as restricted parking areas to be used and controlled as follows:

A. Parking of motor vehicles on the following driving lanes and driveways of county-owned parking lots in the Village of Ballston Spa, Town of Milton, and City of Saratoga Springs, New York is hereby strictly prohibited:

- i. The driving lane throughout the parking lot at the Motor Vehicle Parking Lot abutting on Remsen Street.
- ii. The driving lane throughout the parking lot on the south side of West High Street with ingress and egress onto West High Street.
- iii. The driving lane throughout the parking lot lying on the west side of Church Avenue and which exits onto McMaster Street.
- iv. The driveway leading from the Church Avenue parking lot which exits onto McMaster Street.
- v. The driving lane through the Supervisors and Administration parking lot to the east of the Building 1 at 40 McMaster Street with ingress and egress onto McMaster Street.
- vi. The driving lane throughout the parking lot on the north side of West High Street servicing the first floor level of Building 5 at 50 West High Street, with ingress and egress onto West High Street and Science Street.
- vii. The driving lane throughout the parking lot on the south side of Building 5 with ingress and egress onto Bath Street and Science Street.
- viii. With regard to the building at 152 West High Street, the driving lanes throughout all four parking lots surrounding the building, and the driveway providing ingress and egress to the building from West High Street.
- ix. With regard to the Department of Public Works Administration building at 3654 Galway Road, the driving lane through the interior of the parking lot in front of the building, and the driving lanes leading to the building and parking lot from Galway Road and County Farm Road.
- x. With regard to the Fire Training Center at 6010 County Farm Road, the driving lane through the interior of the parking lot and the driveway to the parking lot from County Farm Road.
- xi. With regard to the Animal Shelter building at 6010 County Farm Road, the driving lanes through the three parking lots to the east, north and south of the building and the driveways to the parking lots from County Farm Road.
- xii. With regard to the Saratoga County Jail building at 6010 County Farm Road, the driving lanes throughout the parking lot in front of/to the east of the building and the driveway to the parking lot from County Farm Road.
- xiii. With regard to the Paul E. Lent Public Safety Building at 6012 County Farm Road and the three unrestricted access parking lots to the northeast, east and south of the building, the driving lanes throughout the parking lots and the driveway leading in from County Farm Road.

- xiv. With regard to the 60 space public parking lot at the Saratoga County Airport, the driving lanes throughout the parking lot.
- xv. With regard to the leased premises for Saratoga County Mental Health and Addiction Services at 135 South Broadway in the City of Saratoga Springs, the driving lanes throughout the two parking lots around the building, and the driveways providing ingress and egress to each lot from South Broadway and Adelphi Street respectively.

B. Parking of motor vehicles on the following driving lanes and driveways of county-owned parking lots in the Town of Milton is hereby strictly prohibited:

- i. The four hundred and thirty (430') foot driveway leading from the Greenfield Avenue extension to the hanger and ramp area of the Saratoga County Airport.
- ii. The entrance road and loop driveway at the Saratoga County Airport.

SECTION 4. Posting. No parking shall be permitted in the described restricted areas, which shall be posted by the County of Saratoga.

SECTION 5. Winter Parking Restrictions. Commencing this date and hereafter on the first day of November of each year, parking of vehicles shall be prohibited in County parking lots between the hours of 12:00 midnight and 6:00 a.m. This restriction on parking shall continue until May 1 of each year and shall be in effect each year hereafter during the period of November 1 at 12:00 midnight and the following May 1 at 6:00 a.m.

SECTION 6. Signs. The Public Works Department shall erect and maintain at such parking lots suitable signs which will give adequate notice of the limitations of the hours of parking thereon.

SECTION 7. Enforcement; removal and storage of vehicles. The Sheriff and other municipal police officers shall police the area and issue a summons in the name of the county to a person or operator unlawfully parking a vehicle contrary to the provision of this local law. Such unlawful parking is hereby made an offense contrary to this local law and the Penal Law of the State of New York. Whenever any vehicle shall be found parked in violation of this law, such vehicle in the discretion of the Sheriff, may be removed and transported by and under the direction of the Sheriff by means of towing the same or otherwise to some suitable place of storage and such removal and storage shall be at the risk and expense of the owner of such vehicle. Before the owner or person in charge of said vehicle shall be permitted to remove the same from the custody of the Sheriff, he shall furnish evidence of his identity and ownership or right to possession, pay the proper charges, and sign a receipt for such vehicle.

SECTION 8. Summons. Whenever any vehicle shall be found parked in violation of this law, the Sheriff or other municipal police officers shall thereupon issue a summons to such owner to appear at a time indicated before the local Justice Court having jurisdiction to answer for such violation in accordance with this law. Jurisdiction is hereby conferred upon and granted to such courts of special sessions to hear, try and determine all questions of law or fact herein provided.

SECTION 9. Penalties for Offenses. Any person, whether a principal or his agent violating or assisting in the violation of any provisions of this local law, may upon conviction thereof, be punished by a fine not exceeding Fifty and 00/100 (\$50.00) Dollars.

SECTION 10. Disposition of Fines. All fines paid to a Justice Court shall be the property of the municipality in which the court is established and has jurisdiction.

SECTION 11. Designated Parking Spaces. The Saratoga County Board of Supervisors may by resolution designate parking spaces in any County-owned or County-leased parking lot for the exclusive use of County officials, County employees, and/or customers or clients of specific County services.

SECTION 12. Repeal of Local Law No. 6 of 1978. Local Law No. 6 of 1978 is hereby repealed.

SECTION 13. Severability. In the event any section, part of section, sentence, clause or phrase of this local law shall be adjudged by any court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, such judgment will not affect the validity of any other provision of this local law.

SECTION 14. Effective Date. This local law shall take effect upon its filing with the Secretary of State in accordance with the Municipal Home Rule Law.

RESOLUTION 277 - 2020

Introduced by Supervisors Lawler, Kinowski, Pemrick, Raymond, Richardson, Schopf and Tollisen

AUTHORIZING A LOGGING REVENUE AGREEMENT WITH PRENTISS AND CARLISLE MANAGEMENT COMPANY, INC. FOR A TIMBER HARVEST OF COUNTY-OWNED PARCELS IN THE TOWN NORTHUMBERLAND

WHEREAS, the County owns three parcels of forest land located within the Town of Northumberland on Taylor and Colebrook Roads, which parcels have been selected for the removal of timber with a diameter larger than 3 inches and the construction of a Karner Blue Mitigation Area in accordance with the County’s plans to relocate the Karner Blue Butterfly Habitat at the Saratoga County Airport off-site to other County-owned lands in 2021; and

WHEREAS, Tax Parcels #129.-1-60, 129.-1-47 and 129.-1-48 (East Site) in the Town of Northumberland consist of a total of approximately 85 acres which will be harvested in preparation for the construction of the new Karner Blue Butterfly Habitat; and

WHEREAS, bids were solicited for the harvesting of the timber on the three parcels and the site preparation work for the construction of the Karner Blue Butterfly Habitat, and Prentiss and Carlisle Management Company, Inc. was the high bidder with a total lump sum bid in the amount of \$163,580.00; and

WHEREAS, our Economic Development Committee and the County’s Director of Planning have recommended that the bid of Prentiss and Carlisle Management Company, Inc. be accepted; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Chair of the Board execute an agreement with Prentiss and Carlisle Management Company, Inc., of Saranac Lake, New York, for the removal of all trees with a diameter larger than 3 inches and for site preparation work for the construction of a new Karner Blue Butterfly Habitat on an approximately 85 acre portion of County forest lands in the Town of Northumberland, identified on the Saratoga County Tax Maps as Tax Parcels #129.-1-60, 129.-1-47 and 129.-1-48, for which Prentiss and Carlisle Management Company, Inc. will pay the County the total lump sum of \$163,580.00 for the harvested timber; and, be it further

RESOLVED, that in the event Prentiss and Carlisle Management Company, Inc. should fail to pay the required deposit of \$20,000 at contract signing, the County shall have the right to immediately terminate this agreement, and the County shall be authorized to enter into a contract for the harvesting and prep of the sites with the underbidder, Daniel Galusha, d/b/a G&T Enterprises, at the rate quoted in the underbidder’s bid; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the foregoing agreement shall be subject to the approval of the County Attorney.

BUDGET IMPACT STATEMENT: No budget impact.

RESOLUTION 278 - 2020

Introduced by Supervisors Pemrick, Kinowski, Kusnierz, Schopf, Tollisen, Winney and Wright

LEVYING AMOUNTS PAYABLE TO COUNTY TREASURER AND TOWN SUPERVISORS

WHEREAS, Resolution 240-2020, as amended by Resolution 250-2020, adopted the 2021 County Budget; and

WHEREAS, a certified copy of each Town’s and City’s 2021 Budget has been presented to this Board of Supervisors for levy and collection; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that there shall be levied and collected from the taxable real property situate in each Town and City in the County of Saratoga, the following amounts:

2021 TAX YEAR	DUE SUPERVISOR	DUE TREASURER	AMOUNT TO BE RAISED
Code Ballston			
NYS Mandates		3,126,844.35	3,126,844.35
General County - Self Insurance		35,145.26	35,145.26
General County - Election Expenses		5,133.54	5,133.54
General County - Amount Due County		0.00	0.00
General County - Less Amount Due Town		-8,128.29	-8,128.29
Less Sales Tax		0.00	0.00
Surplus To Co. Treasurer		1.84	1.84
Relevied Village Taxes		146,343.22	146,343.22
Relevied School		971,547.09	971,547.09
County Sewer		641,837.50	641,837.50
General Town	0.00		0.00
Highway Townwide	0.00		0.00
Highway Outside	0.00		0.00
AD002 Ballston Spa Ambulance	192,732.37		192,732.37
AD003 Ballston Lake Ambulance	90,267.17		90,267.17
FD001 Ballston Lake Fire	156,634.15		156,634.15
FD008 Burnt Hills Fire	557,911.43		557,911.43
FD009 Fire Protection #1	179,014.62		179,014.62
FD010 Fire Protection #2	130,790.06		130,790.06
LB001 Library	592,739.43		592,739.43
LT001 B.H.-B.L. Light #1	15,600.01		15,600.01
LT030 B.H.-B.L. Light Dist. #2	500.00		500.00
LT045 B.H.-B.L. Light Dist. #3	32,202.03		32,202.03
PK001 Jenkins Park Dist. #1	26,350.07		26,350.07
SE057 Ballston Lake Sewer - Units	0.00		0.00
SL001 Sanitary Landfill	0.00		0.00
WT001 Ballston Consolidated Water District	354,379.57		354,379.57
WT002 Milton Terrace Water #1 Units	0.00		0.00
WT025 Water Dist. #3 Currie Ct.	0.00		0.00
WT026 Paradowski Road Water #5	3,720.01		3,720.01
WT030 Thomas Avenue Water Dist. #4	0.00		0.00
WT037 Scotchbush Rd. Water Dist. #6	0.00		0.00
WT041 Silver Ln. Water Dist. #6 Ext. 1	0.00		0.00
WT064 B.H.-B.L. Water #2 Ext. 14	0.00		0.00
WT092 B.H.-B.L. Water #2 Ext. 22	0.00		0.00
WT093 B.H.-B.L. Water #2 Ext. 24	11,569.00		11,569.00
WT101 B.H.-B.L. Water #2 Ext. 25	0.00		0.00
Surplus To Supervisor	0.00		0.00
OT020 Omitted Tax	0.00	2,213.33	2,213.33
RB020 Ag Rollback	0.00	0.00	0.00
SA020 Special Assessment	0.00		0.00
SR001 Relevied Sewer	0.00	249.33	249.33
WR001 Relevied Water	77,878.21		77,878.21
Excess on Roll		0.59	0.59
Shortage on Roll		0.00	0.00
Total Due	2,422,288.13	4,921,187.76	7,343,475.89
Amount Due Supervisor	2,422,288.13		
Amount Due Treasurer	4,921,187.76		
Total of Warrant	7,343,475.89		
Code Charlton			

	NYS Mandates		1,154,831.94	1,154,831.94
	General County - Self Insurance		51,209.96	51,209.96
	General County - Election Expenses		2,005.48	2,005.48
	General County - Amount Due County		0.00	0.00
	General County - Less Amount Due Town		-1,817.48	-1,817.48
	Less Sales Tax		0.00	0.00
	Surplus To Co. Treasurer		0.29	0.29
	Relevied School		269,754.62	269,754.62
	General Town	0.00		0.00
	Highway	0.00		0.00
AD010	Charlton Ambulance	89,809.17		89,809.17
FD002	Harmony Fire	82,822.42		82,822.42
FD011	Charlton Fire	482,581.22		482,581.22
FD012	West Charlton Fire	128,113.02		128,113.02
OU001	Hookup Fee - Units	8,250.00		8,250.00
WT003	Charlton Water Ad Valorem	121,295.01		121,295.01
WT003	Charlton Water Flat Fee Units	3,410.00		3,410.00
WT048	Charlton Water Dist. #1 Ext. 2	0.00		0.00
WT050	Charlton Water Dist. #2 Ad Valorem	2,231.00		2,231.00
WT050	Charlton Water Dist. #2 Flat Fee Units	80.00		80.00
WT096	Charlton Water Dist. #1 Ext #6	0.00		0.00
	Surplus to Supervisor	0.00		0.00
OT020	Omitted Tax	0.00	1,299.52	1,299.52
RB020	Ag Rollback	0.00		0.00
WR001	Relevied Water	21,719.92		21,719.92
	Excess of Roll		0.71	0.71
	Shortage on Roll		0.00	0.00
	Total Due	940,311.76	1,477,285.04	2,417,596.80
	Amount Due Supervisor	940,311.76		
	Amount Due Treasurer	1,477,285.04		
	Total of Warrant	2,417,596.80		
Code	Clifton Park			
	NYS Mandates		10,987,823.94	10,987,823.94
	General County - Self Insurance		149,809.07	149,809.07
	General County - Election Expenses		20,773.84	20,773.84
	General County - Amount Due County		0.00	0.00
	General County - Less Amount Due Town		-7,385.51	-7,385.51
	Less Sales Tax		0.00	0.00
	Surplus To Co. Treasurer		1.93	1.93
	Relevied School		1,991,157.27	
	1,991,157.27			
	County Sewer		4,560,315.25	4,560,315.25
	General Town	0.00		0.00
	Highway	754,607.83		754,607.83
AD001	Clifton Park-Halfmoon Ambulance	700,001.04		700,001.04
FD001	Ballston Lake Fire	52,886.90		52,886.90
FD003	Clifton Park-Halfmoon Fire	532,200.00		532,200.00
FD004	West Crescent Fire	261,892.19		261,892.19
FD013	Jonesville Fire	1,516,610.43		1,516,610.43
FD015	C.P. Consolidated Hydrant Zone 1	461,520.30		461,520.30
FD016	Rexford Fire	304,911.09		304,911.09
FD017	Vischer Ferry Fire	995,950.14		995,950.14
LB002	Library	3,145,283.75		3,145,283.75
LT003	Clifton Park Light #1	175,000.26		175,000.26
PK002	Calico Colony Park	1,500.00		1,500.00
PK003	Clifton Gardens Park	16,000.08		16,000.08
PK004	Longkill Park Dist. #1	90,500.04		90,500.04
PK005	Dwaas Kill Park #1	18,870.17		18,870.17
PK006	Clifton Knolls-Park Dist. #1	73,129.11		73,129.11

PK007	Stony Creek Park #2	18,166.02		18,166.02
PK008	Stony Creek Park #1	51,850.01		51,850.01
PK009	Sherwood Forest Park	29,748.03		29,748.03
PK011	Riverview Park #1	56,100.23		56,100.23
PK013	Longkill Park Dist. #2	20,589.04		20,589.04
PK014	Wyncrest Park Dist. #1	8,877.01		8,877.01
PK015	Meadow Estates Park	8,232.02		8,232.02
PK016	Settlers Hill Park District	8,755.01		8,755.01
PK017	Vischer Landing Park District	3,218.00		3,218.00
RG001	Refuse & Garbage	98,805.05		98,805.05
SE030	Rivercrest Sewer	10,850.00		10,850.00
SE038	Sherwood Forest Sewer Dist. #1	9,000.01		9,000.01
SE039	Olde Nott Farm Sewer Dist. #1	0.00		0.00
SE040	Riverview Sewer Ad Valorem	11,832.01		11,832.01
SE040	Riverview Sewer Units	15,997.00		15,997.00
SE041	Woodland Hills Sewer Dist #1 Ad Val	6,197.02		6,197.02
SE041	Woodland Hills Sewer Dist. #1 Units	14,230.00		14,230.00
SE042	Dutch Meadows Sewer Dist #1 Ad Val	25,353.01		25,353.01
SE042	Dutch Meadows Sewer Dist #1 Units	35,900.00		35,900.00
SE044	Corp Commerce Sewer Dist. #1 Units	5,007.00		5,007.00
SE045	CP Sewer Dist #1 - Ad Valorem	0.00		0.00
SE045	CP Sewer Dist #1 - Units	742,140.00		742,140.00
SE050	Clifton Country Rd Sewer Dist - Units	67,500.00		67,500.00
SE054	Rivercrest Sewer Ext. #1 - Ad-Valorem	0.00		0.00
SE054	Rivercrest Sewer Ext. #1 - Units	0.00		0.00
SE057	Ballston Lake Sewer - Units	0.00		0.00
SE060	Dutch Meadows Sewer Ext #1 Ad Val	0.00		0.00
SE060	Dutch Meadows Sewer Ext #1 Units	0.00		0.00
SE061	Clifton Park Sewer District Sewer #2 Ad Val	0.00		0.00
SE061	Clifton Park Sewer District Sewer #2 Units	457,100.00		457,100.00
WT005	Rexford Water #2 Ad Valorem	6,680.73		6,680.73
WT005	Rexford Water #2 - Units	0.00		0.00
WT006	Rexford Water #2 Extra Ad Valorem	5,284.35		5,284.35
WT006	Rexford Water #2 Extra Units	0.00		0.00
WT027	Rivercrest Water Ad Valorem	0.00		0.00
WT027	Rivercrest Water Units	0.00		0.00
WT063	Fire Road Water Dist. #1	18,750.00		18,750.00
WT067	Corp Commerce Water Dist. #1 Units	11,200.00		11,200.00
WT071	Miller Road South Water #1 Ad Val	11,270.00		11,270.00
WT074	Rexford Water #2 Ext. 1	5,500.00		5,500.00
WT075	Blue Barns Rd Water #1	6,282.00		6,282.00
WT097	Ballston Lake Water District - Ad Val	27,000.00		27,000.00
WT098	Rexford Water District #2 Ext #2 - Ad Val	3,407.00		3,407.00
	Surplus To Supervisor	0.00		0.00
OT020	Omitted Tax	902.35	11,346.95	12,249.30
SA020	Special Assessment	6,152.50		6,152.50
SR001	Relevied Sewer	11,290.66	2,442.88	13,733.54
RB020	Ag Rollback	1,950.26	2,630.68	4,580.94
WR001	Relevied Water	874.55		874.55
	Excess on Roll		0.30	0.30
	Shortage on Roll		0.00	0.00
	Total Due	10,922,854.20	17,718,916.60	28,641,770.80
	Amount Due Supervisor	10,922,854.20		
	Amount Due Treasurer	17,718,916.60		
	Total of Warrant	28,641,770.80		
Code	Corinth			
	NYS Mandates		1,510,989.46	1,510,989.46
	General County - Consolidated Health District		2,700.00	2,700.00
	General County - Self Insurance		21,775.02	21,775.02
	General County - Election Expenses		3,128.06	3,128.06

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

25

December 15, 2020

	General County - Amount Due County		187.00	187.00
	General County - Less Amount Due Town		0.00	0.00
	Less Sales Tax		0.00	0.00
	Surplus To Co. Treasurer		0.14	0.14
	H.R.R.D.		0.00	0.00
	Relevied Village Taxes		89,854.07	89,854.07
	Relevied School		316,169.07	316,169.07
	General Town	938,915.00		938,915.00
	Enterprise Health Rel Fac	394,380.00		394,380.00
	Highway Townwide	0.00		0.00
	Highway Outside	601,120.61		601,120.61
	General Outside	167,561.04		167,561.04
FD018	Fire Protection	449,789.22		449,789.22
LT010	Eastern Avenue Light	0.00		0.00
LT011	Eggleston Street Light	0.00		0.00
LT012	South Corinth Light	0.00		0.00
WT104	Corinth Consolidated Water District	38,000.00		38,000.00
	Surplus To Supervisor	0.29		0.29
OT020	Omitted Tax	2,576.30	2,322.80	4,899.10
RB020	Ag Rollback	0.00		0.00
SA020	Special Assessment	0.00		0.00
WR001	Relevied Water	28,132.86		28,132.86
	Excess on Roll		0.00	0.00
	Shortage on Roll		-0.20	-0.20
	Total Due	2,620,475.32	1,947,125.42	4,567,600.74
	Amount Due Supervisor	2,620,475.32		
	Amount Due Treasurer	1,947,125.42		
	Total of Warrant	4,567,600.74		

Code Day

	NYS Mandates		856,246.62	856,246.62
	General County - Self Insurance		17,611.14	17,611.14
	General County - Election Expenses		708.44	708.44
	General County - Amount Due County		0.00	0.00
	General County - Less Amount Due Town		-7.18	-7.18
	Less Sales Tax		0.00	0.00
	Surplus To Co. Treasurer		0.29	0.29
	H.R.R.D.		0.00	0.00
	Relevied School		160,111.01	160,111.01
	General Town	0.00		0.00
	Highway	650,000.12		650,000.12
FD041	Fire Protection #1	39,327.09		39,327.09
FD042	Fire Protection #2	26,165.06		26,165.06
	Surplus To Supervisor	0.00		0.00
OT020	Omitted Tax	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Excess on Roll		0.07	0.07
	Shortage on Roll			
	Total Due	715,492.27	1,034,670.39	1,750,162.66
	Amount Due Supervisor	715,492.27		
	Amount Due Treasurer	1,034,670.39		
	Total of Warrant	1,750,162.66		

Code Edinburg

	NYS Mandates		997,927.90	997,927.90
	General County - Self Insurance		47,896.42	47,896.42
	General County - Election Expenses		708.44	708.44
	General County - Amount Due County		0.00	0.00
	General County - Less Amount Due Town		-303.53	-303.53
	Less Sales Tax		0.00	0.00

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

26

December 15, 2020

	Surplus To Co. Treasurer		0.17	0.17
	H.R.R.D.		0.00	0.00
	Relevied School		117,764.62	117,764.62
	General Town	0.00		0.00
	Highway	256,000.07		256,000.07
FD019	Fire Protection	104,953.18		104,953.18
	Surplus To Supervisor	0.00		0.00
OT020	Omitted Tax	162.75	814.22	976.97
	Excess on Roll		1.15	1.15
	Shortage on Roll			
	Total Due	361,116.00	1,164,809.39	
	1,525,925.39			
	Amount Due Supervisor	361,116.00		
	Amount Due Treasurer	1,164,809.39		
	Total of Warrant	1,525,925.39		

Code Galway

	NYS Mandates		1,074,700.56	1,074,700.56
	General County - Self Insurance		12,347.86	12,347.86
	General County - Election Expenses		1,711.18	1,711.18
	General County - Amount Due County		79.65	79.65
	General County - Less Amount Due Town		0.00	0.00
	Less Sales Tax		0.00	0.00
	Surplus To Co. Treasurer		0.69	0.69
	Relevied Village Taxes		0.00	0.00
	Relevied School		258,572.16	258,572.16
	General Town	300,000.00		300,000.00
	Highway (Townwide)	170,000.00		170,000.00
	Highway Outside	0.00		0.00
	General Outside	0.00		0.00
AD011	Ambulance District	160,340.36		160,340.36
FD002	Harmony Fire	19,264.19		19,264.19
FD020	Fire Protection	267,240.01		267,240.01
LT013	Galway Light	1,500.00		1,500.00
	Surplus To Supervisor	0.31		0.31
OT020	Omitted Tax	25.57	134.71	160.28
SA020	Special Assessment	0.00		0.00
	Excess on Roll		0.76	0.76
	Shortage on Roll			
	Total Due	918,370.44	1,347,547.57	2,265,918.01
	Amount Due Supervisor	918,370.44		
	Amount Due Treasurer	1,347,547.57		
	Total of Warrant	2,265,918.01		

Code Greenfield

	NYS Mandates		2,030,530.79	2,030,530.79
	General County - Self Insurance		40,804.61	40,804.61
	General County - Election Expenses		5,667.52	5,667.52
	General County - Amount Due County		1,180.78	1,180.78
	General County - Less Amount Due Town		0.00	0.00
	Less Sales Tax		0.00	0.00
	Surplus To Co. Treasurer		0.54	0.54
	Relevied School		48,153.73	48,153.73
	County Sewer		157,775.00	157,775.00
	General Town	1,131,830.00		1,131,830.00
	Highway	1,146,215.40		1,146,215.40
FD006	Greenfield Fire	974,593.86		974,593.86
	Surplus To Supervisor	0.36		0.36

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

27

December 15, 2020

OT020	Omitted Tax	1,110.25	2,386.34	3,496.59
RB020	Ag Rollback	0.00	0.00	0.00
SA020	Special Assessment	0.00		0.00
SR001	Relevied Sewer	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Excess on Roll		0.67	0.67
	Shortage on Roll			
	Total Due	3,253,749.87	2,286,499.98	5,540,249.85
	Amount Due Supervisor	3,253,749.87		
	Amount Due Treasurer	2,286,499.98		
	Total of Warrant	5,540,249.85		

Code Hadley

	NYS Mandates		511,230.04	511,230.04
	General County - Self Insurance		-3,652.76	-3,652.76
	General County - Election Expenses		1,002.74	1,002.74
	General County - Amount Due County		558.16	558.16
	General County - Less Amount Due Town		0.00	0.00
	Less Sales Tax		0.00	0.00
	Surplus To Co. Treasurer		0.20	0.20
	H.R.R.D.		0.00	0.00
	Relevied School		106,267.77	106,267.77
	General Town	518,235.00		518,235.00
	Highway	711,384.11		711,384.11
AD008	Hadley Ambulance	120,324.36		120,324.36
FD007	Luzerne Hadley Fire	107,109.60		107,109.60
LT014	Light	35,000.02		35,000.02
SE009	Hadley Sewer	0.00		0.00
WT009	Hadley Water #2	0.00		0.00
WT010	South Hadley Water	0.00		0.00
	Surplus To Supervisor	0.11		0.11
OT020	Omitted Tax	0.00	0.00	0.00
RB020	Ag Rollback	0.00		0.00
SR001	Relevied Sewer	8,916.50	0.00	8,916.50
WR001	Relevied Water	14,125.70		14,125.70
	Excess on Roll		0.00	0.00
	Shortage on Roll		-0.58	-0.58
	Total Due	1,515,095.40	615,405.57	
	2,130,500.97			
	Amount Due Supervisor	1,515,095.40		
	Amount Due Treasurer	615,405.57		
	Total of Warrant	2,130,500.97		

Code Halfmoon

	NYS Mandates		6,130,156.85	6,130,156.85
	General County - Self Insurance		154,669.60	154,669.60
	General County - Election Expenses		10,561.38	10,561.38
	General County - Amount Due County		18,033.30	18,033.30
	General County - Less Amount Due Town		0.00	0.00
	Less Sales Tax		0.00	0.00
	Surplus To Co. Treasurer		1.38	1.38
	H.R.R.D.		0.00	0.00
	Relevied School		1,189,466.37	1,189,466.37
	County Sewer		3,606,396.00	3,606,396.00
	General Town	0.00		0.00
	Highway Townwide	1,776,040.92		1,776,040.92
AD009	Halfmoon Ambulance District	921,001.22		921,001.22
FD003	Clifton Park-Halfmoon Fire	595,650.71		595,650.71
FD004	West Crescent Fire	404,933.86		404,933.86

FD005	Halfmoon-Waterford Fire	1,031,530.03		1,031,530.03
FD022	Halfmoon Fire #1	609,543.20		609,543.20
LB002	Library	1,461,441.49		1,461,441.49
LT015	Light #1	4,900.01		4,900.01
SE036	Churchhill Rd. Sewer Dist. - units	0.00		0.00
WT011	Pruyn Hill Water #1	0.00		0.00
WT028	Consolidated Water Dist. Zone 1-units	563,222.40		563,222.40
WT036	Consolidated Water Dist. Zone 2-units	818,597.52		818,597.52
WT040	Consolidated Water Dist. Zone 3-units	797,472.00		797,472.00
	Surplus To Supervisor	0.00		0.00
OT020	Omitted Tax	399.77	3,550.58	3,950.35
RB020	Ag Rollback	0.00	0.00	0.00
SA020	Special Assessment	0.00		0.00
SR001	Relevied Sewer	0.00	1,601.91	1,601.91
WR001	Relevied Water	416,861.53		416,861.53
	Excess on Roll			
	Shortage on Roll		-0.92	-0.92
	Total Due	9,401,594.66	11,114,436.45	20,516,031.11
	Amount Due Supervisor	9,401,594.66		
	Amount Due Treasurer	11,114,436.45		
	Total of Warrant	20,516,031.11		

Code Malta

	NYS Mandates		4,785,147.34	4,785,147.34
	General County - Self Insurance		127,174.21	127,174.21
	General County - Election Expenses		8,501.28	8,501.28
	General County - Amount Due County		0.00	0.00
	General County - Less Amount Due Town		-2,911.02	-2,911.02
	Less Sales Tax		0.00	0.00
	Surplus To Co. Treasurer		1.85	1.85
	Relevied Village Taxes		28,579.16	28,579.16
	Relevied School		1,037,375.53	1,037,375.53
	County Sewer		2,514,845.75	2,514,845.75
	General Town (Ambulance)	5,000.00		5,000.00
	Highway Townwide	0.00		0.00
	Highway Outside	0.00		0.00
FD023	Fire protection district	2,124,101.14		2,124,101.14
LB004	Library	338,001.97		338,001.97
LT032	Rosebay/Larkspur Light Dist.	275.00		275.00
LT033	Rum Cherry Light Dist.	1,500.01		1,500.01
LT038	Ermine Lair Light	2,945.10		2,945.10
LT044	Burton lighting district	550.00		550.00
PR001	Saratoga Lake Prot./Imp. Dist.		122,361.06	122,361.06
SE046	Malta Downtown Sewer Dist #1 - Units	0.00		0.00
SE047	CP sewer dist #2 - Units	120,600.00		120,600.00
	Surplus To Supervisor	1.66		1.66
OT020	Omitted Tax	4.03	3,329.84	3,333.87
RB020	Ag Rollback	0.00	0.00	0.00
SA020	Special Assessment	160.00		160.00
SR001	Relevied Sewer	0.00	1,063.17	1,063.17
WR001	Relevied Water	1,392.34		1,392.34
	Excess on Roll		1.70	1.70
	Shortage on Roll			
	Total Due	2,594,531.25	8,625,469.87	
	11,220,001.12			
	Amount Due Supervisor	2,594,531.25		
	Amount Due Treasurer	8,625,469.87		
	Total of Warrant	11,220,001.12		

Code Milton

	NYS Mandates		3,585,967.78	3,585,967.78
	General County - Self Insurance		67,131.12	67,131.12
	General County - Election Expenses		8,261.60	8,261.60
	General County - Amount Due County		0.00	0.00
	General County - Less Amount Due Town		-2,874.41	-2,874.41
	Less Sales Tax		0.00	0.00
	Surplus To Co. Treasurer		1.56	1.56
	Relevied Village Taxes		56,961.67	56,961.67
	Relevied School		836,525.28	836,525.28
	County Sewer		1,191,231.25	1,191,231.25
	General Town	816,134.00		816,134.00
	Highway Townwide	0.00		0.00
	General Outside	0.00		0.00
	Highway Outside	0.00		0.00
AD002	Ballston Spa Ambulance	619,400.11		619,400.11
FD002	Harmony Fire	6,371.42		6,371.42
FD024	Fire Protection #1	415,246.15		415,246.15
FD025	Milton Fire Dist. #1	687,120.15		687,120.15
LT016	Heritage Hills Light	3,000.01		3,000.01
WT002	Milton Terrace Water Units	0.00		0.00
WT042	Maple Ave Water Supply Dist #1 Units	0.00		0.00
WT043	Greenfield Ave Water Sup Dist. #1	0.00		0.00
WT044	Lower Greenfield Water Sup Dist. #1	0.00		0.00
WT046	Upper Greenfield Water Sup Dist. #1	0.00		0.00
WT047	Sunny Lane Water Supply Dist. #1	12,562.01		12,562.01
WT049	Leahy Lane Water Supply Dist. #1	300.00		300.00
WT051	Rowland St Water Supply Dist. #3	400.00		400.00
WT056	Rowland St Water Supply Dist.1 Unit	0.00		0.00
WT060	Rowland St Wt Sup Dist #1&2 Ext Unit	600.00		600.00
WT061	Westwind Hills Water Sup Dist #1 Unit	0.00		0.00
WT062	Fairground Ave Water Sup Dist #1 Unit	0.00		0.00
	Surplus To Supervisor	1.30		1.30
OT020	Omitted Tax	720.83	2,934.98	3,655.81
RB020	Ag Rollback	0.00	0.00	0.00
SR001	Relevied Sewer	0.00	0.00	0.00
WR001	Relevied Water	33,175.93		33,175.93
	Excess on Roll		0.00	0.00
	Shortage on Roll		-0.37	-0.37
	Total Due	2,595,031.91	5,746,140.46	8,341,172.37
	Amount Due Supervisor	2,595,031.91		
	Amount Due Treasurer	5,746,140.46		
	Total of Warrant	8,341,172.37		

Code	Moreau			
	NYS Mandates		3,341,264.87	3,341,264.87
	General County - Consolidated Health District		0.00	0.00
	General County - Self Insurance		39,231.58	39,231.58
	General County - Election Expenses		6,550.42	6,550.42
	General County - Amount Due County		0.00	0.00
	General County - Less Amount Due Town		-2,900.80	-2,900.80
	Less Sales Tax		0.00	0.00
	Surplus To Co. Treasurer		0.31	0.31
	Relevied Village Taxes		76,561.74	76,561.74
	Relevied School		731,354.19	731,354.19
	County Sewer		0.00	0.00
	General Town	1,441,718.00		1,441,718.00
	Highway Townwide	0.00		0.00
	Highway Outside	0.00		0.00
	General Outside	0.00		0.00
FD026	Fire Protection	0.00		0.00
LB003	Library	809,976.77		809,976.77

LT034	Meadow Ridge Lighting Dist. Units	1,200.00		1,200.00
LT035	Tanglewood Lighting Dist. Units	1,500.00		1,500.00
LT036	Sherwood Forest Lighting Dist. Units	3,500.00		3,500.00
LT037	Woodscape Light Units	5,600.00		5,600.00
LT039	Palmerton Heights Lighting Dist. Units	5,400.00		5,400.00
LT040	Riverview Lighting District Units	3,400.00		3,400.00
LT041	Woodscape Light Phase 2 Units	4,200.00		4,200.00
LT042	Pinewood Estates Lighting Units	3,400.00		3,400.00
LT043	Pallette Stone Light	2,800.00		2,800.00
SE049	Moreau Sewer 1 Ext 1 - Ad Val	0.00		0.00
SE051	Moreau Sewer 1 Ext 2 - Ad Val	0.00		0.00
SE056	Moreau Sewer 1 Ext 3 - Ad Val	0.00		0.00
WT014	Moreau Water #1	0.00		0.00
WT015	Moreau Water #2	0.00		0.00
WT016	Moreau Water #2 Ext. 1	0.00		0.00
WT017	Moreau Water #2 Ext. 2	0.00		0.00
WT032	Moreau Water #2 Ext. 3	0.00		0.00
WT065	Moreau Water #4	0.00		0.00
WT068	Moreau Water #5	0.00		0.00
WT069	Moreau Water #5 Ext. 1	0.00		0.00
WT072	Moreau Water #3	0.00		0.00
WT077	Moreau Water #6	0.00		0.00
WT079	Moreau Water #6 Ext 1	0.00		0.00
WT081	Moreau Water #5 Ext 2	0.00		0.00
WT082	Moreau Water #1 Ext 1 - Ad Val	0.00		0.00
WT085	Moreau Water #4 Ext 1 - Ad Val	0.00		0.00
WT087	Moreau Water #1 Ext 2	0.00		0.00
WT088	Moreau Water #1 Ext 3	0.00		0.00
	Surplus To Supervisor	1.26		1.26
OT020	Omitted Tax	1,801.32	5,734.86	7,536.18
RB020	Ag Rollback	0.00		0.00
WR001	Relevied Water	90,239.78		90,239.78
	Excess on Roll		2.41	2.41
	Shortage on Roll		0.00	0.00
	Total Due	2,374,737.13	4,197,799.58	6,572,536.71
	Amount Due Supervisor	2,374,737.13		
	Amount Due Treasurer	4,197,799.58		
	Total of Warrant	6,572,536.71		

Code Northumberland

	NYS Mandates		1,000,671.56	1,000,671.56
	General County - Self Insurance		19,070.03	19,070.03
	General County - Election Expenses		2,005.48	2,005.48
	General County - Amount Due County		0.18	0.18
	General County - Less Amount Due Town		0.00	0.00
	Less Sales Tax		0.00	0.00
	Surplus To Co. Treasurer		0.37	0.37
	H.R.R.D.		0.00	0.00
	Relevied School		302,072.26	302,072.26
	General Town	0.00		0.00
	Highway	616,769.29		616,769.29
AD006	North/Ambulance #1	90,525.03		90,525.03
AD007	North/Ambulance #2	123,791.06		123,791.06
FD027	Northumberland/Gansevoort Fire	308,167.15		308,167.15
FD028	Bacon Hill Fire Protection	120,000.02		120,000.02
SE011	Northumberland Sewer Dist. #1-units	4,860.00		4,860.00
	Surplus To Supervisor	0.00		0.00
OT020	Omitted Tax	0.00		0.00
RB020	Ag Rollback	0.00		0.00
SR001	Relevied Sewer	0.00		0.00
	Excess on Roll		0.00	0.00

	Shortage on Roll		-0.07	-0.07
	Total Due	1,264,112.55	1,323,819.81	
	2,587,932.36			
	Amount Due Supervisor	1,264,112.55		
	Amount Due Treasurer	1,323,819.81		
	Total of Warrant	2,587,932.36		
Code	Providence			
	NYS Mandates		457,831.10	457,831.10
	General County - Self Insurance		5,721.88	5,721.88
	General County - Election Expenses		1,416.88	1,416.88
	General County - Amount Due County		1,297.95	1,297.95
	General County - Less Amount Due Town		0.00	0.00
	Less Sales Tax		0.00	0.00
	Surplus To Co. Treasurer		0.03	0.03
	H.R.R.D.		0.00	0.00
	Relevied School		164,053.11	164,053.11
	General Town	76,233.00		76,233.00
	Highway	595,318.13		595,318.13
FD029	Providence Fire	198,547.09		198,547.09
PK010	Lake Nancy Park	23,850.01		23,850.01
	Surplus To Supervisor	0.03		0.03
OT020	Omitted Tax	399.83	264.96	664.79
SA020	Special Assessment	0.00		0.00
	Excess on Roll		0.05	0.05
	Shortage on Roll		0.00	0.00
	Total Due	894,348.09	630,585.96	1,524,934.05
	Amount Due Supervisor	894,348.09		
	Amount Due Treasurer	630,585.96		
	Total of Warrant	1,524,934.05		
Code	Saratoga			
	NYS Mandates		1,455,745.67	1,455,745.67
	General County - Self Insurance		13,159.24	13,159.24
	General County - Election Expenses		2,299.78	2,299.78
	General County - Amount Due County		0.00	0.00
	General County - Less Amount Due Town		-2,028.92	-2,028.92
	Less Sales Tax		0.00	0.00
	Surplus To Co. Treasurer		0.39	0.39
	Relevied Village Taxes		133,477.93	133,477.93
	Relevied School		481,631.80	481,631.80
	County Sewer		149,864.00	149,864.00
	General Town	685,984.00		685,984.00
	Highway Townwide	0.00		0.00
	Highway Outside	0.00		0.00
AD007	Ambulance District	324,694.45		324,694.45
FD030	Quaker Springs Fire	482,600.17		482,600.17
FD031	Fire Protection	51,868.01		51,868.01
PR001	Saratoga Lake Prot./Imp. Dist.		70,309.96	70,309.96
	Surplus To Supervisor	0.41		0.41
OT020	Omitted Tax	378.44	1,254.69	1,633.13
RB020	Ag Rollback	0.00	0.00	0.00
SA020	Special Assessment	0.00		0.00
SR001	Relevied Sewer	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Excess on Roll		0.00	0.00
	Shortage on Roll		-0.30	-0.30
	Total Due	1,545,525.48	2,305,714.24	3,851,239.72
	Amount Due Supervisor	1,545,525.48		

Amount Due Treasurer 2,305,714.24
 Total of Warrant 3,851,239.72

Code Stillwater

	NYS Mandates	2,285,352.58	2,285,352.58
	General County - Consolidated Health District	24,305.00	24,305.00
	General County - Self Insurance	43,151.99	43,151.99
	General County - Election Expenses	3,182.68	3,182.68
	General County - Amount Due County	0.00	0.00
	General County - Less Amount Due Town	-2,597.56	-2,597.56
	Less Sales Tax	0.00	0.00
	Surplus To Co. Treasurer	0.93	0.93
	H.R.R.D.	0.00	0.00
	Relevied Village Taxes	51,549.83	51,549.83
	Relevied School	610,158.25	610,158.25
	County Sewer	701,022.75	701,022.75
	General Town	1,282,366.00	1,282,366.00
	Ambulance	307,169.00	307,169.00
	Highway Townwide	0.00	0.00
	Highway Outside	1,598,151.39	1,598,151.39
	General Outside	0.00	0.00
FD033	Stillwater Fire	917,367.59	917,367.59
LT018	Light #1	11,653.06	11,653.06
LT019	Light #2	2,336.00	2,336.00
LT020	Light #3	10,481.00	10,481.00
LT021	Light #4	6,545.02	6,545.02
PR001	Saratoga Lake Prot./Imp. Dist.	108,431.28	108,431.28
SE048	Sewer District #3	41,276.00	41,276.00
SE055	Sewer District #1	0.00	0.00
WT083	Water Dist 5 - units	0.00	0.00
WT095	Water Dist 6 (1)	0.00	0.00
WT095	Water Dist 6 (1) - Units	0.00	0.00
WT099	Water Dist 6 (3)	82,551.00	82,551.00
WT100	Water Dist 6 (4)	93,413.00	93,413.00
WT105	Water Dist 5 Ext 1	0.00	0.00
	Surplus To Supervisor	0.86	0.86
OT020	Omitted Tax	2,850.88	5,763.28
RB020	Ag Rollback	0.00	0.00
SA020	Special Assessment	0.00	0.00
SR001	Relevied Sewer	11,704.32	11,704.32
WR001	Relevied Water	149,987.47	149,987.47
	Excess on Roll	0.00	0.00
	Shortage on Roll	-2.14	-2.14
	Total Due	4,517,914.11	3,827,406.47
	Amount Due Supervisor	4,517,914.11	
	Amount Due Treasurer	3,827,406.47	
	Total of Warrant	8,345,320.58	

Code Waterford

	NYS Mandates	1,686,339.66	1,686,339.66
	General County - Consolidated Health District	2,868.77	2,868.77
	General County - Self Insurance	74,595.40	74,595.40
	General County - Election Expenses	4,839.24	4,839.24
	General County - Amount Due County	25,812.08	25,812.08
	General County - Less Amount Due Town	0.00	0.00
	Less Sales Tax	0.00	0.00
	Surplus To Co. Treasurer	0.68	0.68
	Relevied Village Taxes	31,174.47	31,174.47
	Relevied School	576,924.60	576,924.60
	General Town	2,402,172.00	2,402,172.00

	Highway Outside	0.00		0.00
AD004	Waterford Ambulance	399,191.24		399,191.24
FD005	Halfmoon-Waterford Fire	390,634.26		390,634.26
FD034	Northside Fire	295,327.01		295,327.01
FD035	Fire Protection District #1	175,445.17		175,445.17
ID001	Industry Dr Imp	14,116.00		14,116.00
LT022	Elayne Meadow Light	6,981.01		6,981.01
LT023	Mohawk Light	3,100.01		3,100.01
LT024	Northside Light	19,775.00		19,775.00
LT025	Prospect Hill Light	2,425.02		2,425.02
LT031	Sage Road Light	365.00		365.00
SE013	Waterford Sewer Ad Valorem	0.00		0.00
SE013	Waterford Sewer Benefits - units	108,600.25		108,600.25
WT019	Water Bonds	305,569.29		305,569.29
WT020	Devitt Road Water	0.00		0.00
	Surplus To Supervisor	0.39		0.39
OT020	Omitted Tax	3,165.58	3,000.06	6,165.64
RB020	Ag Rollback	0.00		0.00
SA020	Special Assessment	1,343.36	0.00	1,343.36
SR001	Relevied Sewer	100,586.96	0.00	100,586.96
WR001	Relevied Water	179,590.57		179,590.57
	Excess on Roll			
	Shortage on Roll		-0.84	-0.84
	Total Due	4,408,388.12	2,405,554.12	6,813,942.24
	Amount Due Supervisor	4,408,388.12		
	Amount Due Treasurer	2,405,554.12		
	Total of Warrant	6,813,942.24		

Code Wilton

	NYS Mandates		5,343,982.57	5,343,982.57
	General County - Self Insurance		77,265.89	77,265.89
	General County - Election Expenses		6,071.06	6,071.06
	General County - Amount Due County		20,212.62	20,212.62
	General County - Less Amount Due Town		0.00	0.00
	Less Sales Tax		0.00	0.00
	Surplus To Co. Treasurer		1.56	1.56
	Relevied School		359,809.10	359,809.10
	County Sewer		1,371,507.50	1,371,507.50
	General Town	0.00		0.00
	Highway	0.00		0.00
AD005	Wilton Emergency Squad	638,355.82		638,355.82
DR002	Canyon Run Drainage District	0.00		0.00
FD006	Greenfield Fire	773,444.31		773,444.31
FD036	Wilton Fire	1,406,001.32		1,406,001.32
WT084	Groundwater Dist #1	1,040.00		1,040.00
	Surplus To Supervisor	0.00		0.00
OT020	Omitted Tax	0.00	4,185.15	4,185.15
SA020	Special Assessment	0.00		0.00
SR001	Relevied Sewer	0.00	4,363.68	4,363.68
WR001	Relevied Water	0.00		0.00
	Excess on Roll		0.00	0.00
	Shortage on Roll		-0.92	-0.92
	Total Due	2,818,841.45	7,187,398.21	10,006,239.66
	Amount Due Supervisor	2,818,841.45		
	Amount Due Treasurer	7,187,398.21		
	Total of Warrant	10,006,239.66		

Code Mechanicville

	NYS Mandates	562,913.46	562,913.46
--	--------------	------------	------------

	General County - Self Insurance		61,398.15	61,398.15
	General County - Election Expenses		2,594.08	2,594.08
	General County - Amount Due County		0.00	0.00
	General County - Less Amount Due City		-13,204.01	-13,204.01
	Surplus To Co. Treasurer		0.08	0.08
	County Sewer		697,168.50	697,168.50
OT020	Omitted Tax	0.00	643.96	643.96
SR001	Relevied Sewer	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Total Due	0.00	1,311,514.22	
	1,311,514.22			
	Amount Due Supervisor	0.00		
	Amount Due Treasurer	1,311,514.22		
	Total of Warrant	1,311,514.22		
Code	Saratoga Springs			
	NYS Mandates		12,140,500.97	12,140,500.97
	General County - Self Insurance		745,936.75	745,936.75
	General County - Election Expenses		15,640.30	15,640.30
	General County - Amount Due County		0.00	0.00
	General County - Less Amount Due City		-10,990.42	-10,990.42
	Surplus To Co. Treasurer		3.36	3.36
PR001	Saratoga Lake Prot./Imp. Dist.		109,447.98	109,447.98
OT020	Omitted Tax	0.00	7,777.27	7,777.27
	Total Due	0.00	13,008,316.21	13,008,316.21
	Amount Due Supervisor	0.00		
	Amount Due Treasurer	13,008,316.21		
	Total of Warrant	13,008,316.21		

RESOLUTION 280 - 2020

Introduced by Supervisors Pemrick, Kinowski, Kusnierz, Schopf, Tollisen, Winney and Wright

ESTABLISHING 2021 SCALE OF CHARGES FOR SARATOGA COUNTY SEWER DISTRICT NO. 1

WHEREAS, pursuant to County Law §266, the Commissioners of Saratoga County Sewer District No. 1 adopted a scale of charges for the Sewer District’s 2021 services; and

WHEREAS, this scale was duly published in the official newspapers of the County and on the County of Saratoga’s official website and was duly filed with our Clerk of the Board; and

WHEREAS, after due consideration, and upon the District’s recommendation, this Board finds that it is in the public interest to adopt that scale of charges for collection, conveyance, treatment and disposal of sewage; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the following 2021 scale of charges for the Sewer District, be, and the same hereby is, confirmed and established:

2021 SCALE OF CHARGES FOR SARATOGA COUNTY SEWER DISTRICT NO. 1

SECTION 1 - SEWER CHARGES IMPOSED

Pursuant to County Law §266(1)(a), Saratoga County Sewer District No. 1 hereby imposes sewer charges for 2021 upon all premises and real property, within or without District boundaries, connected to, the District's sewer system.

SECTION 2 - ESTABLISHMENT AND CONFIRMATION OF SEWER CHARGES

Subject to confirmation by the County Board of Supervisors, this scale of charges may, from time to time, be amended by the District's Commission.

SECTION 3 - CRITERIA FOR ESTABLISHING SEWER USER CHARGES

The scale of sewer user charges shall use the following criteria:

(a) A user is defined as any piece of land upon which a building or buildings stand and is connected to an interceptor, trunk or collector sewer served by the District.

(b) The annual cost of debt service, administration, operation and maintenance for the District's treatment facilities will be generally allocated to all users.

(c) The annual cost of debt service and operation and maintenance associated with the interceptor sewer, will be generally allocated to all users connected to and/or discharging into the system.

(d) The annual cost of debt service and operation and maintenance associated with each major trunk sewer system will be generally allocated to all users connected to and/or discharging into the system.

(e) A connection unit is defined as a single-family dwelling unit. Units include, but are not limited to, a single family house, each apartment unit (e.g. an apartment building with six apartments is six units), each half of a duplex, a cottage, a camp, a mobile home, and each unit of a condominium or townhouse. A "unit" as used for billing purposes will be 200 gallons per day of sewer discharge, or any portion thereof. No user will be rated at less than one unit. Users will be rated in terms of whole connection units. Each tenant with sanitary facilities within a commercial complex will be defined as an individual user.

(f) As determined by the Executive Director of the Sewer District and the Saratoga County Real Property Tax Service Agency, restaurants directly billed by the Sewer District, and restaurants that are anchor tenants of properties billed by the sewer district, shall be provided a fifty (50) percent unit reduction for 2021. This statement supersedes the flow definitions for units provided below for 2021.

(g) For all users, including single-family dwelling units, equivalent connection units (E.C.U.) will be determined based on the following schedule which accommodates peak demand and potential flow needs:

USER CHARGES:

Single parcel:

A. Vacant - no charge unless a lateral was requested and installed. If lateral is installed, a collector fee shall be charged for each lateral.

B. Occupied - Residential

(1) Single Family Residence

a. 1 user, 1 collector as applicable.

(2) Multiple Family Residence – Each separate dwelling unit in a two family, three family or multiple dwelling, which is not an apartment

a. 1 user per dwelling unit

b. 1 collector per dwelling unit as applicable

(3) Apartments

a. Each dwelling unit is assigned 1 user and 1 collector as applicable

b. Subsidized senior housing - For complexes receiving Federal, State or municipal rent subsidies for dedicated senior housing, each 2 dwelling units will be assigned 1 user and 1 collector as applicable

c. Proof of entitlement to the designation as senior subsidized housing will need to be provided by the user and will be subject to approval of the Sewer Commission; confirmation of continuance of the subsidy will need to be provided to the Sewer District by September 1st of each year.

(4) Trailer Parks

a. 1 user for each approved trailer site

(5) Condominiums

- a. Each unit is assigned 1 user and 1 collector as applicable
- b. Charges will commence upon issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy by the local municipality for those units that the New York State Department of Law has authorized to be offered for sale. Common area facilities will be assessed to the owner of record as determined under provisions of Commercial Users.

- C. Occupied - Commercial
 - (1) 1 Collector for each user

COMMERCIAL USERS

A. Restroom is defined as 1 toilet, sink and/or urinal to be adjusted proportionately for additional facilities

- B. Commercial, non-food
 - User - 400 GPD/restroom, or 0.1 GPD/sq. ft., whichever is greater.
 - Collector - 1 collector per each user

- C. Other commercial, food/gas, etc. (e.g., convenient store)
 - User - 2 per restroom, or 0.1 GPD/sq. ft., whichever is greater.

D. Misc. GPD

Beauty/Barber shop (per chair)	100
plus 2 units for sanitary facilities	
Bowling alley (per lane, no food)	75
plus restaurant facility (see below)	
Camps, day (per person)	15 – 20
resort	65 - 75
Country club (per member)	25
Hotels/Motels (per room) no kitchen	120
(per room) with kitchen	150
plus dining facilities (see below)	
Day worker (per shift)	15 - 35
Dental office Apply A (SEE ABOVE)	-
Hospital (per bed)	250 - 350
Institutions other than hospitals (per bed)	125
Bed and Breakfast - 2 units per bathroom	400
Schools	
Day (per student)/day care(per adult and child)	10
cafeteria, add	10
showers, add	5
Boarding (per student)	100
Service station (per restroom)	400
Self-service laundry (per machine)	400
Shopping center	.05/sq. ft
(plus restaurant, see below)	
1 collector per each user	
Swimming pool/beach with bathhouse	10
(per person)	
Theatre indoor (per seat)	3
drive-in (per car space)	5
Public assembly (per person)	3 - 10
Car wash (per bay)	400
Campground (per site) w/facilities	100
Seasonal Use (6 months or less), per site	50
Food Service:	
Ordinary restaurant ** (per seat)	35

24-hour restaurant (per seat)	50
restaurant on freeway (per seat)	70
tavern (little food service) (per seat)	20
curb service (per car space)	50
catering/banquet facilities - per seat	20
carryout food service (minimum of 3 users)	
**This assumes a minimum of 7 GPD/per person and turnover of 5x/day/seat	
Office building	.1/sq. ft.
or 2 units per restroom (whichever is more)	
Warehouse - storage floor space only	.02/sq. ft.

For all commercial users: where actual sewer flow is measured, that flow (one year's record data) shall be used for determining an E.C.U. count with adjustments for discharge strength and content. The data is to provide cumulative and daily peak flow volumes; the methodology is subject to approval of the Executive Director.

Users generating higher annual sewer flows than above schedule, the following rate table applies:

<u>Consumption (gallons)</u>	<u>Connection Units</u>
Up to 112,500	1
112,501 - 187,500	2
187,501 - 262,500	3
262,501 - 337,500	4
337,501 - 412,500	5

For each additional 75,000 gallons or any part thereof, the number of connection units shall be increased by one.

(h) When there is a substantial increase in sewer flows during the current year, the District may adjust the number of connection units charged and bill the user directly for that additional sum pursuant to Section 8.

(i) Saratoga Spa State Park will be charged based on their 2020 measured waste expressed in E.C.U.'s discharged to District facilities.

(j) Wastewater from wet processing and other operations is subject to the restrictions, prohibitions and surcharges outlined in the District's rules and regulations covering the discharge of sewage, industrial waste and other waste into the Saratoga County sewer system and all sewers tributary thereto.

(k) A user discharging B.O.D. or T.S.S. to the District facilities exceeding the amount allowed in the District's rules and regulations will be charged \$700 per dry ton for that excess per conditions of their discharge permit.

(l) All costs of the District's pretreatment and spill response program including, but not limited to, laboratory testing, labor, equipment, materials, consultants and sub-consultants, contractors and subcontractors, and fines, shall be reimbursed by the individual or user.

(m) Outside non-residential users will be charged two and one-half times the rate established for the trunk system servicing that user, residential housing will be one and one-half times that rate.

(n) Users of collection sewers turned over to the District will be charged for that portion of the District facilities utilized and at the same rate as the other users of the particular system.

SECTION 4 - SCALE OF CHARGES

The following is the 2021 scale of charges for the trunking and treatment of sewage.

(a) The cost of the treatment facilities and interceptor sewer lines is allocated among the 23 trunk systems. The units for those trunks and the portion of the 2021 user charges based on those units are as follows:

2021 SEWER CHARGES

	<u>UNITS</u>	<u>CHARGE</u>	<u>REVENUE (thousands)</u>
Ballston Spa - Village	3,246.50	\$ 237.50	\$ 771,043.75
Ballston - Town	1,648.00	\$ 237.50	\$ 391,400.00
Clifton Park	15,356.50	\$ 255.50	\$ 3,923,585.75
Country Knolls - Clifton Park	1,142.00	\$ 247.50	\$ 282,645.00
Country Knolls North - Malta	1,982.00	\$ 237.50	\$ 470,725.00
Halfmoon	9,909.00	\$ 271.50	\$ 2,690,293.50
Mechanicville	3,069.00	\$ 234.50	\$ 719,680.50
Pruyn Hill - Halfmoon	618.00	\$ 244.50	\$ 151,101.00
Riverside - Stillwater	1,151.00	\$ 311.50	\$ 358,536.50
Round Lake - Village	381.00	\$ 282.50	\$ 107,632.50
Saratoga State Park	117.00	\$ 258.50	\$ 30,244.50
Saratoga Springs	15,034.00	\$ 241.50	\$ 3,630,711.00
Saratoga Lake - Saratoga, Stillwater	1,114.50	\$ 340.50	\$ 379,487.25
Wilton	4,923.00	\$ 269.50	\$ 1,326,748.50
Greenfield	550.00	\$ 271.50	\$ 149,325.00
Milton - Town	2,405.00	\$ 237.50	\$ 571,187.50
Malta	5,845.00	\$ 281.50	\$ 1,645,367.50
Cascade Service - Halfmoon	1,946.00	\$ 234.50	\$ 456,337.00
NYSERDA Service - Malta	84.00	\$ 255.50	\$ 21,462.00
Hemstreet Park - Outside, residential	236.00	\$ 333.00	\$ 78,588.00
Saratoga County Water Authority	30.00	\$ 269.50	\$ 8,085.00
Cold Springs Road - Stillwater	15,000.00	\$ 244.50	\$ 3,667,500.00
TOTAL	85,787.50		\$ 21,831,686.75

(b) The total user money needed in 2021 for debt service, maintenance and operation is \$21,831,686.75.

(c) The property owners served by the Sewer District will pay the established rate per connection unit listed above.

(d) Property owners within the other trunks will pay the following connection charges based on E.C.U.'s:

Saratoga Spa State Park	\$ 30,244.50
Saratoga County Water Authority	\$ 8,085.00
City of Saratoga Springs, including Geyser Crest, Crescent Avenue, Geyser Road, Floral Estates Saratoga Lake (within city limits)	\$3,630,711.00

(e) Outside non-residential users will pay two and one-half times the established rate.

(f) Outside residential housing units will pay one and one-half times the established rate.

SECTION 5 - COLLECTOR SEWER SYSTEM

Collector sewer rates are generally based on the overall construction costs of the collector system together with debt service or operation and maintenance costs of the collector system. The number of collector units charged per E.C.U. is defined under the "USER RATES"

The District's collector sewer charges, exclusive of any trunk and treatment charges are as follows:

SUMMARY OF COLLECTOR SEWER RATES

Does Not Include Trunk and Treatment Charge

<u>Description</u>	<u>Total Charge</u>
Ballston	\$ 50.00
Clifton Park systems served by Clifton Park/Halfmoon Trunk	\$ 50.00
Country Knolls system - Clifton Park	\$ 50.00
Pruyn Hill system	\$ 50.00
Halfmoon systems served by Clifton Park/Halfmoon trunk	\$ 50.00
Country Knolls North – Malta	\$ 50.00
Saratoga Springs (includes Kirby Road, Loughberry Lake, Crescent Avenue, Saratoga Lake portion of collection system, Floral Estates)	\$60,050.00
Sherwood Forest - Clifton Park	\$ 50.00
Riverside system – Stillwater	\$ 50.00
Saratoga Lake (towns)	\$ 50.00
Wilton	\$ 50.00
Greenfield	\$ 50.00

SECTION 6 - PAYMENT OF SEWER USER CHARGES

All sewer charges, excepting those for Saratoga Springs, Saratoga Spa State Park, Town of Schaghticoke, Saratoga County Water Authority, NYSEDA and GLOBALFOUNDRIES shall be collected pursuant to Real Property Tax Law Article 11. The assessor of each municipality utilizing the town and county tax bills for the collection of taxes shall properly code the assessment roll as to the number of connection units for each particular property and for those properties utilizing the collector system. The assessor shall use the District's formula to determine the units for multiple dwellings and commercial users of the trunk and collector systems.

The City of Saratoga Springs, which includes the Geysers Crest, Geysers Road and a portion of the Saratoga Lake trunk sewer systems; as well as the Kirby Road, Loughberry Lake, Crescent Avenue and a portion of the Saratoga Lake collector systems shall each be considered as a single-bulk user and shall be so billed by the District. The city's collecting officer shall determine the appropriate method of assessing and collecting its sewer user charge. This single-bulk user charge may be passed on to city residents as a city sewer rent and enforced pursuant to General Municipal Law §452.

City payments shall be due quarterly by March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31, 2021. A late payment charge of one percent (1%) per month shall be added for each month or portion thereof until paid.

The District shall bill Saratoga Spa State Park their 2021 user charges payable no later than May 1, 2021.

The Town of Schaghticoke shall be billed by the District for service to the Hemstreet Park area of the Town on a semi-annual basis in accordance with the terms of the District's Inter-municipal Agreement with the Town.

GLOBALFOUNDRIES and Saratoga County Water Authority will be billed on a monthly basis based on flows discharged the preceding month per terms of their Industrial Discharge Permits.

Payments by single-bulk users shall be made to the County Treasurer who shall reject any untimely or insufficient payment. The postmark date of a payment shall be evidence of payment.

SECTION 7 - PENALTIES FOR LATE PAYMENTS

Sewer charges shall be subject to the same penalties and interest applicable to County taxes generally.

SECTION 8 - PAYMENT OF SEWER CHARGES NOT ON TOWN/COUNTY TAX ROLLS

The District shall bill directly those users of the collector sewer system and the trunk sewer system not appearing on the town and county tax rolls. Payments shall be made to the District and forwarded to the County Treasurer. Partial payments will not be accepted and will be returned.

The District shall also bill directly those users that have had an increase in connection units during the year.

The County Treasurer shall prepare and transmit to the Board of Supervisors, on or before December 1, a list of District residents or property owners within the District who are in arrears in the payment of such trunk or collector charges for a period of 30 days or more. The list shall contain a brief description of the properties for which the services were provided, the names of the persons or corporations liable to pay for same and the amount chargeable to each including penalties and interest computed to December 31.

The Board of Supervisors shall levy such sums against the properties liable and shall state the amount thereof in a separate column in the annual tax rolls of the various municipalities under the name of "county sewer charges". Such amounts, when collected by the several municipal collectors or receivers of taxes, shall be paid over to the County Treasurer. All of the provisions of the tax laws of the State of New York covering the enforcement and collection of unpaid taxes or assessments for special improvements not inconsistent herewith shall apply to the collection of such unpaid charges. Such amounts, when received by the County Treasurer, shall be credited to the County Sewer District fund for the District's exclusive use.

BUDGET IMPACT STATEMENT: No budget impact.

Mr. Grattidge made a motion to approve Resolution 263. Mr. Zlotnick seconded the motion. Discussion on Resolution 263

Mr. Kusnierz said he would like to offer an amendment to the resolved portion of the resolution. Essentially changing the effective date of the term so that it shall commence on January 8, 2021 versus January 1st and that the Resolution shall take effect on January 8, 2021. Ms. Gaston seconded the motion.

Mr. Kinowski asked if there was anything the Board needed to be concerned about for the legality of the amended resolution. From a personnel standpoint or any other, this wasn't cleared through a committee and was asking if there was any concern for that resolved change.

Mr. Dorsey said he has no concern. He said it doesn't need to be cleared through him or a committee. Mr. Kinowski said normally resolutions are brought through committee, then through the Attorney's Office and then out to everyone for review. Many times, it has been happening throughout the year, that resolutions are being brought up by other members and not going through the normal channels. He said that is why he asked. Mr. Dorsey said any resolution can be amended on the floor.

Mr. Lawler asked if Supervisor Kusnierz or Gaston could provide the Board with the rationale for the change in date.

Mr. Kusnierz said he would be happy to. He said every year there is a change in leadership at the County and he thinks it would be incredibly helpful to have the number two individual in the County Administrator's Office to be available to be a part of that transition. Mr. Lawler asked if he understood correctly, the point of the resolution is to make sure that Mr. Cooke stays in the County Administrator's Office that extra week. Mr. Kusnierz said the difference between January 1st and January 8th. Mr. Lawler said he was rounding it off to a week and he asked if that was the point of it making the appointment effective January 8th. Mr. Kusnierz said as he stated in his amendment.

On a motion by Mr. Kusnierz, seconded by Ms. Gaston Resolution #263 was amended by the following roll call vote:

AYES (130492): Philip C. Barrett (18352.5), Jonathon Schopf (18352.5), Kevin Tollisen (21535), Darren O'Connor (14765), Theodore Kusnierz (14728), Tara Gaston (13293), Matthew E. Veitch (13293), John Lant (16173).

NOES (89115): Eric Connolly (9776), Alan Grattidge (4133), Richard Lucia (6531), Preston Allen (856), Jean Raymond (1214), Michael Smith (3545), Daniel Pemrick (7775), Arthur M. Wright (2048), Thomas Richardson (5196), Benny Zlotnick (18575), Willard H. Peck (5087), Sandra Winney (1995), Thomas N. Wood, III (5674), Edward D. Kinowski (8287), John Lawler (8423).

On a motion by Ms. Gaston, seconded by Mr. Barrett Resolution #263 was adopted as amended by the following vote:

AYES (181554): Eric Connolly (9776), Philip C. Barrett (18352.5), Jonathon Schopf (18352.5), Jean Raymond (1214), Michael Smith (3545), Kevin Tollisen (21535), Darren O'Connor (14765), Thomas Richardson (5196), Benny Zlotnick (18575), Theodore Kusnierz (14728), Willard H. Peck (5087), Sandra Winney (1995), Thomas N. Wood, III (5674), Tara Gaston (13293), Matthew E. Veitch (13293), John Lant (16173).

NOES (38053): Alan Grattidge (4133), Richard Lucia (6531), Preston Allen (856), Daniel Pemrick (7775), Arthur M. Wright (2048), Edward D. Kinowski (8287), John Lawler (8423).

RESOLUTION 263 - 2020

Introduced by Supervisors Wood, Grattidge, Lawler, Lucia, Peck, Winney and Wright
Amended on Motion of Supervisor Kusnierz, Seconded by Supervisor Gaston

APPOINTING CHAD COOKE, P.E. AS COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC WORKS

WHEREAS, by reason of the retirement of Keith Manz, P.E., a vacancy has been created in the position of Commissioner of Public Works; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Resolution 4-2019, Keith Manz had been appointed Commissioner of Public Works for a term expiring on December 31, 2022; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Local Law No. 2 of 1983, as amended by Local Law No. 6 of 1994, in the event of a vacancy in the office of Commissioner of Public Works prior to the expiration of the Commissioner's appointed term, the Board of Supervisors shall appoint a successor for the remainder of the Commissioner's unexpired term; and

WHEREAS, the Human Resources and Insurance Committee has recommended a qualified applicant to fill the vacant position of Commissioner of Public Works; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that pursuant to the authority of Local Law No. 2 of 1983, as amended by Local Law No. 6 of 1994, Chad Cooke, P.E. of the Town of Clifton Park be and hereby is appointed to the office of Commissioner of Public Works for the County of Saratoga for a term commencing on January 8, 2021 and expiring on December 31, 2022, at Salary Grade 23, Step 7A; and be it further

RESOLVED, that this Resolution shall take effect on January 8, 2021.

BUDGET IMPACT STATEMENT: No budget impact.

Ms. Gaston made a motion to approve Resolution #264. Mr. Barrett seconded the motion. Discussion on Resolution #264.

Ms. Gaston said her only purpose in asking for a separate vote is to re-confirm that this is an acting position and not for a term. She said she knows there was some interview process and wants to be sure there is no term set on this. Mr. Dorsey said yes. He said it states right in the resolution until such time a new County Attorney is appointed by the Board and that person assumes the duties of the position. Ms. Gaston wanted to be sure as she had gotten some conflicting information.

Mr. Schopf said he would like to offer an amendment to modify the rate of pay to \$85.65, which he believes would be the rate of the County Attorney's salary starting January 1. Mr. Barrett seconded the motion for the amendment.

Mr. Kinowski said he would like to ask Administration if that has an effect on anything to do with personnel changes or matters running through our schedule. He asked if anyone had had an opportunity to review it from that standpoint and if this was setting a precedent.

Mr. Hellwig said this has not been shared with Administration until today. Mr. Kinowski said the vote that is about to happen is an arbitrary thought that may be setting a precedent. Mr. Schopf said there have been other positions passed and appointed at rates of pay. He added Mr. Burke will be coming in as the Interim County Attorney to the extent that that position exists under law and as such he is entitled to be compensated at the rate of the outgoing County Attorney. Mr. Kinowski asked if it mattered the years or service or the schedule or anything else. Mr. Schopf said it is an interim position and not for a term. He added that it was a stipend.

Mr. Lawler said for the record this is contrary to the way we have filled interim positions in the past. Longevity and various steps calculations have been used to make sure there was consistency across the Board and that this is an arbitrary adjustment outside the past practice. He agrees with Supervisor Kinowski that this sets a precedent that can change salaries of the whim of the Board, which of course the Board has that right. He said there should be some methodology and some consistency in it other than let's pay him what the other guy got regardless of how long either of them had been at the County. This is not consistent with past methodology or past practice. He said it is another example of something falling out of the sky the day of the meeting which is inconsistent with past practices both in the form it is being done and in the content of the decision. This is not how we have done things in the past.

Mr. Barrett said he would like to ask Mr. Dorsey a question. He asked Mr. Dorsey who his Deputy Attorney is currently. Mr. Dorsey said he doesn't have anyone who serves in the position of Deputy. Mr. Barrett said your First Assistant. Mr. Dorsey said the title is First Assistant and that is Hugh Burke. Mr. Barrett said that is the same as a Deputy as we know the term and asked if Mr. Burke was next in the pecking order. Mr. Dorsey said Mr. Burke was.

Mr. Kinowski said he would like to make it known that he is not against paying someone for good services rendered. He said he would like to follow procedure and not set a future precedent. He said Mr. Burke would deserve everything that is coming to him for stepping in these shoes that need to be filled. Mr. Kinowski would have liked to see this amendment come through the Committee channels.

Mr. Lawler said also for the record he fully supports Mr. Burke for this position and is happy with the compensation practices the Board has had in the past. Mr. Lawler asked Mr. Burke if he was at all unhappy with the pay as the resolution proposes. Ms. Gaston said point of information, to Mr. Burke, please don't feel compelled to answer. Mr. Lawler said excuse me Ms. Gaston he had the floor and he was asking Mr. Burke a question. Ms. Gaston said it may be stepping entirely out of her pocket but she requests that staff not be required to answer such a question in a public meeting about their pay. She said Mr. Burke may answer it or not but she would like all County staff and officials to know that. Mr. Schopf said he didn't think it was appropriate. Mr. Lawler said that the hysterics are over and asked Mr. Burke to answer the question if he was comfortable doing so. Mr. Burke said he is comfortable with more money Supervisor Lawler. Mr. Barrett said good answer, you're a good attorney.

Mr. Peck said for clarification the number Mr. Schopf said was \$85.65. Mr. Schopf said yes, per hour. Mr. Peck said that is based on what Attorney Dorsey would have been at on January 1. Mr. Schopf said correct. Mr. Peck said the rate in the resolution, \$79.7674, was based on going from Assistant Attorney to the base rate of County Attorney the base pay rate. The Personnel policy states if a person goes up or lateral upward. He wanted everyone to know why that number came out of Human Resources. When a permanent attorney is put in to place they would be brought in at base pay unless they were internal and having to go sideways or upward. Mr. Schopf said correct, whatever that employment arrangement is. Ms. McNamara said if the intention is to have Mr. Burke make what the outgoing attorney is making the rate should be \$87.81.

Mr. Schopf said he would like to withdraw his amendment and re-amend to the rate of \$87.71 per hour as clarified. Mr. Barrett seconded. Mr. Zlotnick said for the benefit for the Supervisors that are not with us in the room, he asked everyone to use their microphones so the folks on the phones can hear exactly what is going on. Mr. Barrett said second, Supervisor Barrett, Town of Clifton Park.

On a motion by Mr. Schopf, seconded by Mr. Barrett Resolution #264 was amended by the following vote:

AYES (126975): Eric Connolly (9776), Philip C. Barrett (18352.5), Jonathon Schopf (18352.5), Kevin Tollisen (21535), Darren O'Connor (14765), Theodore Kusnierz (14728), Tara Gaston (13293), John Lant (16173).

NOES (92632): Alan Grattidge (4133), Richard Lucia (6531), Preston Allen (856), Jean Raymond (1214), Michael Smith (3545), Daniel Pemrick (7775), Arthur M. Wright (2048), Thomas Richardson (5196), Benny Zlotnick (18575), Willard H. Peck (5087), Sandra Winney (1995), Thomas N. Wood, III (5674), Matthew E. Veitch (13293), Edward D. Kinowski (8287), John Lawler (8423).

On a motion by Mr. Peck, seconded by Ms. Gaston Resolution #264 was adopted as amended by the following vote:

AYES (174473): Eric Connolly (9776), Alan Grattidge (4133), Philip C. Barrett (18352.5), Jonathon Schopf (18352.5), Jean Raymond (1214), Kevin Tollisen (21535), Darren O'Connor (14765), Thomas Richardson (5196), Benny Zlotnick (18575), Theodore Kusnierz (14728), Willard H. Peck (5087), Tara Gaston (13293), Matthew E. Veitch (13293), John Lant (16173).

NOES (45134): Richard Lucia (6531), Preston Allen (856), Michael Smith (3545), Daniel Pemrick (7775), Arthur M. Wright (2048), Sandra Winney (1995), Thomas N. Wood, III (5674), Edward D. Kinowski (8287), John Lawler (8423).

RESOLUTION 264 - 2020

Introduced by Supervisors Wood, Grattidge, Lawler, Lucia, Peck, Winney and Wright
Amended on Motion of Supervisor Schopf, Seconded by Supervisor Barrett

APPOINTING HUGH G. BURKE AS ACTING COUNTY ATTORNEY AND AUTHORIZING A TEMPORARY INCREASE IN HIS COMPENSATION

WHEREAS, County Attorney, Stephen M. Dorsey, is retiring on December 31, 2020; and

WHEREAS, maintaining continuity in the County Attorney's Office necessitates appointing an Acting County Attorney until a successor County Attorney can be appointed by this Board; and

WHEREAS, Hugh G. Burke currently serves in the position of First Assistant County Attorney in the Office of the County Attorney; and

WHEREAS, in the past the County Attorney has been designated as the person to hear appeals regarding the denial of access to public records under the Freedom of Information Law, pursuant to Resolution 66 of 1978; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that Hugh G. Burke be and he hereby is appointed Acting County Attorney, with all the powers and duties of the County Attorney as prescribed by Section 501 of the County Law, commencing January 1, 2021 and continuing until such time as a new County Attorney is appointed by this Board and assumes the duties of the position; and, be it further

RESOLVED, for fulfilling the additional duties and responsibilities of the position of Acting County Attorney, commencing January 1, 2021 Hugh G. Burke shall be compensated at the temporary rate of \$87.71 per hour as a salaried employee until such time as a new County Attorney is appointed and assumes the duties of the position; and be it further

RESOLVED, pursuant to Public Officers Law, Article 6, Hugh G. Burke be and he is hereby designated as the person to hear appeals regarding the denial of access to public records under the Freedom of Information Law commencing January 1, 2021 and continuing until a successor is appointed by this Board of Supervisors; and be it further

RESOLVED, that in the event Hugh G. Burke shall have a conflict in hearing an appeal of a Freedom of Information Law request on which he provided legal advice to the Saratoga County Public Access Officer, Assistant County Attorney Michael Hartnett is hereby designated to hear all such appeals.

BUDGET IMPACT STATEMENT: No budget impact.

Mr. Lawler made a motion to approve Resolution #275. Mr. Kinowski seconded the motion. Discussion on Resolution #275.

Mr. Kusnierz said he has a question that he will offer through the Chairman and then can direct it where you see fit. He said the seventh whereas specifies “the County Administrator authorized payment of the first three (3) quarterly payments to the Partnership, totaling \$375,000, but did not authorize payment of the fourth quarter voucher submitted by the Partnership as it had become clear that the COVID-19 pandemic would be continuing into next year and continue to adversely impact occupancy taxes through the end of this year” and said he was looking for clarification. He asked how the County could advance monies in excess of the resolution that was approved by this body. Mr. Hellwig said the contract authorizes quarterly payments. He said the contract was being followed and about the third quarter, it was apparent there was going to be a shortfall. In past years, he had followed the same practice, which was to advance the money prior to the actual receipt of the revenues based on projections of prior years. Mr. Kusnierz thanked him for the answer. He said so we heard previously at another Board meeting that the resolution that this body considers and adopts supersedes the contract. He said again, he would ask the same question. Mr. Hellwig said you’ve got the position of the attorney representing the Partnership was that the County should be following the contract. They didn’t go much beyond that and have not reached a point where it was litigated. That was something that had the potential to be brought before a judge to make a determination. Mr. Kusnierz thanked him for that response. He asked if he heard accurately that we take guidance from the attorney for the Partnership over the attorney for the County. Mr. Hellwig said we did not take guidance; he did not make the payment. Mr. Kusnierz said we have excessively paid \$225,000, somebody paid over what was due. Mr. Hellwig said that wasn’t based on guidance; that was based on the contract language. Mr. Kusnierz said thank you.

Ms. Gaston said in the event that a resolution of the Board and the contract conflict, which one takes precedence Attorney Dorsey. Mr. Dorsey said it would be the resolution.

Mr. Kinowski said that was not even brought up until quite a ways later that was paid under a past practice. He said we didn’t get involved in these conversations until later on, in all fairness. He said past practice was followed and he would think Mr. Kusnierz and all the Board members would be happy that occurred to keep things going.

Mr. Kusnierz said he has heard it said several times this evening past practices, we’ve done it this way before. He said probably the most damaging phrase in the English language is that we have always done it this way before. He said anyone in the military knows the Rear Admiral Grace Murray Hopper, October 15th, 2019.

Mr. Kinowski said it’s a dogmatic approach and he will agree with that. We all learn as we go through life and experiences this was one hell of an experience with the pandemic. He said to Mr. Kusnierz you’re picking one item out of this whole thing not even brought up until later in the year and asked if he was serious.

Ms. Gaston said it is her understanding the contract reads that monies should be refunded that were not spent and asked if that was correct. Whatever money was distributed that has not been spent over the amount should be returned. Mr. Dorsey said that is correct and asked if she was talking about 2020. Ms. Gaston said yes, 2020. Ms. Gaston said she assumes the Partnership has spent this money and asked if there is a need for this formally. She just wondered because if they spent the money then there is no call for it in the contract. And if we are following that. She agrees the practice going forward needs to be looked at. Mr. Dorsey said this is a question for Shelby Schneider as to whether the money has been spent and what they still have left. Ms. Gaston said her particular stance on it is the money they have is the money they have. She also wonders if this is necessary under those circumstances. She also doesn’t know if this helps or hurts the Partnership or the County to formalize that. Mr. Dorsey said he doesn’t know the financial situation of the Partnership but thought at the last Economic Development meeting that there was a mention that the Partnership would have like \$20,000 left over at the end of the year but that is his vague recollection of what Ms. Schneider said at the meeting.

Mr. Lawler said he had a question for the County Administrator. He said it is his understanding that the County Administrator advances the payments in advance because of the belief under the terms of the contract that was the appropriate thing to do. He asked if that was the same thing that was done with SEDC even though they had not filed or provided the required documentation that they were supposed to at each quarter; that the County Administrator had gone ahead and advanced their payments as well under the same theory that he was going to so under the contract. Mr. Hellwig said correct. Mr. Lawler said thank you, so there was no particular favoritism here. Both organizations were treated the same. He said he thought he had just read in the paper

that the fourth quarter payment for SEDC is in the works or has been sent out. SEDC's payment has been processed unlike the Partnership whose payment is not going to be forwarded. He wanted to make the point that using the contract for the vehicle in which to time the payments is consistent with both organizations and has been consistent in the past.

Ms. Gaston asked if the contract for SEDC differed materially from the resolution, authorizing the funding for SEDC. Mr. Hellwig asked what Ms. Gaston was asking. Ms. Gaston said the contract authorizing funding for SEDC, the amount that has been paid is the same as what is in the resolution authorizing funding for them, correct. Mr. Hellwig said the contract, itself, spells out four quarterly payments and the other terms that are tied to making those payments, which is authorized under the resolution. He said that is something the Auditor looks at when he is approving the payments. Ms. Gaston asked if the County is paying SEDC a total of \$150,000 over the course of 2020. Mr. Hellwig said we are. Ms. Gaston asked if the resolution authorize payment of \$150,000 to SEDC over the course of 2020. Mr. Hellwig said it did. Ms. Gaston asked if the resolution for the Prosperity Partnership authorize for payment. Mr. Lawler said we are not debating that resolution. Ms. Gaston said we are not debating what resolution. Mr. Lawler asked if Ms. Gaston was asking about the 2020 payment for the Partnership. Ms. Gaston said yes. Ms. Gaston said she believed the amount in the resolution is pursuant to the tax law that is 50% of the occupancy tax. She would say the distinction between the SEDC and the Prosperity Partnership, although she would also note that this resolution 275 that is being talked about now doesn't deal with SEDC and that is the distinction. She said the contract and the resolution materially match. She does have problems if SEDC was required to provide documentation that they did not and that has been discussed at previous meetings. She thinks the difference between the two of them is that the contract and the resolution differ materially. Regardless, in this instance, it seems like the contract says you only return what hasn't been spent over and above bed tax. Ms. Gaston said that is why she was asking the question.

Mr. Peck said for everyone's sense here, the Prosperity Partnership is an organization incorporated, he believes, with the Board of Supervisors being named as the sole member. So it is a quasi-governmental not a separate private entity. It is an arm of the Saratoga County Board of Supervisors. He wanted to make that distinction because he thinks that is very important. If there is money that is left over and needs to come back, it really is the same money. It is an arm of the Board and the Board is the sole member.

Mr. Barrett wanted to say Supervisor Peck that is taxpayer money, that's whose money it is. It's all taxpayer money. He thinks what Supervisor Gaston is getting to is in the SEDC contract and resolution there is a set number of dollars to be allocated. In the Partnership resolution and contract it is not, it is half the bed tax. Now, the contract does not reflect what the resolution by the Board of Supervisors laid out. It does not reflect the resolution. And, Supervisor Ed, you said we are doing things the same way we've always done but the contract with the Partnership for 2020 was different that past years. It was worded differently. Why, who knows. Had different stipulations so it wasn't the same. It was different. The contract was different and the County Administrator said he followed the contract but the contract does not reflect what was in the resolution and as Mr. Dorsey said the resolution rules out over what the contract might say.

Mr. Kinowski said to Mr. Barrett you are correct, he guesses, because we are being told so by administration. But, in reality we are following contracts that would have been the same had it been written that way. It was not, don't know why. Seems to be that if SEDC was we shouldn't have paid SEDC because they didn't fulfill their contract at the time for dispersal and apparently if our Administrator knew there was this difference that wasn't asked at the time, just following past practice to pay them, they paid them. They also paid SEDC. It was continuing the process as well eloquently said by Todd, that's past practice and if you continue that path it's not really, he's paraphrasing. He said the bottom line is we are in the middle of a pandemic and we are focusing on small items here and not for this level of debate. The Administrator paid both organizations and everything is fine. He believes SCPP explained their financial division to the Board. He said this resolution is totally appropriate. He said it was just his opinion, Mr. Barrett, as a Supervisor.

Mr. Barrett thanked Mr. Kinowski he appreciates that. He begs to differ as this is hundreds of thousands of dollars and to him that is substantial. Hundreds of thousands of taxpayer money, so yes it is substantial and it is worthy of discussion. We do differ on that.

Ms. Gaston said she has no problem with this but last time she was tone deaf for \$75,000 for communications to help the County and this is significantly more than that. That's fine. She has no problem setting things where they are for 2020 but if we are going to talk about past practice let's evaluate the dollars and not depend on who is bringing the item forward for what it looks like.

Mr. Lawler said just a point of clarification, Supervisor Barrett, he thinks a minute ago, if he understood him correctly, Mr. Barrett said the contract for the Partnership was different in 2020. He doesn't think the contract was different in 2020. He thinks the contract was consistent with prior years in the sense how the timing of the payments and how the payments were made and also in prior years there was a dollar amount in the contract. That dollar amount has historically been offset by the collection of bed tax. What changed this year was the Board resolution. The Board resolution was passed the day the Board approved the budget. Just as a matter of simple clarification, the contract for the Partnership for 2020 was exactly consistent with prior year contracts for the Partnership. What changed this year was the resolution just prior to adopting the budget. He asked Mr. Hellwig if this was the same contract that the Partnership has used in the past. Mr. Hellwig said without looking at the contract, the one thing he knows has changed was the funding level. Mr. Lawler said he was asking about the quarterly payments. Mr. Hellwig asked Ms. Schneider. Ms. Schneider said it was all upfront before this year. Mr. Lawler said even better it was spaced out this year. He said that was probably a wiser thing to do and offered his compliments on that.

Mr. Kinowski said to Mr. Barrett, one other item if he may. Mr. Barrett said sure. Mr. Kinowski said if we are going to do points of contracts and all of the above if SEDC didn't fulfill their contract and didn't provide their financials and on top of that, and he believes Mr. Barrett was there at the time, the Board agreed ABO jurisdiction over them should be sought. The ABO sent a letter back and he had asked to see it. Mr. Kinowski didn't see it but received comments from Mr. Cooke that the ABO said they are supposed to follow requirements. A review of the last five years have shown they are in the red. Seems like we are bailing them out a bit too and there have been some letters that have been written that the Board is bailing out SCPP but it seems like the Board is bailing SEDC out a bit too. He said we are helping our economic development at this time and it is truly needed on both organization. It is too bad the alliance didn't move forward, kind of failed. He thought it was going to go someplace in January but apparently it didn't. At any rate he hopes it does at some point in the future and he hopes our economic development gets its full deserve of being funded and moving forward in the right direction.

Mr. Kusnierz said as a member of the Unity Committee and having the pleasure of serving as Vice Chairman of the Economic Development last year and being involved in putting together the MOU which this Board voted on and approved in bringing both economic development arms together under one umbrella in this County he is well versed in the contract. And to have anybody say that the contracts are the same between this and last year just on pages alone is false. The previous year contract was a page and a quarter. This year's contract is four maybe five pages. They are not identical. Thank you.

Mr. Grattidge asked what the vote is for. Mr. Allen said Resolution 275. Mr. Grattidge said Resolution 275, the way it is. Mr. Allen said yes.

On a motion by Mr. Lawler, seconded by Mr. Kinowski, Resolution #275 was adopted by the following vote: AYES (152001): Eric Connolly (9776), Alan Grattidge (4133), Richard Lucia (6531), Preston Allen (856), Jean Raymond (1214), Michael Smith (3545), Daniel Pemrick (7775), Arthur M. Wright (2048), Kevin Tollisen (21535), Darren O'Connor (14765), Thomas Richardson (5196), Benny Zlotnick (18575), Willard H. Peck (5087), Sandra Winney (1995), Thomas N. Wood, III (5674), Tara Gaston (13293), Matthew E. Veitch (13293), Edward D. Kinowski (8287), John Lawler (8423).

NOES (67606): Philip C. Barrett (18352.5), Jonathon Schopf (18352.5), Theodore Kusnierz (14728), John Lant (16173).

RESOLUTION 275 - 2020

Introduced by Supervisors Lawler, Kinowski, Pemrick, Raymond, Richardson and Tollisen

FORGIVING THE REPAYMENT OF AN OVERPAYMENT OF FUNDS TO THE SARATOGA COUNTY PROSPERITY PARTNERSHIP, INC.

WHEREAS, Resolution 275-2019 authorized the Chair of the Board to execute funding and service agreements with various public benefit organizations for the year 2020 pursuant to County Law §224; and

WHEREAS, included in the agreements the Chairman was authorized to sign pursuant to Resolution 275-2019 was an agreement with the Saratoga County Prosperity Partnership, Inc. (“the Partnership”) at an appropriated funding level of the “Amount Set by Tax Law §1202-g(9)”, which is fifty percent (50%) of the County’s occupancy tax revenues; and

WHEREAS, the occupancy tax revenues received by and reported to the County through November 30, 2020 stand in the amount of \$307,039.03, which is less than one-third of the occupancy tax revenues received in 2019, due to a significant reduction in tourism caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, and

WHEREAS, as 2020 occupancy tax revenues are received by the County Treasurer’s Office quarterly from the State in April, July and October of 2020, and in January of 2021, it was necessary to advance funding to the Partnership on a quarterly basis beginning in January 2020 in order that it could satisfy its ongoing financial obligations for payroll, rent, utilities, etc.; and

WHEREAS, based upon the approximately \$1,000,000 in occupancy tax revenues received by the County in 2019, occupancy tax revenues were projected to be at least \$1,000,000 in 2020, of which \$500,000 was projected to be paid to the Partnership pursuant to Tax Law §1202-g(9); and

WHEREAS, based upon such projection, the agreement between the County and the Partnership for 2020 services and funding provided for quarterly payments to the Partnership in the amount of \$125,000 on January 15, 2020; April 15, 2020; July 15, 2020, and October 15, 2020 in order that the Partnership could meet its ongoing financial obligations; and

WHEREAS, the County Administrator authorized payment of the first three (3) quarterly payments to the Partnership, totaling \$375,000, but did not authorize payment of the fourth quarter voucher submitted by the Partnership as it had become clear that the COVID-19 pandemic would be continuing into next year and continue to adversely impact occupancy taxes through the end of this year; and

WHEREAS, Shelby Schneider, the President and CEO of the Saratoga County Prosperity Partnership, Inc., (“the Partnership”) has advised the Economic Development Committee that through significant belt tightening, the receipt of grants and PPE funding, the Partnership has sufficient funds to operate through the end of this year, and will withdraw its voucher to the County for the requested fourth quarter payment; and

WHEREAS, the Partnership’s 50% share of the \$307,039.03 occupancy tax revenues reported through November 30, 2020 is \$153,519.51, resulting in an overpayment to the Partnership of \$221,480.49, with 50% of the occupancy tax to be received for the month of December to be deducted from that amount; and

WHEREAS, our Economic Development Committee has recommended that the total amount of the overpayment of funding to the Saratoga County Prosperity Partnership, Inc. in excess of the amount allotted by Resolution 275-2019 for 2020 be forgiven; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the total amount of the overpayment of funding to the Saratoga County Prosperity Partnership, Inc. in excess of the amount allotted by Resolution 275-2019 for 2020 be and the same hereby is forgiven and need not be repaid by the Partnership to the County.

BUDGET IMPACT STATEMENT: No budget impact.

Mr. Grattidge made a motion to approve Resolution #276. Mr. Kinowski seconded the motion. Discussion on Resolution #276.

Mr. Veitch said based some of the discussion we just had in the last resolution, he has looked at this resolution and tried to figure out what would be a good way to try to handle this. He is not sure he would be supportive of the appropriation the way it is listed on the resolution. He would like to keep it as it was this year. He thinks it was probably right when the resolution listed half of the bed tax. We do have \$450,000 budgeted for this organization for next year so the budget is not going to be impacted by this resolution. He said what he would like to see in this resolution is for the level of oversight that has been discussed at the Board. He would propose an amendment and it is actually for both economic development organizations, for SEDC and for the SPPP. He said he has worked on some language, read it for the record and said this is a motion. Mr. Veitch said this would basically amend Resolution #252 of 2020 with an additional resolved section and the resolved section would say “RESOLVED, that, upon submissions of a proper voucher and documentation consisting of copies of its current budget, financial statement and compensation schedule as well as any additional

documentation which may be required by the Economic Development Committee for each fiscal quarter for review by the Economic Development Committee and upon a resolution duly advanced, the Board of Supervisors shall consider the following payments for approval:

ORGANIZATION

Saratoga County Prosperity
Partnership, Inc.

SERVICE

Promote, provide, and oversee economic development activities in the County of Saratoga

APPROPRIATION

Amount set by tax law § 1202-g(9)

TYPE

quarterly

ORGANIZATION

Saratoga Economic Development Corporation

SERVICE

Economic Development

APPROPRIATION

\$150,000

TYPE

quarterly

Mr. Veitch said essentially, what this would do would bring their contract payments to the Board of Supervisors once a quarter to be talked about, debated, determined whether or not the payment should go forward. It doesn't change anything from what is being done now. It just adds a level of oversight by the Board so if the Board does see an issue with the bed tax next year which we know in the first part of the year there will probably be an issue, we can debate and decide on whether we should be paying these organizations once a quarter. He said it is a lot of language for somebody to second but would offer this up as an amendment. Mr. Kusnierz seconded the motion.

Mr. Kinowski said running a business that way may be difficult. With that being said if a voucher is put in for services rendered afterwards if they don't get the money for the money they already spent upfront for payment of salary and services, how does this amendment approach that.

Mr. Veitch said we know we will have occupancy tax next year. We don't know how much and that is the question. We pay them on a quarterly basis based on the projections of occupancy tax. Our projections for next year may be a little high, but it is what it is. He would say that that quarterly payment would have to go through the committee and be approved. He's not sure he understood Mr. Kinowski's question. Mr. Kinowski said it was a matter of timing. If a timing level was put out an idea or a suggested timing level because if they didn't get the money or a portion thereof for services about to be rendered or already rendered that would become a problem for the County as well as for the Partnership and he thinks for SEDC too. Mr. Veitch said he understands and there is a monthly meeting of Economic Development. Approaching each quarter a resolution would have to be ready for the Committee and Board to debate and approve before those monies are spent by those organizations.

Mr. Lawler said to Mr. Veitch he thought his idea of increased oversight by the Board is a very good idea. Obviously we can look at both economic organizations and improve on the way we had our oversight. He thinks it's a very good idea for both organizations would be required to submit all the financial information Mr. Veitch listed on a quarterly basis so that the Board of Supervisors understands what was being done with the County's taxpayers money. He said the only concern he has with the resolution was limiting the advance to the amount of the bed tax collected. The point of this resolution is to establish some kind of financial certainty for the Partnership. At the end of the first quarter, he will use a round number, of \$100,000 has been collected, everyone will agree the first quarter bed tax won't be pretty, so basically at the end of the first quarter which is calculated in April they would be looking at a \$50,000 for the next three months. He said he doesn't think the organization would be able to survive on that. He said he likes the transparency for both organizations that the amendment offers. He said the latest correspondence from the ABO makes it clear that SEDC

continues to assist that they are not subject to ABO oversight. ABO says that SEDC is. He said let's set that aside for the moment he is concerned with the financial limitations of this amendment.

Mr. Veitch said he understands where Mr. Lawler is coming from and would say to that is that the Board would continue to do the way it is set up now. There is a \$450,000 budget line for this organization, break that up in to four quarters it is about \$112,500 per quarter. The contract would be built on that. It is like what is done now. We advance the bed tax to them now for the first quarter and maybe the second quarter and then it catches up. He doesn't see that as a problem. The first payment would have to be authorized. It wouldn't be just what the bed tax is right off the bat. But toward the end of the year is when there may be challenge. At least the Board would know that upfront and could act accordingly for the last two quarters if there was a shortfall. He said that is what will happen in a practical sense.

Mr. Lawler said if the bed tax for 2021 if the Board advances the first quarter of \$112,000. The second quarter the bed tax is unknown. His concern is if the Board moves from the quarterly set amount payments, we have the possibility of the 3rd or 4th quarter where we are now, and then what happens to the Partnership.

Mr. Veitch said it then becomes a function of this Board to fund them if we can vote to fund them. It would be budgeted money at that point and no longer bed tax money. He said that decision would be made when we got to it. That is what he is proposing here. If some miracle happens and we make \$900,000 of bed tax next year then it doesn't really matter, does it. There is a budgeted line item there and ready to go. It can be drawn off with no budget impact to this.

Mr. Lawler said that is the question before the Board right now is to say do we want to establish an amount certain which he believes was unanimously approved by their Board. That this is what is needed to operate. Mr. Lawler asked Mr. Veitch if he would be comfortable with this slight change. The wording of the resolution, the \$450,000 or the bed tax. The bed tax proceeds not to exceed \$450,000. If the bed tax goes to \$1M then the Partnership would theoretically be entitled to a half million but with this resolution, the Partnership foregoes any claim to the additional money beyond \$450,000. He wondering if these items can be put together and say we are going to guarantee funding not to exceed \$450,000 but we need a lot more financial reporting just as we are going to guarantee \$150,000 for SEDC. He thinks the guarantee is a problem for both organizations. He thinks the transparency should be written in but leave the funding the way it is and the formula the way it is for both organizations.

Mr. Schopf said in the December memo from the Prosperity Partnership to the Economic Development Committee, it appears the Partnership is considering outside sources of revenue. He thinks this would also be an important impetus for the Partnership to consider some fundraising, private equity and investing, and grant opportunities to help offset any tax revenue they won't be receiving 2021.

Mr. Kinowski said they have been doing that. They have gone after some grants and gotten them. The Partnership based on everything he's heard, they drew down expenditures, went bare bones, they went after grants, and sought additional funding. He said even in the beginning when the Partnership was created they were seeking imaginative ways that they could raise funds. That was written into the original strategy to move forward. He thinks they are going down that road. It has been in monthly reports everything that they are accomplishing.

Mr. Kusnierz said he had a question for his colleague, Mr. Schopf. He asked how does SEDC, where does most of their funding come from. Mr. Schopf said he is not affiliated with SEDC but he believes in the years it was not funded by the County they do some robust fundraising ventures, have a couple events each year and they are supported by many of the businesses in Saratoga County. Additionally, through project development, he believes, they incur certain fees. They have been self-supporting through the years even when not supported by the County, which is frankly is why he has always had a problem with the Partnership to some extent the funding to SEDC. He doesn't believe it is the County's roll to be engaged in these type of things. Mr. Kusnierz said to Mr. Schopf if he heard him correctly, he had alluded to the fact that a lot of revenue is raised through membership by businesses. Mr. Schopf said that is correct. Mr. Kusnierz thanked him.

Mr. Kinowski pointed out, by their own record of the last five years of 9-90's, every year their expenditures have exceeded well their revenues. They are headed down a downturn. It is right there in black and white.

Maybe that's why the Board didn't get any documents. Mr. Schopf said for what it's worth, they are still bringing projects to the Town of Clifton Park who are developing projects, developments, creating hundreds of jobs. He said the Partnership has created, he thinks twelve and that \$415,000 per job on the total investment on a strict cost benefits analysis, he would have to put his money behind the SEDC. Mr. Kinowski said the jobs that Mr. Schopf is pointing to, the IDA, all things go to SEDC. That got changed that way. Mr. Kinowski said not to belabor it and he feels both organizations are healthy, good organizations.

Mr. Connolly said he supports Mr. Veitch's idea of increasing transparency. Quarterly reports would be a good thing for the Economic Development Committee to see and to make public as well to see how both organizations are faring. He said being on the Committee for the SPCP and being a business owner, he would find it very difficult to operate like that. For instance, an interview was just conducted for the SPCP, a very talented young lady the Partnership is considering bringing on and he wouldn't say she is in it for the money because the money is just decent. She is highly educated, highly skilled, with a lot of experience. How do you retain staff like that if there is always the jeopardy of money not being brought forward? How is that position attractive? And on a secondary note as far as making a difference with Economic Development, it takes time. This leadership is in place and they have had year. It's been a heck of a year. We really don't know what they can do. And the staff that they have from what he has experienced is hard working, dedicated and he really thinks this is going to be a great year for them. Take the Ballston Spa Next Wave initiative that they did. If Larry Woolbright was here in person, he's sure he would be happy to say how much of an impact the Partnership has had on his economic plan. Mr. Connolly said he has walked the village with him and he has shared his vision for the village. He really thinks the Village of Ballston Spa is going to experience a boom in business activity and development over the next ten years in large part due to the work of the Partnership. So he is in support of transparency on all levels. But he would just find it difficult to operate a successful economic development organization if we were to not commit to them. And that's what it would be. He said he supports this resolution because it does commit to them and give them stability.

Mr. Pemrick said he would like to get back to Supervisor Lawler's question to Supervisor Veitch. Will you support Supervisor Lawler's idea of \$450,000. We need to get through that and then we can figure out what the conversation is after that. Mr. Veitch said his reasoning for not putting it in there was the fact that it is in our budget, not that they are entitled to the \$450,000 but that they could get that. He is not quite ready to move on that quite yet. It is there if they need it but they have to do what they to do and they will receive the money. Mr. Veitch said that is his stance on it.

Mr. Richardson said he agrees with Mr. Connolly 100%. There is no way an organization can hire anybody or move forward in any way without a stable income. He thinks it is important that the Board give the Partnership the \$450,000 and he thinks the transparency is important. He said the Board should be getting quarterly reports. Without giving the SPCP the \$450,000 upfront so that they know what they have and that they can move forward, it's very difficult. Who would want to work for an organization if you don't know whether you're going to get paid next week. It doesn't make sense.

Ms. Gaston said first, it is her understanding the Mr. Veitch's amendment does not limit them but that they could get up to \$450,000. Mr. Veitch said yes. Ms. Gaston said should the Prosperity Partnership come, they show us what's going on, they show a need, that possibility is still there. She understands what is being said with other Supervisors saying this is very difficult to run a business this way. She said she is also looking at businesses in her City that are being forced to do this. She is also looking at the County and its department heads who were told to cut their requests and the Board fought tooth & nail over who the department heads deemed essential to the functioning of their departments. It is not her intention to destroy the Prosperity Partnership's ability to function. It is her intention to seek oversight and documentation to show a need. She said she can not justify guaranteeing any outside agency \$450,000 right now on the basis it's hard for them. She knows it is hard for them but she also sees the restaurants in her community, hotels in her community that have no idea and have no guarantee for money under any bed tax from the County. She said it is hard for her to justify that level of guaranteed funding for any agency. It doesn't mean they can't get it. It just means it isn't guaranteed as she understands the amendment to read as Supervisor Veitch put forward. Additionally she is supportive of the fact that both economic development agencies are under this and accountable to it if for no other reason that there have been concerned raised that paperwork or discussion that were supposed to happen did not happen in a timely manner. This allows the Board better oversight. If the Board decides to expand beyond it, as the Board has done in the past, the Board can do that. Moving forward right now when the Board

is looking at things the way they are the impact on our economy, on the occupancy tax and on our County revenue she finds it impossible to vote to guarantee that much money. Especially given the fact the Board is letting them know it is available but work with us to prove it in order to work with for communities.

Mr. Schopf said Supervisor Gaston took most of the word right out of his mouth but he appreciates the comments from Supervisor Connolly and Richardson. With all due respect, he is in business; he represents businesses, every year is unpredictable. The revenue from year to year is unknown and you don't know if it will be the same from the year before. He doesn't think he is alone when he went through a two month period with no revenue this year. He thinks there are a lot of us that shared that and still do. We all have adapted and some sunk and some swum. He thinks there will not ever be a predictable income stream unless you are government funded. And for essentially a quasi-private entity he knows Supervisor Peck alluded to before is owned by the Board of Supervisors but it should start to learn to swim on its own just like all of our businesses are. He said he can't in good conscience vote to continue to fund it at an absolute amount on this basis.

Mr. Peck said the way he hears Mr. Veitch's amendment, correct him if he's wrong, most of it is really good. He said the question he has is asking the SSCP to come quarterly. It appears to him that they would be asking for the next quarter to stay alive before the Economic Development Committee on a quarterly basis to ask for three more months of life. He finds that very difficult. He thinks twelve months gives them some sustainability to project for the next twelve months. If every three months they have to come back and beg for the next three months for their life, if the intent is to end the organization in a different fashion that can be done outright rather than trying to do a slow death. He said a decision should be made to either be in it to support them to keep them going with great oversight and controls. The idea of coming in and the person that works in the office not knowing if they have a job next week are sending out resumes each week trying to find guaranteed employment, that's what he is worried about.

Mr. O'Connor said he totally agrees with Supervisor Veitch's concept and thinks it is important to have the quarterly oversight for both groups. He knows that the Partnership has cut their budget and made substantial efforts to come in lower. He understands the problems associated with not knowing if you're going to have enough to pay the employees for the next quarter. He wonders if there is some possible accommodation here that the first three quarters of \$112,500 is guaranteed. That would give them the first three quarters of the year that they are guaranteed and don't have to fire people. Also with the understanding that if the bed tax is less than \$900,000 that doesn't prohibit the Board from giving more money than half the bed tax. So they at least get three quarters at \$112,500 so it retains some oversight to the quarterly reports by the question of the fourth quarter. Perhaps this is a way to reach an accommodation.

Mr. Kusnierz said two points. He said he respects the comments of his colleagues Supervisor Connolly and Richardson talking about concerns for a funding stream so that people have their jobs. He would like to point out for the record that it is not uncommon in government, particularly State government, for position to be funded by grants. When you never know whether that grant is going to be re-upped the following year. And the fiscal year sometimes doesn't correspond with the State fiscal year based on how those positions are funded. It is not uncommon for entities to face that challenge on a regular basis. His second point is to Supervisor Gaston's comment, he thinks it's very important, we know that NYS surpasses almost every other State in a number of jobs that have been lost as a result of Covid. He thinks she is spot on in that if he could flush it out, the Board of Supervisors has that tool to be able to adjust on the fly whatever the economy is at that point in time. And the best way to do that, whether doing it once a year, is doing it quarterly. So revenues can be matched with what's coming in from occupancy tax. He thinks it's very important that the Board start looking at things a little bit differently from a financial standpoint here at the County. And that's a good way to address it. He is in support of his colleague from Saratoga Spring's amendment.

Mr. Connolly said he does understand Supervisor Kusnierz's point about grants and employees being funded by grants. You have to consider that even that provides a certain level of stability. It provides a year where you know you have a job. So going to a strict quarterly system, yes it is a quasi-government organization but it is one we want to see succeed. If there is ever a time to spend money on economic development, it's on the back end of a pandemic. Thank you.

Mr. Zlotnick said we have heard discussions now for quite some time about who needs to make cuts and savings, as a Board member from the SSCP our original budget was a half million dollars and we are down to

\$450,000. Looking at the numbers we, the SCPP, has cut the budget by 10%. We sat here and we added money for this and that and now we have a group that has done what we think is a good thing to do. Cut a budget by 10% and a staff of three does unbelievable work in keeping small businesses that may not make it, open. They've helped out with PPP's and PPE's. They have gone around and handed out equipment, gloves, masks whatever people have needed. These are the people that are saving the small businesses in our County. To cut off our noses to save our faces doesn't make any sense to him. Thank you.

Mr. Pemrick wanted to clarify something for himself. He asked if he was hearing from this Board of Supervisors, a long-term commitment to the Prosperity Partnership. There have been rumors to the contrary recently. He said he can't tell everyone how pleased he is that it seems everyone is on the same track here in finding a way to sustain the Prosperity Partnership and the good work that it has been doing and enabling them to do it. Is that what he is hearing, that we are all on Board with the Prosperity Partnership.

Mr. Schopf said for the record he is not on Board with the Partnership. It's clearly been a failure in the six years it's existed. Frankly, we should dissolve it.

Mr. Kinowski said SEDC has been a failure to Stillwater. It's a pay to play organization. If you don't pay they don't play. It's very simple. Whereas the Partnership applies equally to every needed Town in our County. That is important especially to the smaller towns. Thank you. Mr. Schopf said thank you.

Mr. Pemrick said what is coming out or could come out here is simply a question of trust. If we were to sign on with the idea, which he likes. Mr. Veitch said the devil is in the details.

Mr. Veitch said Supervisor O'Connor put something on the table and if it's guaranteeing three quarters of payments that is \$375,500 and not the full budgeted amount of \$450,000. He respects his colleague and not sure where he is on that yet. He knows quarter one and quarter two we probably will be fine with bed tax. He has heard from his sources from the racetrack that they are going to try to have fans next year and doing everything they can to make that happen. He suggested a guarantee of two quarters and then take it from there. That would be \$225,000. Mr. O'Connor said at least there would be the guarantee of a good chunk of money to carry on their organization without having to worry. He is hopeful the bed tax is going to be more than \$900,000 and hopes there will be a great resurgence. He thinks that is a realistic hope and there is not going to be a problem. At least if we have those two quarters, they wouldn't have to make decisions in the next couple of months to terminate people. With the understanding if we don't have the bed tax coming back in the next three or four months the Board still has option of going forward with quarter three and quarter four of \$112,500.

Mr. Richardson said he doesn't understand how an organization like this can survive; keeping their employees or hiring new employees not knowing whether they have funding past six months. He hoped Mr. Veitch would change his amendment to say that we would pay them the \$450,000 with quarterly reports being submitted. He thinks a huge mistake is being made by cutting their funding. What employee would want to stay somewhere when they know or possibly know they wouldn't be paid after six months. They would be looking for other employment almost immediately. Anyone who they would try to hire would be asking the same question. It just doesn't make economic sense. The \$450,000 should be funded making sure that the SCPP comes to the Economic Development Committee quarterly with all the reports to keep everyone up to date. If we don't he believes it will be a slow death and that would be a mistake.

Mr. Kinowski said one more question to Mr. Veitch for clarification. We don't know what the Committee will look like next year, who will be on it. He's just curious how that Committee will make that determination whether they met what in order to achieve the required voucher requirements. Are there metrics already established and is there a plan for that? Otherwise it becomes arbitrary and capricious to the Supervisors sitting around the table.

Mr. Connolly suggested combining a bunch of the ideas of the Supervisors we could move on today. He asked if it was possible at the end of the third quarter to amend the funding if need be, if the data reflects that there will be an issue of not enough to fund the Partnership in full. Could we not combine Supervisor from Saratoga Springs' quarterly reports because it seems to accomplish much of what everyone is talking about.

Mr. Veitch said that is exactly the idea. It is not that they would not receive funding for certain part of the year. He doesn't know where that thought came from. The idea is that we have \$450,000 in the budget that is already budget. It is there. It is available. If it gets to quarter three or quarter one, they would have to come to the Board of Supervisors to get that approval. That's all. That's all it really is. The questions now surround whether we would guarantee that money but isn't that what Board approval is all about. Is that we would decide and approve. Yes, we could decide and deny but he doesn't see that. There is always that risk. At the end of the day that is the idea; that they would come to the Board. In the last two quarters and the County is running short on bed tax it's just an open and transparent way to say that we are going to give them budgeted taxpayer money in those quarters. That's the original plan of this amendment was.

Mr. Zlotnick said the very last resolves says that if the amount of occupancy tax exceeds \$450,000 the Partnership shall provide that the Partnership shall either waive payment to it by the County or refund it. We were here last week and the President of that organization said all she was asking for is \$450,000. If the bed tax goes up to \$1.2M, they don't get \$600,000. They get \$450,000.

Mr. Veitch asked Mr. Zlotnick to think of it in the opposite way. He hears what Mr. Zlotnick is saying and he understands where the Partnership is coming at with that part of the resolution. If we make \$1.2M in occupancy tax, he wants to give the Partnership \$600,000. Mr. Zlotnick said they aren't asking for that. Mr. Veitch said why would we limit them like that. (Multiple supervisors speaking at once) Mr. Veitch asked everyone to hold on. He said it makes the argument about what if moot if we make that much in occupancy tax. It doesn't matter, they are already guaranteed half of our occupancy tax no matter what the number in. Mr. Zlotnick said there in lies the problem. This year's occupancy tax took a nose dive that no one saw coming and no other group that is supported by the County has had its funding disappear by 60 or 70%. That's ridiculous. Now to say that this group can't have basic needs, flies in the face of what we are supposed to be doing.

Ms. Gaston asked Mr. Dorsey if the Partnership have the ability to waive that payment and it is her understanding it is by law. Mr. Dorsey said it is guaranteed by law; but they could certainly return it if the Partnership Board votes to return excess monies over the \$450,000. It's their money. What they do with it once they get it is up to them. Ms. Gaston said it would go to them and they would decide. Mr. Dorsey said right.

Mr. Veitch said the oversight is in there. There is no set amount and it is still based on the occupancy tax at this point. The amendment as he stated at the beginning of the discussion has not changed.

Mr. Lawler said several have said that the oversight is a great idea. It is a great idea for both organizations. He thinks where the risk comes in for the Partnership is that four times a year this Board will have to approve giving them additional money. If he's the Partnership, he is very leery of that and frankly scares the hell out of him. He believes, Mr. Veitch has said that it is his intention to move forward with funding. Mr. Veitch said yes. Mr. Lawler said we don't know that will happen. And without some guarantee of funding whatever that amount is they can't hire people they can't enter in to annual contracts. He doesn't know what their lease obligation is or obligations in terms of accounts payable going forward that they are already committed to. This runs the risk if the Board did not fund them. He thinks that is a very real possibility, at least based on the conversation here today. If the Board didn't fund them, they would find themselves in default of god knows how many contracts. And they would find themselves very quickly out of business. He thinks the transparency and documentation is good. Should there be a quarterly review, financial review for both organization he's fine with that. Economic Development, more than anything else, is about long-term relationships. He doesn't know who would enter into long-term relationships with a company that could be out of business in six months. That is his concern as it leaves the Partnership and SEDC at the mercy of this Board four times a year. HE doesn't know how an organization could function in that economic environment. He knows they are entitled to 50% of the bed tax. He thought he heard Supervisor O'Connor say let's guarantee \$375,000 and apologized to Mr. O'Connor if he got that wrong. At the end of three quarters let's see where we are. The bed tax may surprise us. We don't know. We all have our fingers crossed for NYRA and SPAC that the economy returns to some sort of normalcy. Let's not forget this is a one year problem. If we go back to 2019 when the bed tax was \$1.2M we would be looking at \$600,000. So it's reasonable to assume that 2021 is going to be better and 2022 will see a return of the historical averages. This is a big problem and not trying to minimize it. If we talk about businesses going out of business the one organization we might want to invest in is an organization that is going to try to help businesses stay in business. That's what the Partnership does. If you look at their

work in the last year and their economic development plans of the communities they went in and worked with, he thinks they are working hard to protect the small businesses. The mayors could speak to that and perhaps they should. Those are worthwhile goals. This puts both organizations in such a state of uncertainty he doesn't know how they could go about their business. He asked Mr. Veitch if he would be willing to accept with the same oversight the \$375,000 guarantee, with a review in the fourth quarter to see where we are in the bed tax.

Mr. Veitch said at this point he thinks he would go forward with how he presented it. He respects Mr. Lawler's point and question and thanks him for it. He would like to go forward with his amendment as he presented it.

Mr. Allen asked Mr. Veitch to re-state his amendment. Mr. Veitch said the idea would be to change Resolution #252 of 2020 to include an additional resolved section and the resolved section would say "RESOLVED, that, upon submissions of a proper voucher and documentation consisting of copies of its current budget, financial statement and compensation schedule as well as any additional documentation which may be required by the Economic Development Committee for each fiscal quarter for review by the Economic Development Committee and upon a resolution duly advanced, the Board of Supervisors shall consider the following payments for approval:

ORGANIZATION

Saratoga County Prosperity
Partnership, Inc.

SERVICE

Promote, provide, and oversee economic development activities in the County of Saratoga

APPROPRIATION

Amount set by tax law § 1202-g(9)

TYPE

quarterly

ORGANIZATION

Saratoga Economic Development Corporation

SERVICE

Economic Development

APPROPRIATION

\$150,000

TYPE

quarterly

Mr. Lawler said he had one quick question for Supervisor Veitch. He asked how, under his formula, the first payment, which is typically advanced in January, would occur. Mr. Veitch said they would come to the January Economic Development Committee. A resolution would be on our January meeting to approve the payment of the first quarterly payment. Mr. Veitch said he would absolutely vote in favor for. Mr. Lawler said they don't know that they will be in business after that meeting the way the resolution reads. Mr. Veitch said they would have one quarter and then they would have to come back the next quarter. Mr. Lawler said not knowing how the vote would go at the Committee or at the Board level, both organizations could be without their payments in January. Mr. Veitch said that is a possibility, yes. Mr. Lawler said thank you.

Mr. Barrett asked if the amendment is on the floor. Mr. Veitch said the amendment has been seconded.

On a motion by Mr. Veitch, seconded by Mr. Kusnierz Resolution #276 was amended by the following vote:
AYES (115727): Philip C. Barrett (18352.5), Jonathon Schopf (18352.5), Kevin Tollisen (21535), Theodore Kusnierz (14728), Tara Gaston (13293), Matthew E. Veitch (13293), John Lant (16173).

NOES (103880): Eric Connolly (9776), Alan Grattidge (4133), Richard Lucia (6531), Preston Allen (856), Jean Raymond (1214), Michael Smith (3545), Daniel Pemrick (7775), Arthur M. Wright (2048), Darren O'Connor (14765), Thomas Richardson (5196), Benny Zlotnick (18575), Willard H. Peck (5087), Sandra Winney (1995), Thomas N. Wood, III (5674), Edward D. Kinowski (8287), John Lawler (8423).

On a motion by Mr. Veitch, seconded by Ms. Gaston Resolution #276 was adopted as amended by the following vote:

AYES (124095): Eric Connolly (9776), Philip C. Barrett (18352.5), Jonathon Schopf (18352.5), Kevin Tollisen (21535), Darren O’Connor (14765), Theodore Kusnierz (14728), Tara Gaston (13293), Matthew E. Veitch (13293)

NOES (95512): Alan Grattidge (4133), Richard Lucia (6531), Preston Allen (856), Jean Raymond (1214), Michael Smith (3545), Daniel Pemrick (7775), Arthur M. Wright (2048), Thomas Richardson (5196), Benny Zlotnick (18575), Willard H. Peck (5087), Sandra Winney (1995), Thomas N. Wood, III (5674), Edward D. Kinowski (8287), John Lawler (8423), John Lant (16173)

RESOLUTION 276 - 2020

Introduced by Supervisors Lawler, Kinowski, Pemrick, Raymond and Richardson

AMENDING RESOLUTION 252-2020 TO REVISE THE APPROPRIATED FUNDING FOR THE SARATOGA COUNTY PROSPERITY PARTNERSHIP, INC.

WHEREAS, Resolution 252-2020 authorized the Chair of the Board to execute 2021 funding and service agreements for various public benefit organizations pursuant to County Law §224; and

WHEREAS, included in the agreements the Chairman was authorized to sign pursuant to Resolution 252-2020 was an agreement with the Saratoga County Prosperity Partnership, Inc. at an appropriated funding level of the “Amount Set by Tax Law §1202-g(9)”, which is fifty percent (50%) of the County’s occupancy tax revenues; and

WHEREAS, the occupancy tax revenues received by the County to date in 2020 have been approximately one-third of the occupancy tax revenues received in 2019 due to a significant reduction in tourism caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, and

WHEREAS, occupancy tax revenues for at least the first half of 2021 are anticipated to continue to be significantly below 2019’s receipts due to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic; and

WHEREAS, Shelby Schneider, the President and CEO of the Saratoga County Prosperity Partnership, Inc., (“the Partnership”) has requested that the Partnership’s appropriated funding for 2021 be established at a minimum level of \$450,000 in order that the Partnership can appropriately fulfill its economic development responsibilities to the County; and

WHEREAS, our Economic Development Committee has recommended that Resolution 252-2020 be amended to revise the appropriated 2021 funding level for the Saratoga County Prosperity Partnership, Inc. from the “Amount Set By Tax Law §1202-g(9)” to “The Greater of the Amount Set by Tax Law §1202-g(9) or \$450,000 Inclusive of the Amount Set by Tax Law §1202-g(9)”, in order to ensure an appropriate minimum funding level for the Partnership to appropriately operate and provide its contracted for economic development services to the County; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that Resolution 252-2020 is hereby amended to revise the appropriated funding for the Saratoga County Prosperity Partnership, Inc. to state as follows:

<u>ORGANIZATION</u>	<u>SERVICE</u>	<u>APPROPRIATION</u>
Saratoga County Prosperity Partnership, Inc.	promote, provide and oversee all economic development activities of the County of Saratoga	\$450,000 Inclusive of the Amount Set by Tax Law §1202-g(9)

and, be it further

RESOLVED, that if the amount of occupancy tax revenue paid to the Partnership pursuant to Tax Law §1202-g(9) exceeds \$450,000, in accordance with the request of the Partnership the agreement executed by the County and the Partnership shall provide that the Partnership shall either waive payment to it by the County of any amount in excess of \$450,000 or the Partnership shall refund any amount paid to it by the County in excess of \$450,000.

BUDGET IMPACT STATEMENT: No budget impact.

Mr. Grattidge made a motion to approve Resolution #279. Mr. Kinowski seconded the motion. Discussion on Resolution #279.

Mr. Kusnierz said he would like to offer an amendment in the first resolved that the date be changed from January 4th to January 6th. Mr. O'Connor seconded the amendment.

Mr. Peck asked what day of the week is that. Mr. Allen said it was a Wednesday. Mr. O'Connor said from his point of view his organizational meeting in his town is January 4th and other towns might also. Some of the resolutions are so fixed it would be difficult for them to change that date. That is why he is seconding the motion.

On a motion by Mr. Kusnierz, seconded by Mr. O'Connor Resolution #279 was amended by the following vote:

AYES (189329): Eric Connolly (9776), Philip C. Barrett (18352.5), Jonathon Schopf (18352.5), Jean Raymond (1214), Michael Smith (3545), Daniel Pemrick (7775), Kevin Tollisen (21535), Darren O'Connor (14765), Thomas Richardson (5196), Benny Zlotnick (18575), Theodore Kusnierz (14728), Willard H. Peck (5087), Sandra Winney (1995), Thomas N. Wood, III (5674), Tara Gaston (13293), Matthew E. Veitch (13293), John Lant (16173)

NOES (23747): Alan Grattidge (4133), Preston Allen (856), Arthur M. Wright (2048), Edward D. Kinowski (8287), John Lawler (8423)

ABSENT (6531): Richard Lucia (6531)

It should be noted that Mr. Connolly said he is voting yes for the same reasons Supervisor O'Connor mentioned. Mr. Wright said he was voting no because he already changed his. Mr. Kinowski said he notes on his calendar that the 203 Group meets on that date so they will need to know that. Mr. O'Connor said he had conferred with Dr. Prezioso about that.

On a motion by Mr. Kusnierz, seconded Ms. Gaston Resolution 279 was adopted as amended by the following vote:

AYES (187334): Eric Connolly (9776), Philip C. Barrett (18352.5), Jonathon Schopf (18352.5), Jean Raymond (1214), Michael Smith (3545), Daniel Pemrick (7775), Kevin Tollisen (21535), Darren O'Connor (14765), Thomas Richardson (5196), Benny Zlotnick (18575), Theodore Kusnierz (14728), Willard H. Peck (5087), Thomas N. Wood, III (5674), Tara Gaston (13293), Matthew E. Veitch (13293), John Lant (16173).

NOES (25742): Alan Grattidge (4133), Preston Allen (856), Arthur M. Wright (2048), Sandra Winney (1995), Edward D. Kinowski (8287), John Lawler (8423).

ABSENT (6531): Richard Lucia (6531).

RESOLUTION 279 - 2020

Introduced by Supervisors Pemrick, Kinowski, Kusnierz, Schopf, Tollisen, Winney and Wright
Amended on Motion of Supervisor Kusnierz, Seconded by Supervisor O'Connor

ESTABLISHING JANUARY 6, 2021 AT 4:00 P.M. AS THE DATE AND TIME FOR THE 2021 ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

BE IT RESOLVED, that for the purpose of organizing the 2021 Saratoga County Board of Supervisors, a meeting be held at the Supervisors' Meeting Room at 40 McMaster Street, Ballston Spa, New York on January 6, 2021 at 4:00 P.M.; and, be it further

RESOLVED, that on or before December 21, 2020, the Clerk of this Board of Supervisors shall mail a written notice to each Supervisor and Supervisor-elect at his or her last known post office address, stating the time and place of the above meeting to organize the Board and that a Chairman will then be elected.

BUDGET IMPACT STATEMENT: No budget impact.

Ms. Gaston made a motion to approve Resolution #281. Mr. Barrett seconded the motion.

Ms. Gaston said she would like to ask Commissioner Kuhles and Ms. Medick if the number of contact tracers still good. Is 75 still a good number? She would like to know before it is passed to avoid a further amendment. She said they have been doing a good job and asked where the number of contact tracers stood.

Mr. Kuhles said, since the most recent posting for contact tracers there were 113 applications received; thirty met the minimum requirements; and 11 were available full time. Eleven were offered employment. One left after a few hours. Six are scheduled to be in training. Good progress is being made with that. It does take a skill set that needs to be learned. The John Hopkins six hour training, which is the minimum, we need to build on that and it takes some time. He does think that 75 at this point will be a good limit. Ms. Gaston said with regards to the five supervisors, she knows Ms. Medick mentioned there are individuals in the employment of Public Health that can meet that. Are they any more job posting need to go out for supervisors? Mr. Kuhles said he doesn't know and would have to defer to HR. Ms. Gaston said no that's ok. She asked if the 75 contact tracers with 5 supervisors is good. Mr. Kuhles said it was and is a good ratio. He thanked the Board for their support. Ms. Gaston said the primary reason for seeking a separate vote was just to make sure that they had all they needed as this is the last meeting before the end of the year.

On a motion by Ms. Gaston, seconded by Mr. Barrett Resolution #281 was adopted by the following vote:
AYES (213076): Eric Connolly (9776), Alan Grattidge (4133), Philip C. Barrett (18352.5), Jonathon Schopf (18352.5), Preston Allen (856), Jean Raymond (1214), Michael Smith (3545), Daniel Pemrick (7775), Arthur M. Wright (2048), Kevin Tollisen (21535), Darren O'Connor (14765), Thomas Richardson (5196), Benny Zlotnick (18575), Theodore Kusnierz (14728), Willard H. Peck (5087), Sandra Winney (1995), Thomas N. Wood, III (5674), Tara Gaston (13293), Matthew E. Veitch (13293), Edward D. Kinowski (8287), John Lawler (8423), John Lant (16173)
NOES (0):
ABSENT (6531): Richard Lucia (6531)

RESOLUTION 281 - 2020

Introduced by Supervisors Pemrick, Kinowski, Kusnierz, Schopf, Tollisen, Winney and Wright

AMENDING RESOLUTION 111-2020 TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR TO ENTER INTO AN ADDITIONAL 25 MINOR CONTRACTS WITH INDIVIDUALS WILLING TO PERFORM THE DUTIES OF CONTACT TRACER AND 5 INDIVIDUALS WILLING TO PERFORM THE DUTIES OF SUPERVISING CONTACT TRACER

WHEREAS, pursuant to Resolution 111-2020, the County Administrator was authorized to execute up to fifty (50) minor contracts with individuals identified by Saratoga County Public Health Services as willing to serve and complete the required training to work as contact tracers on an as needed basis, at a rate of compensation of \$25.00 per hour; and

WHEREAS, contact tracing helps prevent the spread of COVID-19 by rapidly interviewing positive patients; identifying their close contacts; interviewing and alerting those contacts to the risk of infection; instructing those contacts to quarantine or isolate for 14 days to be sure they don't spread COVID-19 to others; and monitoring those in quarantine or isolation to ensure their compliance and to ascertain if they are showing any symptoms of COVID-19; and

WHEREAS, Saratoga County's contact tracing program operates seven (7) days a week between the hours of 8:00 am and 8:00 pm; and

WHEREAS, Governor Cuomo, in collaboration with the New York State Department of Health ("NYS DOH"), has implemented the "Cluster Action Initiative" which designates certain geographic zones yellow, orange, or red based on the zone's 7-day COVID-19 testing positivity rate in an effort to identify targeted areas with high infection rates and implement additional restrictions to reduce viral spread in each micro-cluster area, in order to avoid larger regional shutdowns; and

WHEREAS, Saratoga County is experiencing an increase in its 7-day rolling average of individuals testing positive for COVID-19, with a current positivity testing rate of approximately 4.5%; and

WHEREAS, the increase in the number of individuals testing positive for COVID-19 in Saratoga County has resulted in an increased demand for the services of contact tracers in the County; and

WHEREAS, our Law and Finance Committee and the County's Commissioner of Public Health have recommended that the County Administrator be authorized to enter into: i) up to an additional twenty-five

(25) minor contracts with individuals identified by Saratoga County Public Health Services as willing to serve and complete the required training to work as Contact Tracers on an as needed basis, at a rate of compensation of \$25.00 per hour; and ii) up to five (5) minor contracts with individuals identified by Saratoga County Public Health Services as willing to serve and complete the required training to work as Supervising Contact Tracers on an as needed basis, at a rate of compensation of \$30.00 per hour; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the County Administrator is hereby authorized to execute i) up to an additional twenty-five (25) minor contracts with individuals identified by Saratoga County Public Health Services as willing to serve and complete the required training to work as Contact Tracers on an as needed basis, at a rate of compensation of \$25.00 per hour; and ii) up to five (5) minor contracts with individuals identified by Saratoga County Public Health Services as willing to serve and complete the required training to work as Supervising Contact Tracers on an as needed basis, at a rate of compensation of \$30.00 per hour; and be it further

RESOLVED, that Resolution 111-2020 is hereby accordingly amended to increase the number of authorized minor contracts for the services of Contact Tracers from fifty (50) to seventy-five (75), and to authorize five (5) minor contracts for the services of Supervising Contact Tracers.

BUDGET IMPACT STATEMENT: Funds are available in the 2020 County Budget as a result of this Board's approval of Resolution 84-2020 to appropriate \$1,000,000 from Fund Balance for COVID-19 related expenses.

Ms. Gaston said she would like to bring a resolution from the floor and asked Mr. Hellwig how much money was left from the original \$1M. Mr. Hellwig said he would have to run a budget report. We were looking at approximately \$800,000 based on reimbursement for the meals and some additional State aid. He said he can't give you an exact number without the benefit of a heads up that this is being asked. Ms. Gaston said that's her understanding of what he said last time. And that works well enough. She said she would like to move to allocate \$100K of the fund already set aside for the purposes of personal protective equipment. She said her motion would allocate \$75K of that for the purchase of masks for the general public to be distributed by the County Commissioner of Public Health and Commissioner of Emergency Services, their preferred distribution method to get out to the public, the remaining \$25K would be allocated as deemed appropriate and necessary for PPE for the benefit of the County, to be allocated under the direction of the Commissioner of Public Health. There have been discussions about particular communities, particular populations that may be at risk that may be our EMS workers, individuals that are unhoused, but I would just leave that remaining \$25K to be allocated as deemed appropriate by the Commissioner. Mr. Barrett seconded the motion.

Mr. Kinowski said under normal procedures this would be brought up under other business. That has been done at other Board meetings by the way. He doesn't have an issue with this but he guesses if these are brought up under separate votes, let do it under committee reports, he would like to follow procedures. We can do this under other business and thinks we should.

Ms. Gaston said she is happy to withdraw and do this under other business. She said her concern is that there is an executive session and she would hate to have to have staff wait around unnecessarily. That way if someone would like to ask the Commissioner or Ms. Medick questions, they are here. Mr. Hellwig asked which budgeted year is being asked about. Ms. Gaston said the money was allocated in 2020, yes. Mr. Hellwig asked if she wanted \$100,000 set aside right now to purchase that equipment before the end of the year. He wants to make sure that is something she is trying to get accomplished before December 31st. Ms. Gaston said yes, she would like to move forward as quick as possible.

Mr. Kinowski said the money has already been allocated and questioned whether this resolution is necessary. Mr. Zeilman has been buying it and distributing it. He asked if a request has been made of him. He already got some from him and he has even bought his own. Ms. Gaston said requests have been made from different municipalities and they have not been able to obtain any from the County. This is why she is asking and moving forward hopefully this will help maintain the safety of the community as a whole. Mr. Kinowski said he doesn't have a problem with this and just wonders if it's necessary. He asked Mr. Hellwig if Carl has the authority moving forward to purchase more. Mr. Hellwig said based on the conversations he has had in the past week, supplying protective equipment for County staff, emergency responders and so forth is not a challenge. Beyond that, the general community/business community that's not something we are set up for. Mr. Kinowski asked a long time ago, April or May, that we purchase and we didn't go after it. That's beside

the point but apparently we need more now. He doesn't know the process but is all for spending money to buy something but we need numbers from each town ship. (Multiple Supervisors speaking at once.)

Mr. Peck said two points of order here. He doesn't have it in front of him but the authorization of the \$1M earlier in the year probably provides for this purchase if the money is still here. He said if she would like to specify that we do it in order to accomplish your split, we don't need to authorize another \$100,000 we just have to authorize how to distribute masks or PPE. Second point is at the year-end budget we often give the budget officer to balance and transfer funds. He wonders if the money authorized in 2020 and if there is residual money left, he believes that we need to start it over and re-assign it in January. It may have been swept through to fund balance. He may be wrong as he hasn't done a County budget in ten years. He raises that because Administrator Hellwig makes a good point as to whether we need to get the order in and voucher in the next two weeks so that it is purchased under the 2020 budget.

Ms. Gaston said that would be her goal. She apologized for not going through the normal process but she did not know until last week that that much money remained in that fund. She thinks this is an appropriate use of it. Mr. Peck said the reason there is that much money left because the County has received grant funding back. We have spent more than the \$200,000 but it keeps getting replenished because the administration and Carl have been able to get a lot of our expenses covered. Ms. Gaston said that is fantastic.

Mr. Allen asked if a resolution is needed. Mr. Hellwig said no. If the Board is telling him go out and spend \$100,000 on whatever is on your list, he will contact Purchasing and say the Board has requested that this purchase be made. Mr. Allen asked if \$800,000 has been allocated for that. Mr. Hellwig said yes. Ms. Gaston said to be clear her motion is for \$75,000 for masks generally for the public and the other \$25,000 is for Public Health to determine as appropriate. She would not speak to how that will be spent. She would leave that to individuals with qualifications higher than hers with regards to Public Health. Mr. Hellwig said they have that. They have made purchases throughout the process based on their needs. He thinks it is a generous effort but it is in place.

Mr. Lawler said he thinks the resolution is well intended to support the Public Health efforts. Normally something like this would come through Public Health and that's why we have that Committee. He said he did hear her explanation why it did not. He understands the sense of urgency beyond that. He asked if the administration or the department heads were aware that this request was going to be brought forward. He asked if there was a need to spend \$75,000 on PPE, masks. Does anyone know, do we need to buy this stuff. He knows we have lots of it. He said he has lots in his town. If we need it, we should buy it but was just wondering if there was a need or if a needs analysis has been done. He asked if anybody stopped to ask the question do we need it. If we need it then, ok, fine then this is a great idea. Before we authorize spending \$75,000 on PPE can somebody tell him there is a need.

Ms. Gaston said this was not a request from any particular department head. She said the \$75,000 is approximately the number that has been estimated to purchase enough masks to masks every person in Saratoga County. This is an approximate number and where the \$75,000 came from. Her original request was going to be for just the \$75,000. The other came up and was not a request from a department head but it was after communications with Commissioner Kuhles who expressed concern about certain populations and whether they have access to PPE. She chose on her own to add it and to allocate that amount to be determined by Commissioner Kuhles and the Public Health Department as deemed necessary and appropriate in order to support that. We are in a crisis that is increasing on a daily basis. No one much less Saratoga County has been able to keep up with it and frankly we have been behind the curve. Our Reopening Committee that was supposed to purchase PPE terminated several months ago saying they would reopen to help support businesses in our community if the virus "came back". It's back. It's back worse then it was before. We lost 27 County residents to her knowledge. She hasn't had an update for today. It is her intention to authorize this or direct it or however you so deem it appropriate in the resolution; but she thinks this is important for the Board to be done and to not be on the back end of everything. We are trying to respond to a public health crisis that has us by the neck. We need to be ahead of it. If that means directing \$25,000 of that \$800,000 be set in a pot that Commissioner Kuhles or Ms. Medick or whoever they deem as a designee can spend this to help protect our emergency personnel who are responding, protect our County personnel who are keeping our government running despite the fact that we are requiring them to come in person full time because we are unwilling to consider a work from home program that puts our people at risk. The very least we can do is to purchase PPER

that will help keep our people safe, help move forward and help present them with the idea that Saratoga County is trying our damndest to be responsive to this and keep them safe. If that needs to be a separate allocation or needs to roll over from 2020 to 2021 or if it just needs to be a directive that is what she is perceiving and that is what she moved.

Mr. Lawler said his question, he didn't get an answer to it, and he's not directing it to anyone specifically but as he listened to Supervisor Gaston he is very concerned on the health and safety of the employees and everyone in our County. He just asked a simple question of do we need to buy PPE for our employees and the assumption that \$75,000 would give every person in Saratoga County a mask he thinks that great. He's not sure every person in Saratoga County needs Saratoga County to give them a mask. He sees a lot of people seem to have their own. He's not against spending \$75,000 or \$100,000 he just wants someone to tell him there is that need. He asks that question honestly and if there is the need to buy it; actually the money is already appropriated and if we need it we shouldn't be having this conversation. The stuff should be sitting in a warehouse someplace. He is assuming, maybe he is wrong, the people that are in charge of our Public Health and our Emergency Disaster Response if we need PPE and there is money appropriated in the budget for PPE he would hope they would have gone out and bought it. If we need it then run right out and get it as fast as we can. He is just asking if we need it. And the \$25,000 for communities that might not have access to masks. That's a great idea and he doesn't have a problem with that. He has a problem with how this stuff works. Who is going to pass out the masks? Who is going to give the stuff away? There are a lot questions. Who decides who gets a mask even among those communities that lack access. How is this going to be done? What is the plan? Do we need more? Who makes that determination?

Mr. Kuhles said there are different types of masks. The effectiveness of a mask deteriorates over time. At this time he doesn't have specific data but do know that the effectiveness of the mask deteriorates. To the point of the communities that lack access they are more likely to be using a mask for a longer period of time. That is a concern that many people in public health have is that people don't understand the care and replacement of masks for protection of themselves and others. Mr. Lawler asked if anyone knows how many masks the County has.

Mr. Connolly said he is texting with Mr. Zeilman and asked for a couple of minutes. Ms. Gaston said she doesn't know how many there are but the City of Saratoga Springs including the police department has requested masks from Emergency Services where the stock pile resides and have been told there is none available for distribution for the City's police department.

Mr. Schopf said in Clifton Park they allocated and purchased about 85,000 to 100,000 mask earlier in the pandemic. They did that through an allocation of town funds as well as IDA fund balance. He is the Chairman of the Clifton Park IDA and noted a large portion of that fund balance came through projects fees derived from projects from the SEDC. We bought \$35,000 worth of masks and if the will of this Board is not to purchase masks for the City of Saratoga Springs to use in a public health crisis he told Supervisor Gaston that he would drive up in his truck 5,000 masks to her and help her distribute them to her residents.

Mr. Kusnierz said he's gotta tell you, he can not believe there is a debate on this topic this evening. It's incredulous. He is even more astonished that one of his colleagues asked Supervisor Gaston do you feel there is a sense of urgency. Mr. Lawler said that's not what he said. Mr. Kusnierz said that's exactly what you said. (Both Supervisors speaking at once.) Mr. Kusnierz said unlike you I don't put words in your mouth. Mr. Lawler said this is not what he said, what he said to Supervisor Gaston was that he understood.....Mr. Kusnierz asked the Chairman if he had the floor. Mr. Allen said he did. Mr. Kusnierz said if he may follow up, NYS has the highest number of deaths from Covid-19 in the nation. More than California, more than Texas. Mr. Grattidge asked Mr. Kusnierz to put his mask on. Mr. Kusnierz said no, he is more than 6 feet from him. He said for the Board to have a debate on whether or not this is the time to purchase additional masks to at least have them in reserve, it's uncomprehensible to him.

Mr. Kinowski said that is not the discussion. The discussion is distribution and how do you get it out. Months and months ago, Carl put out a message to all the townships asking for the needs. We got them. We got them distributed. And then thereafter Clifton Park did what they did. In Stillwater, we got 30,000.

Mr. Peck said a quick point. The debate isn't whether we need them or not. It's whether we have them. We budgeted it. The money is available. They need to be ordered. It's as simple as that. For Saratoga, the City requested it; needed it; and didn't get it. If we don't have a stockpile, we have the funds, order it. Mr. Lawler said exactly and this is getting twisted a bit in the sense that no one here has said, that he has heard, that they are against buying masks if we need mask. His question was simply do we need it. He asked administration. If we buy them what is the plan. If you are going to spend \$100,000 then it would be nice to know that someone said we are running low on PPE we need to buy more and here is how we are going to get them out to the public. Apparently if you ask those questions somehow you are against public safety. Those are the two questions he asked. Is there a need and what is the plan. He isn't sure how that got twisted to we are in the middle of the greatest pandemic, which we are, but really and truly this is another example of a eleventh hour resolution that falls out of the sky, lands under other business and if you ask a question about it somehow you are not reasonable.

Mr. Veitch said he don't usually have commentary here at the Board, but to Supervisor Lawler, for him it is embarrassing that we are sitting here in December, nine months after the start of a pandemic and you have to actually ask that question. Do we have masks and how do we distribute them. What the hell have we been doing for nine months here. If we don't know that answer as Supervisors, that is shameful to not know that at this point. (Multiple supervisors speaking.) Ms. Gaston apologized for not specifically answering Supervisor Lawler's question. Do we need masks; the answer is yes. How will we distribute it; it appears as though Commissioner Zeilman has already done so with municipalities. She recommends the same process as last time when they are obtained.

Mr. Connolly said he had a response from Carl Zeilman head of Emergency Management from the County. He says that we have enough quantity for first responders in storage. We have roughly 50,000 cloth masks on hand for general distribution.

Mr. Barrett said just a couple of ideas for distribution. He thinks everybody at some point over the year has received some supply from the County. He can just relay what was done in Clifton Park, which was several times this year starting very early on in the pandemic we had public mask distributions. They set up on the Common and publicized that they would be handing out masks. They had volunteers that put 5 masks in a bag. People drove up. We handed them the mask and they drove away. You are able to very quickly serve hundreds and hundreds of automobiles. Thousands of masks go out the door. The other thing they have done is give them to businesses through the IDA portion of the masks that we purchased which was \$185,000. The other thing that was done was deliver larger quantities to all the senior housing complexes in town. Each one was called ahead of time to see if there was a need; if there was they were delivered. This is to make sure the vulnerable population is taken care of. Obviously, we had plenty on hand for municipal employees. We've also donated masks to EMS organizations early one when masks were tougher to get. He and his wife donated a couple thousands of dollars of their own money and right here in the Board rooms handed masks to County agencies that did not have much of a supply. Over all Emergency Services for the County has done a very good job. But, why not help them out by giving them more of a supply which benefits everyone in the County. So if we have 50,000 and we have a population of roughly 230,000/240,000 it would seem to him as the cases continue to grow just in the last month he can't imagine why the County wouldn't buy this PPE. God forbid we need it for another problem down the road, but having the stockpile will help.

Mr. Richardson asked Mr. Connolly to ask Mr. Zeilman how many masks were distributed earlier this year. That way we would have a handle on how many we handed out and how many we have in stock. And how many do we need.

Mr. Peck said we have the money and we've authorized the money. (Many Supervisors Speaking.)

Mr. Zlotnick said if we need 200,000 masks then tell him to order 200,000 masks tomorrow morning. When they come in, drive to the County pick them up and then hand them out to your residents. We have all done that. Let's move on.

Mr. Allen said we are all in agreement here. Mr. Peck said let's call the question and take a vote. Mr. Zlotnick asked what the vote would be on; telling the man to do his job. Mr. Allen said he does not believe there is a

need for a resolution but if the Board would like to vote on everyone getting masks. Mr. Peck said we may not need one but that may be the only thing that stops this discussion.

Ms. Gaston said with all due respect she was just informed that the Commissioner of Emergency Services for Saratoga County told a sitting Supervisor, no on masks. Told a City police department no on masks when he is holding 50,000. She would like her motion to move forward. She called the vote.

On a motion by Ms. Gaston, seconded by Mr. Barrett approval was given to allocate \$100,000 with \$75K of that for the purchase of masks for the general public to be distributed by the County Commissioner of Public Health and Commissioner of Emergency Services, their preferred distribution method to get out to the public, the remaining \$25K would be allocated as deemed appropriate and necessary for PPE for the benefit of the County, to be allocated under the direction of the Commissioner of Public Health by the following vote:

AYES (213076): Eric Connolly (9776), Alan Grattidge (4133), Philip C. Barrett (18352.5), Jonathon Schopf (18352.5), Preston Allen (856), Jean Raymond (1214), Michael Smith (3545), Daniel Pemrick (7775), Arthur M. Wright (2048), Kevin Tollisen (21535), Darren O'Connor (14765), Thomas Richardson (5196), Benny Zlotnick (18575), Theodore Kusnierz (14728), Willard H. Peck (5087), Sandra Winney (1995), Thomas N. Wood, III (5674), Tara Gaston (13293), Matthew E. Veitch (13293), Edward D. Kinowski (8287), John Lawler (8423), John Lant (16173)

NOES (0):

ABSENT (6531): Richard Lucia (6531)

RESOLUTION 282 - 2020

Introduced by Supervisor Gaston, Seconded by Supervisor Barrett

DIRECTING THE PURCHASE OF \$100,000 IN PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT

WHEREAS, Resolution 84-2020 amended the 2020 Saratoga County Budget to effect a transfer of \$1,000,000 from Fund Balance to Saratoga County Public Health Services in order to allow Public Health Services to hire additional temporary staffing and procure supplies and equipment needed to contain and mitigate the COVID-19 pandemic; and

WHEREAS, a motion was made and duly seconded to direct the purchase of: i) facemasks in the amount of \$75,000 for distribution to the public by the Commissioner of Public Health and the Commissioner of Emergency Services; and ii) personal protective equipment in the amount of \$25,000 for use by the County, to be allocated under the direction of the Commissioner of Public Health; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the Director of Purchasing is hereby authorized and directed to purchase: i) facemasks in the amount of \$75,000 for distribution to the public by the Commissioner of Public Health and the Commissioner of Emergency Services; and ii) personal protective equipment in the amount of \$25,000 for use by the County, to be allocated under the direction of the Commissioner of Public Health.

BUDGET IMPACT STATEMENT: No budget impact.

Mr. Kusnierz said just briefly he knows there is going to be a call for a motion to go into executive session and we have listed on the agenda any other business is number fourteen, he would like respectfully request a call on Supervisor Schopf while we still have our department heads here. He knows there is a request that has been made twice to the Board of Supervisors and asked the Chairman to call on Supervisor Schopf.

Mr. Schopf said there has been an email circulated by District Attorney Heggen with regards to some concerns and issues she is having with some positive tests in the District Attorney's office and the ability for her staff to function and perform the duties of their jobs. He would make a motion that we invest her with the discretion for the next two month period to adjust her office with a work from home arrangement as she feels is best to provide for not only productivity of her staff but also for their safety. Mr. Barrett seconded the motion.

Mr. Kinowski said he is right there was an email passed around. It seems like there was clarification done as what has been done to date and to further it through the HR Committee. Supervisor Peck said it was answered most appropriately and he is really shocked and surprised that it is being brought up again in this fashion.

Mr. Schopf said with all due respect we are in the middle of a pandemic and things change on a day to day basis. He thinks we are in a meeting and it is an appropriate time to bring it up. He thinks it is an appropriate request. District Attorney Heggen is an elected official who is vested with the discretion to run her own department and he believes the Board should give her that discretion. We don't have time to run things through Public Health and HR. And frankly, the response in an email of wash your hands and stay away from people is not working for her. She should be vested with that discretion. He makes the motion and it has been seconded. Let's call the roll.

Mr. Kinowski said it is under discussion. If you just disregard the impact and the tone that it sets for all other departments and managers in the County and how it's being run, that's running blind.

Mr. Schopf said he has the same argument for any other department head that came forward with this type of request. This is why we should have implemented a work from home policy. We are still behind the ball up here.

Mr. Peck said he would just point out as he has talked with DA Heggen, from a public safety standpoint he is totally in agreement with her to make sure she protects the health, safety and welfare of the individuals/her staff. They work well. She has a plan. It worked well in the spring. His concern was the Board did not approve a work from home plan. Individualizing departments, he sees, greater issues county wide from a union standpoint. So only raises that point and the only guidance he can give her was to follow good health. If it hasn't worked in her department and we want her staff to be able to attend court, able to stay healthy. Her response to him was best. She said she was looking at one dimensional how to do her department and Supervisors think of it three dimensional because we have to look at the whole County. His point is from a public safety standpoint he is supportive. He said the way the resurgence is going we will probably all end up at home. Singling out one department will have ramifications but as long as everyone is aware of that, that's your choice.

Ms. Gaston said the Board has not chosen to not adopt a work from home policy. None was moved forward just the alternative arrangement. Personally she is in favor of any department head that wants to move forward and say their staff and they can and would like to work from home. She knows there are departments that can and department heads not moving forward with the request. If we have a department head that has managed to have her department perform admirably in the midst of this and maintain their duties she would also lean toward supporting particularly a fellow elected officials. The few people we have in the offices, the better we are. She also doesn't like the fact the Board members are in the same room and staff are made to attend. This setting gives her, excuse the term, the heebie jeebies. We are in the middle of a pandemic. We should not be sitting here. Some with our masks on. Some with masks down. If DA Heggen thinks her department is running perfectly well and capable and can do it, she would support her in doing this. She would like to see other departments do this as well.

Mr. Lawler said he has no doubt that DA Heggen can create and implement a work from home program for her staff. He is concerned about implications in union contracts. Who decides who works from home? Is it this Board? Does this mean that every department head that wants to work from home and reaches out to a Supervisor who then comes here under other business makes a motion to have another department work from home? He does acknowledge that DA Heggen sent an email to the Board. He appreciates that. He said there needs to be a policy and if we are going to have a work from home, we need a policy. What the Board approved was a flex policy where an employees can come in early or come in four days, pick up the time, that was left to department heads and HR. Now we have moved to work from home; and, when we do this without policy or structure this is not a good practice. We need a policy and this should be discussed with the attorneys and see how it affects the contracts. He is not saying it's a bad idea. It just sets a precedent and would like to see what the impact is. He thinks these one offs are problematic. There is no policy. There is no plan. How much does it cost to work from home? What is the security concerns? He has confidence DA Heggen can do this and do it well but he thinks doing it outside of a plan or policy is a mistake.

Ms. Gaston said it is her understanding each department head has to have an emergency plan which includes if there is a transition to work from home, is this correct. Ms. McNamara said yes. Was there any process or discussion. Ms. McNamara said the Governor or the Chairman would declare an emergency and then the plan

would go into effect. Ms. McNamara had a couple of departments that had to use their emergency plan. (Ms. McNamara is not at a microphone and is very hard to hear.) Ms. Gaston said there was some oversight and discussion about impact. Ms. McNamara said yes, when there is a positive case in the work place then a department would be shut down by Public Health. Ms. Gaston said DA Heggen has said it is safer for her people to work from home. Ms. McNamara said it is not always safer for people to work from home as the workplace may be safer than the living room. Ms. Gaston said there is a process for this to happen. Ms. McNamara said there was a process and it does go through Public Health if a department shuts down. Mr. Zlotnick said DA Heggen is not asking for her department to be shut down; she is asking to work from home. He said jail guards have to go to work; the people who clean the buildings have to go to work; the people who plow the roads have to go to work; they can't work from home. How is this balanced and what do we do when the unions bring issues forward. Ms. Gaston said her only ask for that is that there is a plan and oversight. She wants to make it clear that it's not DA Heggen saying she wants to do this and then nobody has any input. (Ms. McNamara is not at a microphone and cannot be heard.) Mr. Lawler said all this is why he has a concern. We have an emergency response plan. There is a policy for that. There is a plan for that. The Board or the Chairman has to declare an emergency. Once that happens all the rules for emergency planning work from home become applicable. We are not doing that here. We are not invoking the emergency plan. We are simply saying that a department has asked for permission to work from home. That is entirely different from the emergency plan. If we want to have people work from home under the emergency plan, then an emergency needs to be declared. Maybe that's the thing to do. The emergency plan has rules and plans built into it. Ms. Gaston asked if the County was still under an emergency, correct. Mr. Allen said no. Mr. Dorsey said we are under the Governor's order and that's why the public is not allowed. The Order does state a certain number of people for gathering. Ms. Gaston said she would like to register it is a problem if we are prohibiting public access to our meetings. Mr. Hellwig said we are not prohibiting access to the public. We have provided an alternative to actual physical presence in the room, which is consistent with the Order.

Mr. Pemrick said can we discuss the motion that is on the floor and make a decision and get on. We have other business we need to take care of. It's different words but the same story. We pretty much know how the vote is going to go. There is a motion on the floor so let's put it out there and call the question.

Gaston made it clear at the end that this was only for the District Attorney's office, not all departments. Schopf said that this is the only Department he is aware of right now, but would certainly be happy to extend this courtesy and ability to any of our Department Heads to be able to implement a work from home policy as they see necessary for the health, safety and operational concerns of their Department. He said DA Heggen, Treasurer Jarosh and Clerk Hayner as elected officials certainly have that discretion. Ms. Gaston said there have been other department heads that have made a similar request who have been working through the process specifically our Employment and Training Department. She would respectfully amend the motion to include that individual or develop a process. We do have people who have requested this as department heads and have had this request denied.

Mr. Kinowski said if that is added, what implications does that have through HR.

On the motion by Mr. Schopf, seconded by Mr. Barrett #283 was adopted by the following vote:

AYES (145464): Eric Connolly (9776), Philip C. Barrett (18352.5), Jonathon Schopf (18352.5), Kevin Tollisen (21535), Darren O'Connor (14765), Thomas Richardson (5196), Theodore Kusnierz (14728), Tara Gaston (13293), Matthew E. Veitch (13293), John Lant (16173)

NOES (67612): Alan Grattidge (4133), Preston Allen (856), Jean Raymond (1214), Michael Smith (3545), Daniel Pemrick (7775), Arthur M. Wright (2048), Benny Zlotnick (18575), Willard H. Peck (5087), Sandra Winney (1995), Thomas N. Wood, III (5674), Edward D. Kinowski (8287), John Lawler (8423)

ABSENT (6531): Richard Lucia (6531)

It should be noted that Ms. Gaston said other departments should be authorized as well. Mr. Lawler said no because there is no policy in place especially how it affects the union contracts. Mr. Peck for the record said no this was debated and discussed in the committee and at the Board. He does believe the situation may arise again but there is a need for a countywide policy because of the concerns financially and from a remote access standpoint. Ms. Raymond agrees there should be a policy and it should be countywide if there is a need. Ms. Winney said no but she agrees with Ms. Raymond and would approach it again if there was a policy. Mr. Zlotnick said no we are opening a big ole can of worms here.

Introduced by Supervisor Schopf, Seconded by Supervisor Barrett

AUTHORIZING A WORK FROM HOME POLICY ON A TRIAL BASIS FOR THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

WHEREAS, a motion having been made and duly seconded to authorize District Attorney Karen Heggen, in her discretion and in consultation with the County's labor counsel, Golberg Segalla, LLP, to implement a Work From Home policy for the District Attorney's Office for the next two months that fulfills the productivity needs of the Office and provides for the safety of Office staff; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the District Attorney Karen Heggen is hereby authorized, in her discretion and in consultation with the County's labor counsel, Golberg Segalla, LLP, to implement a Work From Home policy for the District Attorney's Office for the next two months that fulfills the productivity needs of the Office and provides for the safety of Office staff.

BUDGET IMPACT STATEMENT: No budget impact.

Ms. Gaston said while on the subject she would like to move to authorize the Director of the Employment and Training Office, Jen McCloskey to engage in the same process, using the emergency plan that she has already initiated. So, same process, same discussion, if anyone has any other questions that's fine too. Mr. Schopf seconded.

Mr. Kinowski said he's sorry to drag this out. It has not been through the process and has the same concerns already stated. He asked Mr. Peck if he had anything to add. Mr. Peck said it is what it is and will cause a lot of problems. He said just let them vote.

Mr. Lawler said he feels this is a very irresponsible way to govern Saratoga County. Every supervisor here could bring forward a department to work from home. We don't really have any idea how it will work, what the consequences will be and we don't know where the request originated. Apparently, a department head reached out to a Supervisor because they want to work from home. It's just seem like an unorganized way to run a government.

Mr. O'Connor said he has been informed that it may be a good idea to allow our labor attorney to address the Board. Mr. Peck said what we have done is put extreme pressure on department heads because they will be pressurized by individuals underneath them to say fight for us we want to work from home. The IT is another issue.

Mr. Bernstein said he thinks there needs to be a dialogue with CSEA before this is done. All the employees are different. Employees have access to document that they may not be able to access from home. It may not be as easy as going from department to department. If department heads are bringing it up that's a good start. There are many factors to take into account and he can see many grievances coming. And said the Board should be cautious. Mr. Schopf asked how the State workforce was doing this as most of them are working from home. Mr. Schopf said the Governor must have made the order. Mr. Bernstein said CSEA had conversation with the Governor's staff. He said the County has IT security to think about and some people may not be able to work from home. Mr. Lawler asked if Mr. Bernstein thought it would be a better avenue to engage in dialogue with the unions, review the union contracts and get him involved in these discussion and create a comprehensive work from home policy. Mr. Bernstein said yes.

Ms. Gaston said as a point of clarification the head of the Employment and Training is not a union position. She asked for that to be clarified. At least for her that is not a concern.

Mr. Peck said part of his hastiness here is we are starting to govern in a not thoughtful well-planned way. We are deciding things on the fly. One of the reasons this has worked so well is that we vet things, we talk them through and get them done. Now, granted, we are in a pandemic situation where we need to act and react differently but he believes our actions that are coming off the cuff are putting us in danger from a way we

manage moving forward. We need to be more thoughtful moving through the process. That is his concern. We had the dialogue two months ago and in seven or eight weeks time we have had a resurgence. But at that time two or three months ago the discussions led to a flex schedule. Ms. McNamara said the last time the Chairman declared an emergency and all departments were considered. That has not happened now.

Mr. Connolly asked Mr. Bernstein if he thought the previous resolution be rescinded in order to be better planned. Mr. Bernstein said the DA is a little different. The ADA's are not unionized. He is more speaking to the union employees. Mr. Schopf asked if it mattered that she had positive cases in her office. Mr. Bernstein said he could not comment on the public health aspect of it.

Mr. Kusnierz asked if the flexible work schedule policy that was adopted had any provision in it for the pandemic any kind of pandemic. Mr. Peck said it was set up in response to the pandemic. Mr. Kusnierz said it is his understanding that the request from the department head came as a result that the policy did not provide for accommodations for the needs of the office in an effort to protect the health and safety of not only County staff but also because of unique requirements of that office to go into our towns and interface with our justices and defendants, etc. He believes that's the genesis of why the request came about. He could be wrong and he would let the DA speak to that.

Mr. Kinowski said he called the DA and spoke about this with his concerns the DA indicated she was being proactive. The examples that were provided showed her being proactive. The current situation you had and got advice from Public Health that it was not needed. Ms. Heggen said her approach was an attempt to be proactive because she saw two different departments get closed down. She had concerns that if she did not act in a proactive to reduce the number of staff that her office could get shut down totally. There is no other group or entity that can substitute for her office. The court systems are slowing down but they are not closing. Criminals have not stopped committing crimes. They still have to respond each and every day. What she is trying to do is manage the work in her office so that her office can keep doing work. She has lost countless day because of testing and quarantined and they can't work from home because there is no policy. That is why she has advanced the request. She has done everything she can with moving people around and putting up barriers. Mr. Kinowski asked if any of her employees are union. She said she has 13 people that are. They have the ability to work from home and has no concerns with that. It is a unique situation with her office. Mr. Peck said discussion have been had with CSEA regarding union employees. Usually the department head, HR and the union would sit down to come up with a plan. Mr. Peck said there has to be clarity between her department and others because now the requests like Employment and Training have come in. The DA's office could be justified by a public safety standpoint with keeping criminals off the street and keeping citizens safe. This has opened this up and said it's a free for all.

Mr. O'Connor said instead of rescinding the motion that was just passed, can we just ask the DA before the plan is implemented to run it through with the labor attorney to make sure we aren't going to create huge labor problems by carrying the plan forward with the DA's office. He asked the DA to confer with Mr. Bernstein. Ms. Heggen asked what direction will the Board give if there is an issue. Mr. O'Connor said the Board has given you the discretion and you can not implement the plan. If labor counsel advises you that there is a modification of your plan that may make it work better for labor relations you may want to do that or if he says you're fine, then you are fine. You will have given the Board assurance that you will confer with labor counsel. Ms. Heggen said she will speak with them 9:00 tomorrow morning.

Mr. Allen asked Ms. Gaston if her motion stands. She said it does. She understands that it needs to be done in a thoughtful and timely manner over the course of things. She wish it had. She knows there has been discussions with staff and department heads across the County who have tried to put forth such a motion. Director McCloskey has been working with Saratoga Springs and other communities with people that have been laid off because of Covid-19 can obtain jobs in other areas while it may not be a public safety matter it certainly is a matter of securing employment of people in our community.

Lawler asked if someone could read the motion again.

Mr. Allen said she asked the motion to let Employment and Training are able to do the same process as the DA's office is doing.

Ms. Gaston said including, on the advice of Supervisor O'Connor, asking her to discuss any plan or process with labor attorney to make sure there are not any problems.

Mr. Peck asked Pam to announce it for those on the phone. Ms. Wright said there was a motion by Supervisor Gaston, to authorize Employment & Training Jennifer McCloskey to engage in the same process as the District Attorney. It was seconded by Supervisor Schopf.

On a motion by Ms. Gaston, seconded by Mr. Schopf Resolution 284 failed by the following vote:

AYES (92792): Philip C. Barrett (18352.5), Jonathon Schopf (18352.5), Richard Lucia (6531), Kevin Tollisen (21535), Theodore Kusnierz (14728), Tara Gaston (13293).

NOES (126815): Eric Connolly (9776), Alan Grattidge (4133), Preston Allen (856), Jean Raymond (1214), Michael Smith (3545), Daniel Pemrick (7775), Arthur M. Wright (2048), Darren O'Connor (14765), Thomas Richardson (5196), Benny Zlotnick (18575), Willard H. Peck (5087), Sandra Winney (1995), Thomas N. Wood, III (5674), Matthew E. Veitch (13293), Edward D. Kinowski (8287), John Lawler (8423), John Lant (16173).

It should be noted that Mr. Lawler said he is voting no because the labor attorney was quite specific we have a policy and a discussion with unions. Mr. O'Connor endorses the concept but is worried about the legal ramifications on the union front and is voting no. Ms. Raymond said she is voting no for the same reasons she voted no on the previous one and there needs to be a policy.

RESOLUTION 284 – 2020 - FAILED

Introduced by Supervisor Gaston, Seconded by Supervisor Schopf

AUTHORIZING A WORK FROM HOME POLICY ON A TRIAL BASIS FOR THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING

WHEREAS, a motion having been made and duly seconded to authorize the Director of the Office of Employment and Training, Jennifer McCloskey, in her discretion and in consultation with the County's labor counsel, Golberg Segalla, LLP, to implement a Work From Home policy for the staff of the Office of Employment and Training for the next two months that fulfills the productivity needs of the Office and provides for the safety of Office staff; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Director of the Office of Employment and Training, Jennifer McCloskey, is hereby authorized in her discretion and in consultation with the County's labor counsel, Goldberg Segalla, LLP, to implement a Work From Home policy for the Office of Employment and Training for the next two months that fulfills the productivity needs of the Office and provides for the safety of Office staff.

BUDGET IMPACT STATEMENT: No budget impact.

On a motion by Mr. Grattige, seconded by Mr. Kinowski the Board went into Executive Session for the purpose of discussing the employment history of a particular person or persons, to discuss matters potentially leading to the discipline of a particular person or persons, and to discuss proposed, pending or current litigation:

AYES (140268): Eric Connolly (9776), Philip C. Barrett (18352.5), Jonathon Schopf (18352.5), Richard Lucia (6531), Kevin Tollisen (21535), Darren O'Connor (14765), Theodore Kusnierz (14728), Tara Gaston (13293), Matthew E. Veitch (13293), John Lant (16173)

NOES (72808): Alan Grattidge (4133), Preston Allen (856), Jean Raymond (1214), Michael Smith (3545), Daniel Pemrick (7775), Arthur M. Wright (2048), Thomas Richardson (5196), Benny Zlotnick (18575), Willard H. Peck (5087), Sandra Winney (1995), Thomas N. Wood, III (5674), Edward D. Kinowski (8287), John Lawler (8423)

ABSENT (6531): Richard Lucia (6531)

Mr. Chairman said for the record the Board voted to approve the recommendation of the subcommittee in executive session.

On a motion by Mr. Connolly, seconded by Mr. Schopf #285 was adopted by the following vote:

AYES (140268): Eric Connolly (9776), Philip C. Barrett (18352.5), Jonathon Schopf (18352.5), Kevin Tollisen (21535), Darren O'Connor (14765), Theodore Kusnierz (14728), Tara Gaston (13293), Matthew E. Veitch (13293), John Lant (16173)

NOES (71594): Alan Grattidge (4133), Preston Allen (856), Michael Smith (3545), Daniel Pemrick (7775), Arthur M. Wright (2048), Thomas Richardson (5196), Benny Zlotnick (18575), Willard H. Peck (5087), Sandra Winney (1995), Thomas N. Wood, III (5674), Edward D. Kinowski (8287), John Lawler (8423)

ABSENT (7745): Richard Lucia (6531), Jean Raymond (1214)

RESOLUTION 285 - 2020

Introduced by Supervisor Connolly

AMENDING RESOLUTION 180-2020

WHEREAS, pursuant to Resolution 180-2020, established a special committee to analyze the report of investigation of the law firm of E. Stewart Jones Hacker Murphy LLP regarding the pay increases and decreases paid to County employees during the period March 16, 2020 – April 2, 2020 with the assistance of the County's labor counsel, Goldberg Segalla; to receive recommendations from said labor counsel as to what, if any, disciplinary action may be appropriate against any employee named in the report; to determine and formulate recommendations of the committee to the Board of Supervisors as to what disciplinary actions, if any, may be appropriate against employees named in the report; and to convey such recommendations of labor counsel and the committee to the Board of Supervisors; and

WHEREAS, Resolution 180-2020 was amended by Resolution 181-2020 to appoint Supervisors Richardson and Tollisen to fill vacancies on the special committee created by the resignations of Supervisors Kinowski and Kusnierz; and

WHEREAS, the special committee has concluded its meetings and has recommended that Committee Chair Eric Connolly be authorized to undertake certain actions set forth hereinafter; now, therefore, be it further

RESOLVED, that Special Committee Chair Eric Connolly is hereby authorized to decide and facilitate what, if any, action should be taken to any personnel as a result of the findings in the report of investigation of the law firm of E. Stewart Jones Hacker Murphy, LLP; and be it further

RESOLVED, that Special Committee Chair Connolly is directed to continue to work in conjunction with labor counsel Goldberg Segalla and members of the special committee as needed; and be it further

RESOLVED, that Special Committee Chair Connolly or his designee is authorized to retain oversight of any recommended course of action as to any employee to ensure the employee's compliance. Any other resolution of these matters shall come before the full Board of Supervisors; and be it further

RESOLVED, that Resolution 180-2020, as amended by Resolution 181-2020, is hereby amended accordingly.

BUDGET IMPACT STATEMENT: No budget impact.

Mr. Lawler said that for the record, to be clear, without going into the details of the Counseling memorandum, it was agreed, and if it wasn't agreed by consensus, I hope that people will have the courage of their convictions to speak up, but it was agreed in a consensus of this Board that the memorandum would be altered consistent with the consensus that the Board agreed to in Executive Session. Mr. Schopf said that the memorandum cannot be discussed in public session. Mr. Lawler said that he is not discussing any of the contents of it, he is simply saying, that we agreed that there would be an edit to it, there was a consensus of the Board, and he just wants it in the record that they agreed there would be a consensus to make some changes. Mr. Lawler said he is not talking about what is in it, and not talking about the changes. Mr. Schopf said that it was not even supposed to be discussed that there was an existence of a memorandum.

Mr. Barrett said that in reference to the two letters that were read at the beginning of the meeting in full, he wanted to say a couple of comments. One, he has stated publicly and told his colleagues beginning in March that we should not be negotiating with the Unions, pay people what was promised for the time and a half situation, and end the time and a half pay immediately. Mr. Barrett said that one meeting where he stated that was right here when they were asked to meet with the COVID committee on March 27th. Mr. Barrett said that it soon became clear why the negotiations were occurring, the negotiation of a previous years contract suddenly

became very important in the middle of a 100 year pandemic. A raise in stipend was negotiated with these two unions as part of the time and a half scandal. Money was paid and taken back from paychecks during the time and a half scandal. Promised made by County Leadership to the Unions were not delivered upon. SO for County leadership to make good with the Unions and for County leadership to be able to say we are not paying time and a half, a backroom deal was made with these two unions. Mr. Barrett said that if either of these two unions wanted to verify what was stated in their letters, this one talking to that one, and that one talking to this one, perhaps they could have confirmed it directly with him with a phone call. Mr. Barrett said that he knows Brendan Beardsley has his number, he has called him with stories about misgivings with the operation of the Jail, what he considered inside information of the operation of the Sheriff's department including rules that were supposedly skirted. Mr. Barrett said that the two union leaders are criticizing the external investigation now, they are criticizing the investigators interpretation of communications between they and another union leader. Mr. Barrett said that as we relive the time and a half pay scandal today, and the same players involved in the scandal then attempt to disparage those that have been critical of the scandal from the beginning, 100 year pandemic continues. Mr. Barrett said that PPE that was promised to be purchased by the vaunted reopening committee has never occurred, that was fixed today. Mr. Barrett said that the testing machine that was purchased by the Board of Supervisors approved in June is non-operational for some reason. The 50 contact tracers approved by the Board of Supervisors in the summer have not been filled, although Mr. Barret said that there has been some very good progress along those lines just in the last week. Mr. Barrett said that a few days ago he criticized county leadership for the lack of Covid response in a television interview. Mr. Barrett said that some people in this room are probably very angry with him about that but he does not care. Mr. Barrett said that he will never waiver, he will never stop, whether he is in office or a private citizen. Mr. Barrett said that as a reminder, the external investigation is a public document and the communications mentioned by the two union leaders are available for public viewing. Mr. Barrett suggested that people draw their own conclusions.

Mr. Lawler said that relative to the two letters, a lot of what Supervisor Barrett just said is really not germane to the two letters, no one disputes that we are in the middle, or hopefully at the end of a 100 year pandemic. Mr. Lawler said that what he found bothersome in the two letters from the union representatives, where the union presidents making clear statements that our negotiating committee was basically gone around, that a Supervisor here would attempt to negotiate a contract, or speak to a union president promising anything in a contract. Mr. Lawler said that according to the letter, claiming the Supervisor had the votes to deliver what he promised, just reading the letter how it is written. Mr. Lawler said that he believes we have a personnel committee, a negotiating committee, the Board authorized those people to negotiate contracts and he thinks it is tremendously inappropriate for any Supervisor not on that committee to inject themselves into union negotiations, let alone promise that they could promise to deliver the votes to make it happen. Mr. Lawler said that he is just quoting what is in the letter, he does not believe it is appropriate and in fact is not sure if it is ethical for someone to go out the standard negotiating process that this County has had, to go around the backs of the negotiating committee to try to cut their own deals with the union presidents when there is a negotiating committee in place for just that purpose. Mr. Lawler said that the union letters speak for themselves in terms of the comments and text messages that were sent to Mr. Neidl, they claim the messages were taken totally out of context, they said that they have a great relationship and communication with HR and Administration. Mr. Lawler said that a simple reading of their letter outlines disturbing behavior on Mr. Barrett's part and are very complimentary of the Administration.

Mr. Grattidge said that since this is his last meeting he would like to express his gratitude for the 15 years that he has been able to serve on this Board for the residents of Charlton. Mr. Grattidge said that they have gone through a lot of ups and downs over the last 15 years, he is happy to be leaving and will miss some more than others. Mr. Grattidge said that he has trust in the voters to do the right thing to send people to do the work of the residents of Saratoga County. Mr. Grattidge thanked the Administrator, Staff and Department Heads he has had the privilege of working with over the years.

Mr. Kinowski thanked Mr. Grattidge for all he has done for Saratoga County. Mr. Kinowski said that regarding Supervisor Barrett's comments, during the last meetings with the departing Public Health Director, the Board knew that they only needed 21-23 contact tracers at the time and in the course of a short week the numbers went exponentially. Mr. Kinowski said that to try to bring on people and train them up to at least even the 50 would have been a miracle, even if it had begun 3 weeks prior. Now we are moving in the right direction and that's a good thing. Regarding the letters, Mr. Kinowski said that he took them for what they read. Mr.

Kinowski said that what was done bordered on unethical behavior. Mr. Kinowski said that it led credence to a number of factors that were given in the final report. Mr. Kinowski said that this Board never took the amended report and approved it for release. The first was released but the second with changes was not.

Ms. Gaston asked the Chairman if the Board had not authorized the release of the amended report, because if not she agrees that it is not appropriate and a vote should be taken now about it.

On a motion made by Ms. Gaston, seconded by Mr. Lawler, authorization to release the amended external report was approved.

AYES (211862): Eric Connolly (9776), Alan Grattidge (4133), Philip C. Barrett (18352.5), Jonathon Schopf (18352.5), Preston Allen (856), Michael Smith (3545), Daniel Pemrick (7775), Arthur M. Wright (2048), Kevin Tollisen (21535), Darren O'Connor (14765), Thomas Richardson (5196), Benny Zlotnick (18575), Theodore Kusnierz (14728), Willard H. Peck (5087), Sandra Winney (1995), Thomas N. Wood, III (5674), Tara Gaston (13293), Matthew E. Veitch (13293), Edward D. Kinowski (8287), John Lawler (8423), John Lant (16173)

NOES (0):

ABSENT (7745): Richard Lucia (6531), Jean Raymond (1214)

On a motion was made by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Zlotnick the meeting was adjourned.

AYES (204193): Eric Connolly (9776), Alan Grattidge (4133), Philip C. Barrett (18352.5), Jonathon Schopf (18352.5), Preston Allen (856), Michael Smith (3545), Daniel Pemrick (7775), Arthur M. Wright (2048), Kevin Tollisen (21535), Darren O'Connor (14765), Thomas Richardson (5196), Benny Zlotnick (18575), Theodore Kusnierz (14728), Willard H. Peck (5087), Tara Gaston (13293), Matthew E. Veitch (13293), Edward D. Kinowski (8287), John Lawler (8423), John Lant (16173)

NOES (0):

ABSENT (15414): Richard Lucia (6531), Jean Raymond (1214), Sandra Winney (1995), Thomas N. Wood, III (5674)

Respectfully Submitted,

Pamela Wright
Clerk of the Board