9.17 Town of Milton

This section presents the jurisdictional annex for the Town of Milton. The town provided the following updates that were incorporated into this annex:

NYS Mitigation Action Worksheets

9.17.1 Hazard Mitigation Plan Point of Contact

Primary Point of Contact	Alternate Point of Contact
Scott Ostrander, Supervisor	Bill Lewis, Deputy Building Inspector
503 Geyser Road	503 Geyser Road
Ballston Spa, NY 12020	Ballston Spa, NY 12020
(518) 884-2766	(518) 885-9220
ostrander@saratogacountyny.gov	wlewis@townofmiltonnhy.org

9.17.2 Town Profile

Population

18,985 (American Community Survey 5-Year 2016 Estimates)

Location

The Town of Milton is centrally located in Saratoga County. Milton is bounded on the north by Greenfield, on the east by Saratoga Springs and Malta, on the south by Ballston and Charlton, and on the west by Galway. Ballston Spa, the county seat of Saratoga County, is the principal village in Milton, though a part of the corporate limits of the village extend into the Town of Ballston. The Kayaderosseras Creek flows through the eastern part of Milton. New York State Route 29 is an east-west highway across Milton. New York State Route 67 runs along the south town line.

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the town has a total area of 35.6 square miles, with 35.6 square miles of it land and 0.04 square miles (0.06-percent) of its water.

Climate

Saratoga County, with all its municipalities, generally experiences seasonable weather patterns characteristic of the northeastern U.S. Warm summers are typically experienced, with occasional high temperatures and humidity. Midsummer temperatures typically range from 60°F to 83°F (Fahrenheit). The winters of Saratoga County are long and cold, with temperatures typically ranging from 12°F to 30°F (Fahrenheit). During the winter, temperatures are cooler than the temperatures in areas located near large bodies of water. Snow accumulates to an average depth of 68.7 inches each year.

Brief History

The Town of Milton was first settled around 1772 and was established in 1792 from part of the Town of Ballston. In 1793, part of the town was taken to form part of the Town of Greenfield. In 1807, part of Milton was lost when Ballston Spa became an incorporated village. In the mid- to late-1800s, the town was the site of numerous manufacturing concerns. The most famous was the paper mills of "Paper Bag King" George West, who invented a line of square-bottomed paper bags and sold them by the millions soon after the Civil War. There were also the celebrated hard edge tool factories of Isaiah Blood, the large planning, sash, and door mill of Benjamin Barber, the National Folding Box paper mill, and the Cottrell Paper Mill, the only manufacturer that still operates today. All of these mills were water-powered, and therefore succumbed to competition from electric-powered factories in the early 1900s. The Town of Milton had its very own terminal trolley railroad line early in the twentieth century, known as the Ballston Terminal Railroad. The Kesselring Site for Naval Nuclear Propulsion Program was established in 1948 in West Milton.

Governing Body Format

This information is not available at this time.

Growth/Development Trends

Property Name	Туре	Number of Structures	Address	Block and Lot	Description
Winners Circle of Saratoga	Multi- Family	56	Geyser Rd. & Greenfield	1901-30	586 Residential Units
Creek Side Park	Residential Subdivision	82	Rock City Rd & Rock City Falls	175.19-1-1 through 16 175.20-1-All 1881-114 188.7-1-1 through 16 188.7-2-1 through 20 188.8-1-1 through 12 188.12-1-1 through 10	Multiple Parcels on Tax Maps

Table 9.17-1 New and Potential Development in Town of Milton

9.17.3 Town-Specific Hazard Information

Detailed hazard event histories can be found in the Previous Occurrences and Losses sections of each hazard profile in Section 5. Table 9.17-2 summarizes the Town of Milton's ranking of the hazards based on probability of occurrence and impacts to the town. The Town of Milton did not revise their hazard ranking for this plan update, therefore hazard rankings are not available for the newly added hazards (drought, extreme temperature, and invasive species). Based on the old

ranking, the most notable difference between the Town of Milton and the County is that severe winter weather is the Town's highest risk hazard, whereas the County ranked it a moderate hazard.

Rank #	Hazard Type	Probability of Occurrence	Risk Ranking Scoreª	Hazard Ranking ^b	County Hazard Ranking ^b	
N/A	Drought	No information provided	No information provided	No information provided	Low	
4	Earthquake	Rare	11	Low	Low	
N/A	Extreme Temperature	No information provided	No information provided	No information provided	High	
2	Flood (riverine, flash, coastal and urban flooding)	Frequent	51	High	High	
3	Ground Failure	Rare	6	Low	Medium	
N/A	Invasive Species	No information provided	No information provided	No information provided	Medium	
2	Severe Storm (windstorms, thunderstorms, hail, lightning and tornados)	Frequent	51	High	High	
1	Severe Winter Storm (heavy snow, blizzards, ice storms)	Frequent	54	High	Medium	
N/A	Wildfire	No information provided	No information provided	No information provided	Low	

Table 9.17-2 Town of Milton Hazard Ranking

a. Risk ranking score = Probability x Impact

b. High = Total hazard priority risk ranking score of 31 and above; Medium = Total hazard priority risk ranking of 16-30; and Low = Total hazard risk ranking below 15

c. N/A = Not available. The Town of Milton did not rank the new hazards profiled in the 2019 HMP Update. The rankings in this table reflect the town's ranking of the hazards in the previous HMP.

9.17.4 Capability Assessment

This section identifies the following capabilities of the local jurisdiction:

- Legal and regulatory capability;
- Administrative and technical capability;
- Fiscal capability; and,
- Community classification.

Legal and Regulatory Capability

Table 9:17-5 EX	8				
Regulatory Tools (Codes, Ordinances, Plans)	Local Authority (Y or N)	Prohibitions (State or Federal) (Y or N)	Higher Jurisdictional Authority (Y or N)	State Mandated (Y or N)	Code Citation (Section, Paragraph, Page Number, date of adoption)
1) Building Code	Y	N	Y	N	Chapter 85 – Town Code 11/22/2006 - LL.3-2006
2) Zoning Ordinance	Y	N	N	N	Chapter 180 – Town Code 11/22/2006 LL. 1- 2006
3) Subdivision Ordinance	Y	N	N	N	Chapter 154 – Town Code 6/20/2001 – LL. 2- 2001
4) NFIP Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance(if you are in the NFIP, you must have this.)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Chapter 88 – Town Code 5/17/1995 – LL. 1-1995
5) Growth Management	Y	N	N	N	Chapter 180 - Town Code 9/11/2006 – LL. 1 – 2006
6) Floodplain Management / Basin Plan	N	Y	Y	N	Not provided
7) Stormwater Management Plan/Ordinance	Y	N	Y	Y	Chapter 151 – Town Code 10/25/2006 – LL. 2 -2006
8) Comprehensive Plan / Master Plan/ General Plan	Y	N	N	N	6 – 2001 / Resolution 38
9) Capital Improvements Plan	Ν	N	N	N	Not provided
10) Site Plan Review Requirements	Y	Y	Y	N	Chapter 180 – Article 8
11) Open Space Plan	Y	N	N	N	Town of Milton Recreation and Open Space Plan. Dec. 2003
12) Economic Development Plan	N	N	N	N	Not provided
13) Emergency Response Plan	Y	N	Y	Y	Town of Milton Disaster Preparedness Plan. Jan. 2004
14) Post Disaster Recovery Plan	Y	N	N	N	Town of Milton Disaster Preparedness Plan. Section 6
15) Post Disaster Recovery Ordinance	N	N	N	N	Not provided
16) Real Estate Disclosure req.	N	N	N	N	Not provided

Table 9.17-3 Legal and Regulatory Capability of the Town of Milton

Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan

Saratoga County, New York July 18, 2019

Regulatory Tools (Codes, Ordinances, Plans)	Local Authority (Y or N)	Prohibitions (State or Federal) (Y or N)	Higher Jurisdictional Authority (Y or N)	State Mandated (Y or N)	Code Citation (Section, Paragraph, Page Number, date of adoption)
17) Other [Special Purpose Ordinances (i.e., critical or sensitive areas)]	N	Y	Y	N	Not provided

Administrative and Technical Capability

Table 9.17-4 Administrative and Technical Capability of the Town of Milton

Staff/ Personnel Resources	Available (Y or N)	Department/ Agency/Position
1) Planner(s) or Engineer(s) with knowledge of land development and land management practices	Y	Zoning Official, Town Engineer
2) Engineer(s) or Professional(s) trained in construction practices related to buildings and/or infrastructure	Y	Town Engineer, Building Inspector
 Planners or engineers with an understanding of natural hazards 	Y	Town Engineer
4) Floodplain Administrator	Y	Wayne Howe – Senior Code Enforcement Officer
5) Surveyor(s)	Ν	Not provided
6) Personnel skilled or trained in "GIS" applications	N	Not provided
7) Scientist familiar with natural hazards in the Town of Milton.	N	Not provided
8) Emergency Manager	Υ	Town Supervisor
9) Grant Writer(s)	Ν	Not provided
10) Staff with expertise or training in benefit/cost analysis	N	Not provided

Fiscal Capability

Table 9.17-5 Fiscal Capability of the Town of Milton

Financial Resources	Accessible or Eligible to use (Yes/No/Don't know)
1) Community development Block Grants (CDBG)	Yes
2) Capital Improvements Project Funding	Yes
3) Authority to Levy Taxes for specific purposes	Yes

Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan

Financial Resources	Accessible or Eligible to use (Yes/No/Don't know)
4) User fees for water, sewer, gas or electric service	No
5) Impact Fees for homebuyers or developers of new development/homes	Yes – Park and Rec. Fee
6) Incur debt through general obligation bonds	Yes
7) Incur debt through special tax bonds	Don't know
8) Incur debt through private activity bonds	Don't know
9) Withhold public expenditures in hazard-prone areas	Don't know
10) State sponsored grant programs such as FCAAP	Not provided
11) Other	Not provided

Community Classifications

Table 9.17-6 Community Classifications of the Town of Milton

Program	Classification	Date Classified
Community Rating System (CRS)	NP	N/A
Building Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule (BCEGS)	NP	N/A
Public Protection	NP	N/A
Storm Ready	NP	N/A
Firewise	NP	N/A

N/A = Not applicable. NP = Not participating. - = Unavailable.

The classifications listed above relate to the community's effectiveness in providing services that may impact its vulnerability to the natural hazards identified. These classifications can be viewed as a gauge of the community's capabilities in all phases of emergency management (preparedness, response, recovery and mitigation) and are used as an underwriting parameter for determining the costs of various forms of insurance. The CRS class applies to flood insurance while the BCEGS and Public Protection classifications apply to standard property insurance. CRS classifications range on a scale of 1 to 10 with class one being the best possible classification, and class 10 representing no classification benefit. Firewise classifications include a higher classification when the subject property is located beyond 1000 feet of a creditable fire hydrant and is within 5 road miles of a recognized Fire Station. Criteria for classification credits are outlined in the following documents:

- The Community Rating System Coordinators Manual;
- The Building Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule;
- The ISO Mitigation online ISO's Public Protection website at:;
- The National Weather Service Storm Ready website at https://www.weather.gov/stormready/; and,
- The National Firewise Communities website at http://firewise.org/.

9.17.5 Mitigation Strategy

Proposed Hazard Mitigation Initiatives

Table 9.17-7 Proposed Hazard Mitigation Initiatives of the Town of Milton

					0					
Initiative	Mitigation Initiative	Applies to New and/or Existing Structures*	Hazard(s) Mitigated	Goals Met	Objectives Met	Lead	Support	Estimated Cost	Sources of Funding	Timeline
TMI-1	Where appropriate, support retrofitting of structures located in hazard-prone areas to protect structures from future damage, with repetitive loss and severe repetitive loss properties as priority. Identify facilities that are viable candidates for retrofitting based on cost- effectiveness versus relocation. Where retrofitting is determined to be a viable option, consider implementation of that action based on available funding. The Milton Eagles Volunteer Fire Department is located in a special flood hazard area; its vulnerability to flood hazards is not fully known and over the life of this plan the town will conduct an assessment of this facility (e.g., document history of damage) to understand its vulnerabilities and mitigate.	Existing	Flood, Severe Storm	1, 2, 3, 5	1-1, 1- 2, 1-3, 2-2, 2- 3, 2-4, 3-1, 3- 5	NFIP Floodplain	NYS DHSES, FEMA	High	FEMA Mitigation Grant Programs and local budget (or property owner) for cost share	Long Term

Initiative	Mitigation Initiative	Applies to New and/or Existing Structures*	Hazard(s) Mitigated	Goals Met	Objectives Met	Lead	Support	Estimated Cost	Sources of Funding	Timeline
TMI-2	Where appropriate, support purchase, or relocation of structures located in hazard-prone areas to protect structures from future damage, with repetitive loss and severe repetitive loss properties as priority. Identify facilities that are viable candidates for relocation based on cost- effectiveness versus retrofitting. Where relocation is determined to be a viable option, consider implementation of that action based on available funding. The Milton Eagles Volunteer Fire Department is located in a special flood hazard area; its vulnerability to flood hazards is not fully known and over the life of this plan the town will conduct an assessment of this facility (e.g., document history of damage) to understand its vulnerabilities and mitigate.	Existing	Flood, Severe Storm	1, 2, 3, 5	1-1, 1- 2, 1-3, 2-2, 2- 3, 2-4, 3-1, 3- 5	NFIP Floodplain Administrator	NYS DHSES, FEMA	High	FEMA Mitigation Grant Programs and local budget (or property owner) for cost share	Long Term
TMI-3	Consider participation in incentive-based programs such as CRS.	New & Existing	Flood	1, 2, 5	1-1, 1- 3, 1-6, 2-1, 2- 2, 2-3, 2-4, 5- 2	NFIP Floodplain Administrator	NYS DHSES, ISO, FEMA	Low - Medium	Local Budget	Ongoing

Initiative	Mitigation Initiative	Applies to New and/or Existing Structures*	Hazard(s) Mitigated	Goals Met	Objectives Met	Lead	Support	Estimated Cost	Sources of Funding	Timeline
TMI-4	Continue to support the implementation, monitoring, maintenance, and updating of this Plan, as defined in Section 7.0	New & Existing	All Hazards	All	All	NFIP Floodplain Administrator	County (through Mitigation Planning Coordinator), NYS DHSES	Low – High (for 5-year update)	Local Budget, possibly FEMA Mitigation Grant Funding for 5- year update	Ongoing
TMI-5	Strive to maintain compliance with, and good-standing in the National Flood Insurance program.	New & Existing	Flood	1, 2, 4	1-1, 1- 2, 1-3, 1-8, 2- 2, 2-3, 2-4, 4- 1, 4-2, 4-3, 4- 4	NFIP Floodplain Administrator	NYS DHSES, ISO, FEMA	Low - Medium	Local Budget	Ongoing – Long- term dependin g on initiative
TMI-6	Continue to develop, enhance, and implement existing emergency plans.	New & Existing	All Hazards	1, 3	1-1, 1- 7, 3-2, 3-4, 3- 5	Municipal Emergency Manager with support from County OEM and NYS DHSES	County Emergency Management, NYS DHSES	Low - Medium	Local Budget	Ongoing
TMI-7	Create/enhance/ maintain mutual aid agreements with neighboring communities.	New & Existing	All Hazards	3, 5	3-4, 5- 1, 5-3	Emergency Management, DPW and Roads	Surrounding municipalities and County	Low - Medium	Local Budget	Ongoing
TMI-8	Support County-wide initiatives identified in Section 9.1 of the County Annex.	New & Existing	All Hazards	All	All	Appropriate Departments	County and Regional agencies (as appropriate for initiative)	Low - High	Existing programs and grant funding where applicable	Long Term

Initiative	Mitigation Initiative	Applies to New and/or Existing Structures*	Hazard(s) Mitigated	Goals Met	Objectives Met	Lead	Support	Estimated Cost	Sources of Funding	Timeline
TMI-9	Create/update the Emergency Action Plans for all dams located within the municipality.	Existing	Flood	1, 3	1-1, 1- 6, 1- 7, 3-1, 3- 2, 3-4	NFIP Floodplain Administrator	Watershed districts (if applicable); neighboring municipalities ; County (if applicable); NYS	Medium to Low	FEMA HMA	Short Term
TMI-10	Implement dam structure repairs as required by dam safety report/protocols	Existing	Flood	3	3-1, 3- 3, 3-6	NFIP Floodplain Administrator with the Engineering Department	Watershed districts (if applicable); neighboring municipalities ; County (if applicable); NYS	Medium	FEMA HMA	Short Term
TMI-11	Support the Installation/Implementation of Community Emergency Alert System	New & Existing	All Hazards	1, 3, 5	1-1, 3- 1, 3-3, 3-5, 3- 6, 5-1	LEMC	Watershed districts (if applicable); neighboring municipalities ; County (if applicable); NYS	Medium	FEMA HMA	Ongoing
TMI-12	Create a mitigation support fund to provide matching funds on an ongoing basis for municipality and residential mitigation projects which will fund cost-sharing portions of projects and be replenished during the annual budget cycle	New & Existing	All Hazards	1, 2, 3, 5	1-3, 1- 9, 2-5, 3-1, 5- 2	Town Board		Medium	Operating budget	Ongoing

Initiative	Mitigation Initiative	Applies to New and/or Existing Structures*	Hazard(s) Mitigated	Goals Met	Objectives Met	Lead	Support	Estimated Cost	Sources of Funding	Timeline
TMI-13	Investigate and implement mitigation actions to mitigate flooding at Rock City Falls Fire Dept. Station #1 which is estimated to experience damages from flooding per the Vulnerability Assessment conducted for this Plan.	Existing	Flood, Severe Storm	1, 3	1-1, 3- 4, 3-6	NFIP Floodplain Administrator	Fire Dept/District	Low (Could be high once action is decided and implemented)	Local (FEMA HMA and local match for implementation of action)	Ongoing
TMI-14	Investigate and implement mitigation actions to mitigate flooding Sherriff's Dept – Civil Div which is located in the flood hazard area.	Existing	Flood, Severe Storm	1, 3	1-1, 3- 4, 3-6	NFIP Floodplain Administrator	Sherriff Dept.	Low (Could be high once action is decided and implemented	Local (FEMA HMA and local match for implementation of action)	Ongoing
TMI-15	Rehabilitate Town Hall, , inclusive of a full HVAC system renovation and roof reconstruction. Due to the extensive nature of this work, additional rehabilitation/renovation work would occur including ADA code compliance upgrade, Fire Code improvements and arrangement of the usable space to provide improved efficiency in Town Hall operations.	Existing	All Hazards	1,3	1-1, 3- 6	Town of Milton Town Board	N/A	High	Municipal Bonding and/or budget reserves	Short Term
TMI-16	Remove and replace Town Highway Department Complex buildings in their entirety at the same or similar location within the Town's municipal complex to ensure success of operations.	Existing	All Hazards	1, 3	1-1, 1- 4, 3-6	Town of Milton Town Board	N/A	High	Municipal Bonding and/or budget reserves	Short Term

Initiative	Mitigation Initiative	Applies to New and/or Existing Structures*	Hazard(s) Mitigated	Goals Met	Objectives Met	-ead	Support	Estimated Cost	Sources of Funding	Timeline
<u> </u>	2 5	A A St	ΞZ	G	0		S	ш	ωп	H

*Does this mitigation initiative reduce the effects of hazards on new and/or existing buildings and/or infrastructure? Notes: Short term = 1 to 5 years; Long Term= 5 years or greater; OG = Ongoing program; DOF = Depending on funding; NA = Not applicable; PDM = Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant Program.

Analysis of Mitigation Actions

This table summarizes the participant's mitigation actions by hazard of concern and the six mitigation types to illustrate that the Town has selected a comprehensive range of actions/projects.

	Type of Mitigatio	ype of Mitigation Action								
Hazard of Concern	Prevention	Property Protection	Public Education and Awareness	Natural Resource Protection	Emergency Services	Structural Projects				
Drought	TMI-4, TMI-8, TMI-12	TMI-4, TMI-8	TMI-4, TMI-8	TMI-4, TMI-8	TMI-4, TMI-6, TMI-7, TMI-8	TMI-4, TMI-8, TMI-15, TMI-16				
Earthquake	TMI-4, TMI-8, TMI-12	TMI-4, TMI-8	TMI-4, TMI-8	TMI-4, TMI-8	TMI-4, TMI-6, TMI-7, TMI-8, TMI-11	TMI-4, TMI-8, TMI-15, TMI-16				
Extreme Temperatures	TMI-4, TMI-8, TMI-12	TMI-4, TMI-8	TMI-4, TMI-8	TMI-4, TMI-8	TMI-4, TMI-6, TMI-7, TMI-8	TMI-4, TMI-8, TMI-15, TMI-16				
Flooding (riverine, flash, coastal and urban flooding)	TMI-3, TMI-4, TMI-5, TMI-78 TMI-12	TMI-1, 2 TMI-3, TMI-4, TMI-5, TMI-8, TMI-13, TMI-14	TMI-1, TMI-2, TMI-3, TMI-4, TMI-5, TMI-8	TMI-4, TMI-8	TMI-3, TMI-4, TMI-6, TMI-6, TMI-8, TMI-9, TMI-11	TMI-4, TMI-8, TMI-10, TMI-15, TMI-16				
Ground Failure	TMI-4, TMI-8, TMI-12	TMI-4, TMI-8	TMI-4, TMI-8	TMI-4, TMI-8	TMI-4, TMI-6, TMI-7, TMI-8, TMI-11	TMI-4, TMI-8, TMI-15, TMI-16				

Table 9.17-8 Analysis of Mitigation Actions of the Town of Milton

	Type of Mitigation Action									
Hazard of Concern	Prevention	Property Protection	Public Education and Awareness	Natural Resource Protection	Emergency Services	Structural Projects				
Invasive Species	TMI-4, TMI-8, TMI-12	TMI-4, TMI-8	TMI-4, TMI-8	TMI-4, TMI-8	TMI-4, TMI-6, TMI-7, TMI-8	TMI-4, TMI-8, TMI-15, TMI-16				
Severe Storms (windstorms, thunderstorms, hail, lightning and tornados)	TMI-3, TMI-4, TMI-5, TMI-78 TMI-12	TMI-1, TMI-2 TMI-3, TMI-4, TMI-5, TMI-8, TMI-13, TMI-14	TMI-1, TMI-2, TMI-3, TMI-4, TMI-5, TMI-8	TMI-4, TMI-8	TMI-3, TMI-4, TMI-6, TMI-7, TMI-8, TMI-9	TMI-4, TMI-8, TMI-15, TMI-16				
Severe Winter Storm (heavy snow, blizzards, ice storms)	TMI-4, TMI-8, TMI-12	TMI-4, TMI-8	TMI-4, TMI-8	TMI-4, TMI-8	TMI-4, TMI-6, TMI-7, TMI-8, TMI-11	TMI-4, TMI-8, TMI-15, TMI-16				
Wildfire	TMI-4, TMI-8, TMI-12	TMI-4, TMI-8	TMI-4, TMI-8	TMI-4, TMI-8	TMI-4, TMI-6, TMI-7, TMI-8	TMI-4, TMI-8, TMI-15, TMI-16				

Notes:

1. **Prevention:** Government, administrative or regulatory actions or processes that influence the way land and buildings are developed and built. These actions also include public activities to reduce hazard losses. Examples include planning and zoning, floodplain local laws, capital improvement programs, open space preservation, and storm water management regulations.

2. **Property Protection:** Actions that involve (1) modification of existing buildings or structures to protect them from a hazard or (2) removal of the structures from the hazard area. Examples include acquisition, elevation, relocation, structural retrofits, storm shutters, and shatter-resistant glass.

3. **Public Education and Awareness:** Actions to inform and educate citizens, elected officials, and property owners about hazards and potential ways to mitigate them. Such actions include outreach projects, real estate disclosure, hazard information centers, and school-age and adult education programs.

4. **Natural Resource Protection:** Actions that minimize hazard loss and also preserve or restore the functions of natural systems. These actions include sediment and erosion control, stream corridor restoration, watershed management, forest and vegetation management, and wetland restoration and preservation.

5. **Emergency Services:** Actions that protect people and property, during and immediately following, a disaster or hazard event. Services include warning systems, emergency response services, and the protection of essential facilities.

6. Structural Projects: Actions that involve the construction of structures to reduce the impact of a hazard. Such structures include dams, setback levees, floodwalls, retaining walls, and safe rooms.

Prioritization of Mitigation Initiatives

Initiative #	# of Objectives Met	Benefits	Costs	Do Benefits equal or exceed Costs? (Yes or No)	ls project Grant eligible? (Yes or No)	Can Project be funded under existing programs/budgets? (Yes or No)	* Priority (High, Med., Low)
TMI-1 TMI-2	8	H H	H	Y Y	Y Y	N	M-H*
	8	M	H	Y Y	ř N	N Y	M-H* H
TMI-3 TMI-4	28	M	M	Y	N (Yes for 5-year update)	Y	Н
TMI-5	11	L	L	Y	Ν	Y	Н
TMI-6	5	М	L	Y	N	Y	М
TMI-7	35	М	L	Y	N	Y	Н
TMI-8	28	Н	L-M	Y	Dependent on specific initiative	Dependent on specific initiative	M-H (dependent)
TMI-9	6	М	M-L	Y	Y	Y (local match)	М
TMI-10	3	М	М	Y	Y	Y (local match)	М
TMI-11	6	М	М	Y	Y	Y (local match)	М
TMI-12	6	М	М	Y	N	Y	Н
TMI-13	3	M-H	L	Y	Dependent on specific initiative	Dependent on specific initiative	M-H (dependent)
TMI-14	3	M-H	L	Y	Dependent on specific initiative	Dependent on specific initiative	M-H (dependent)
TMI-15	3	Н	Н	Y	N	Y	М
TMI-16	3	Н	H Im N = No	Y	N	Y	М

Table 9.17-9 Prioritization of Mitigation Initiatives of the Town of Milton

Notes: H = High. L = Low. M = Medium. N = No. N/A = Not applicable. Y = Yes.

*This initiative has a Medium priority based on the prioritization scheme used in this planning process (implementation based on grant funding), however it is recognized that addressing repetitive and severe repetitive loss properties is considered a high priority by Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and NYS Division of Homeland Security and Emergency Services (NYS DHSES) (as expressed in the State HMP), and thus shall be considered a High priority for all participants in the planning process.

Explanation of Priorities

 High Priority - A project that meets multiple objectives (i.e., multiple hazards), benefits exceeds cost, has funding secured or is an on-going project and project meets eligibility requirements for the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP) or Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant Program (PDM) programs. High priority projects can be completed in the short term (1 to 5 years).

- Medium Priority A project that meets goals and objectives, benefits exceeds costs, funding has not been secured but project is grant eligible under, HMGP, PDM or other grant programs. Project can be completed in the short term, once funding is completed. Medium priority projects will become high priority projects once funding is secured.
- Low Priority Any project that will mitigate the risk of a hazard, benefits do not exceed the costs or are difficult to quantify, funding has not been secured and project is not eligible for HMGP or PDM grant funding, and time line for completion is considered long term (1 to 10 years). Low priority projects may be eligible other sources of grant funding from other programs. A low priority project could become a high priority project once funding is secured as long as it could be completed in the short term.

Prioritization of initiatives was based on above definitions: Yes.

Prioritization of initiatives was based on parameters other than stated above: Not Applicable.

9.17.6 National Flood Insurance Program Compliance

The Town of Milton (Town) participates in the NFIP and draws on a number of capabilities to carry out program requirements. The Town maintains a number of jurisdictional ordinances that ensure all construction is appropriate for the areas considered at risk to flooding: NFIP Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance (Chapter 88 – Town Code 5/17/1995 – LL. 1-1995); a Stormwater Management Plan/Ordinance (Chapter 151 – Town Code 10/25/2006 – LL. 2 -2006) ; and Site Plan Review Requirements (Chapter 180 – Article 8).

The Town is staffed with professionals whose expertise supports a high standard of floodplain management. In addition to employing a floodplain administrator, included on Town staff are planners and engineers with knowledge of land development and land management practices; engineers or professionals trained in construction practices related to buildings and/or infrastructure; technical staff with an understanding of natural hazards; and emergency managers. Project review input from professionals serving in these technical positions provides guidance to property owners about how to build or rebuild in ways that minimize flood damage to persons and property.

The community also developed three mitigation actions to enhance NFIP program management. These include reviewing the vulnerability of facilities in hazard prone areas and determining the appropriate course of action (e.g., retrofitting vs relocation); reviewing the feasibility of becoming a member of the Community Rating System; and investigate and implement mitigation actions to mitigate flooding of the Sherriff's Department.

The town does not currently have any properties that have experienced repetitive loss (RL) or severe repetitive losses (SRL) from flood. The town will continue to proactively mitigate at-risk properties and monitor NFIP claims for RL and SRL properties.

9.17.7 Future Needs to Better Understand Risk/Vulnerability

None at this time.

9.17.8 Additional Comments

No additional comments at this time.

9.17.9 NYS Mitigation Action Forms

See next page.

Saratoga County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan

Name of Jurisdiction: Town of Milton

	NYS DHSES A	Action Worksheet								
Project Name:	Rehabilitate Town Hall									
Project Number:	TMI-15									
	Risk / V	ulnerability								
Hazard of Concern:										
Description of the Problem:	The Town Hall serves as a shelter in place as well as primary emergency coordinate center for the Town of Milton. The principal building dates to the late 1960s with various additional (Word?) occurring over the subsequent years. The current condition of many of the critical building systems are at or beyond their useful lives and could potentially suffer irreversible damage or breakdown either from a weather event or during normal functions. This includes many of the mechanical systems and roof. Should these systems become inoperable during a disaster event, the building would not serve its function as shelter in place or emergency coordination center. The building also does not meet current ADA code for accessibility, limiting access to all members of the public during a natural disaster.									
	Action or Project Intended for Implementation									
Description of the Solution:	To undergo substantial renovation and rehabili reconstruction. Due to the extensive nature of ADA code compliance upgrade, Fire Code imp efficiency in Town Hall operations.	this work, additional rehabilitation/re	novation work would occur including							
Is this proje	ct related to a Critical Facility?	Yes X	No							
	ect must intend to protect to the 500-year flood	l event or the actual worst damage s	cenario, whichever is greater.)							
Level of Protection:	Project outside of any mapped floodplains or floodways	Estimated Benefits	Ensure shelter in place and emergency response center remaining operational							
Useful Life:	No less than 30 years	(losses avoided):	during event							
Estimated Cost:	\$4,000,000									
	Plan for In	plementation								
Prioritization:		Desired Timeframe for Implementation:	Within the next 2 years							
Estimated Time Required for Project Implementation:	3 years	Potential Funding Sources:	Municipal bonding and/or budget reserves							
Responsible Organization:	Town of Milton Town Board	Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation, if any:	The appointed building committee							
	Three Alternatives Consid	dered (including No Action)								
	Action	Estimated Cost	Evaluation							
	No Action	\$0								
Alternatives:	Remove and reconstruct new	\$8,000,000	Pro: Would provide new facility to better meet both emergency response needs and general needs of Town Con: Would require temporary swing space to maintain town operations during construction							
	Construct new at alternative site	\$12,000,000	Pro: Would provide new facility to better meet both emergency response needs and general needs of Town Con: The additional costs for land acquisition and site preparation are largely unknown							
	Progress Report (f	or plan maintenance)								
Date of Status Report:		* ,								
Report of Progress:										
Update Evaluation of the Problem and/or Solution:										

Saratoga County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan

Name of Jurisdiction: Town of Milton

Description of the Problem: an emergency event or natural disaster are critical. The garage/office is a pre-manifactured steel building and the office function as intended. Action or Project Intended for Implementation Description of the Solution: No Description of the Solution: No Is this project related to a Critical Facility? Yes X No Level of Protection: Project state of any mapped floodplains or floodways Estimated Benefits (losses avoided): Ensure shelter in place and emergen response center remaining operation during event Prioritization: Points that of any mapped floodplains or floodways Estimated Benefits (losses avoided): Municipal bonding and/or budget reserves Prioritization: Is this project must intend to row of any mapped floodplains or floodways Point Implementation Within the next 2 years Prioritization: Is any construction Point of Implementation: Municipal bonding and/or budget reserves Required for Project Implementation: Town of Milton Town Board Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation; if any: The appointed building committee to be Construct new at alternative site Alternatives: Or and Milton Town Board Solution Solution Solution Solution Solution Solut timesolution Solution Solution Solution Solution Solut timesolut	NYS DHSES Action Worksheet										
Bisk / Vulnerability Hazard of Concern: All Hazards The Town Highway Department Complex is composed of several buildings. The Garage/Office and Storage Garage and Offices provide critical Auction and storage space for much of the Highway Department. To maintain these functions and upper critical auction and storage space for much of the Highway Department. To maintain the effice a pole barn, both facilities are beyond their useful lives with inadequate space and mechanical systems to continue to function as intended. Description of the Action or Project Intended for Implementation Remove and replace each building in their entirety at the same or similar location within the Town's municipal complex. Obscillation: No Image: State of the Sta											
Huzard of Concern: All Hazards The Town Highway Department Complex is composed of several buildings. The Garage/Office and Storage Garage and Offices pole provide critical function and storage space for much of the Highway Department. To maintain these functions during an emergency event or natural disaster are critical. The garage/office is a pre-manufacture steel building and the office and storage space for much of the Highway Department. To maintain these functions during the office is a pre-manufacture steel building and the office and storage space for much of the Highway Department. To maintain these functions as intended. Description of the Solution: Action or Project Intended for Implementation Remove and replace each building in their entirety at the same or similar location within the Town's municipal complex. Remove and replace each building in their entirety at the same or similar location within the Town's municipal complex. Level of Protection: Frequent coulds of any mapped floodplains or foodways Level of Protection: Frequent coulds of any mapped floodplains or foodways Estimated Cost: § 30,000,000 (neudes soft cost) Estimated Time, Responsible Or point of Milton Town Board Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation. Prioritization: Insplementation: Solution: Solution stort ferm whilty building committee to Solution stort ferm whilty provide some mignet would building control to will building control to a solution stort ferm whilty building contresteed cost indignable. Prior	Project Number:	TMI-16									
Instant of Concentric The Town Highway Department Complex is composed of several buildings. The Garage/Office and Storage Garage and Offices provide critical function and storage space for much of the Highway Department. To maintain these functions at function and storage of the much of the Highway Department. To maintain these functions at function and storage space for much of the Highway Department. To maintain these functions at function and storage space for much of the Highway Department. To maintain these functions at function as intended. Description of the problem: Action or Project Intended for Implementation Remove and replace each building in their entirety at the same or similar location within the Town's municipal complex. Description of the Solution: Project rust intend to protect to the 500-year flood event or the actual worst damage scenario, whichever is greater.) Level of Project outside of any mapped floodplains or Itop and the actual morts damage scenario, whichever is greater. Ensure sheller in place and emergen during operation. Usbrit Life: No issues than 30 years Estimated Benefits: Ensure sheller in place and emergen during operation. Usbrit Life: No issues than 30 years Desired Timeframe for Implementation: Within the next 2 years Prioritization: If years Potential Funding Sources: Municipal bonding and/or budget reserves Required for Project Time Alternatives Considered (including No Action) The appointed building committee to the solution store and the soluton stor	•										
Description of the problem: Offices provide critical function and storage space for much of the Highway Department. To maintain these functions due office a pole barn; both facilities are period. The garage/office is a pre-manufactured steeb building and the office a pole barn; both facilities are beyond their useful lives with inadequate space and mechanical systems to continue to function as intended. Action or Project Intended for Implementation Description of the Solution: Remove and replace each building in their entirety at the same or similar location within the Town's municipal complex. Description of the Solution: Solution: Is this project related to a Critical Facility? Yeis X No Implementation Project outside of any mapped floodplains of floodways Cliftical Facility? Yeis X No Implementation Project outside of any mapped floodplains of floodways Estimated Benefits (losses avoided): Estimated Cost: \$3.00.000 (noucles soft cost) Project outside of any mapped floodplains of floodplains of the Solution: Within the next 2 years Project musi net floodplains of the Solution: Veload for timplementation: Withi	Hazard of Concern:	All Hazards									
Description of the Solution: Remove and replace each building in their entirety at the same or similar location within the Town's municipal complex. Description of the Solution: Is this project related to a Critical Facility? Yes X No Is Is this project related to a Critical Facility? Yes X No Is Is Is this project nust intend to protect to the 500-year flood event or the actual worst damage scenario, whichever is greater.) Level of Protection: Project outside of any mapped floodplains or floodways Estimated Benefits (losses avoided): Ensure shelter in place and emergenersons conter remaining operation during event Useful Life: No less than 30 years Estimated Benefits (losses avoided): Ensure shelter in place and emergenersons conter remaining operation during event Prioritization: Desired Timeframe for Implementation: Ensure shelter in place and emergenersons conter remaining operation during event Municipal bonding event Responsible Organization: Town of Milton Town Board Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation, fany: Municipal bonding and/or budget Alternatives: Action \$0 Pro: Would provide some mprovements at decreased cost Con: Would on provide some mprovements at decreased cost Con: Would on provide some mouluton soft tems solution still teaving and general needs of Town		Offices provide critical function and storage space for much of the Highway Department. To maintain these functions during in emergency event or natural disaster are critical. The garage/office is a pre-manufactured steel building and the office is pole barn; both facilities are beyond their useful lives with inadequate space and mechanical systems to continue to									
Description of the solution: Is this project related to a Critical Facility? Yes X No Is this project must intend to protect to the 500-year flood event or the actual worst damage scenario, whichever is greater.) Level of Protection: Project uuside of any mapped floodplains or floodways Ensure sheller in place and emergen response center remaining operation. during event Useful Life: No less than 30 years Estimated Benefits (losses avoided): Ensure sheller in place and emergen response center remaining operation. during event Prioritization: Plan for Implementation Estimated Time for Implementation: Within the next 2 years Required for Project Potential Funding Sources: Besrves Municipal bonding and/or budget reserves Implementation: Incal Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation; The appointed building committee to be Used in Implementation; Responsible Town of Milton Town Board Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation; The appointed building committee to be Used in Implementation; Alternatives: Complete minimal critical maintenance \$500,000 Pro: Would provide some mprovements at decreased cost to Would not yorkels hort term solution stult leaving sources; Alternatives: Construct new at alternative site \$7,000,000 Pro: Would provides new fasite preparation are algeely unknown	Action or Project Intended for Implementation										
Description of the solution: Is this project related to a Critical Facility? Yes X No Is this project must intend to protect to the 500-year flood event or the actual worst damage scenario, whichever is greater.) Level of Protection: Project uuside of any mapped floodplains or floodways Ensure sheller in place and emergen response center remaining operation. during event Useful Life: No less than 30 years Estimated Benefits (losses avoided): Ensure sheller in place and emergen response center remaining operation. during event Prioritization: Plan for Implementation Estimated Time for Implementation: Within the next 2 years Required for Project Potential Funding Sources: Besrves Municipal bonding and/or budget reserves Implementation: Incal Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation; The appointed building committee to be Used in Implementation; Responsible Town of Milton Town Board Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation; The appointed building committee to be Used in Implementation; Alternatives: Complete minimal critical maintenance \$500,000 Pro: Would provide some mprovements at decreased cost to Would not yorkels hort term solution stult leaving sources; Alternatives: Construct new at alternative site \$7,000,000 Pro: Would provides new fasite preparation are algeely unknown											
If yes, this project related to a Critean Tachity. If yes, this project related to a Critean Tachity. It over of Protection: Project outside of any mapped floodplains or floodways Estimated Benefits (losses avoided): Ensure sheller in place and emergen response center remaining operation during event Useful Life: No less than 30 years Estimated Benefits (losses avoided): Ensure sheller in place and emergen response center remaining operation during event Useful Life: No less than 30 years Desired Timeframe for Implementation: Within the next 2 years Prioritization: 2 years Potential Funding Sources: Within the next 2 years Required for Project Town of Milton Town Board Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation; if any: The appointed building committee Alternatives: Action \$0 S0 Pro: Would provide some mprovements at decreased cost Con: Would only provide short term solution and site preparation are argely unknown Alternatives: Construct new at alternative site \$7,000,000 Pro: Would provide short term argency response cent and site preparation are argely unknown Progress Report of Progress: Progress Report (for plan maintenance) Progress Report (for plan maintenance) <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>											
Level of Protection: Project outside of any mapped floodplains or floodways Estimated Benefits (losses avoided): Ensure shelter in place and emergen depondent of the sponse center remaining operation during event Useful Life: No less than 30 years Estimated Benefits (losses avoided): Ensure shelter in place and emergen depondent of the sponse center remaining operation during event Prioritization: Plan for Implementation Within the next 2 years Required for Project 2 years Potential Funding Sources: Municipal bonding and/or budget reserves Responsible Organization: Town of Milton Town Board Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation, if any: The appointed building committee Atternatives: Action Estimated Cost Evaluation Alternatives: Complete minimal critical maintenance \$500,000 Pro: Would provide some improvements at decreased cost Con: Would only provide short term solution stoll teaving solution stoll teaving solution stoll teaving solution and store response need and general needs of Town Con: The additional costs for land acquisition and store preparation are largely unknown Alternatives: Progress Report (for plan maintenance) Pro: Would provide new facility to bet meet of mergen need and general needs of Town Con: The additional costs for land acquisition and site preparation are largely unknown	Is this proje	ect related to a Critical Facility?	Yes X	No							
Level of Protection: Project outside of any mapped floodplains or floodways Estimated Benefits (losses avoided): Ensure shelter in place and emergen desponse center remaining operation during event Useful Life: No less than 30 years Estimated Benefits (losses avoided): Ensure shelter in place and emergen desponse center remaining operation during event Estimated Cost: \$3,000,000 (incudes soft cost) Plan for Implementation Within the next 2 years Prioritization: 2 2 years Potential Funding Sources: Municipal bonding and/or budget reserves Required for Project Town of Milton Town Board Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation, if any: The appointed building committee Alternatives: Action S0 Pro: Would provide some mprovements at decreased cost Con: Would provide some mprovements at decreased cost con: Would on provide short term solution soft term solution stoll teaking unknown Alternatives: Construct new at alternative site \$7,000,000 Pro: Would provide soft for and acquisitor and set preparation are largely unknown Date of Status Report: Progress Progress Progress:		•	l event or the actual worst damage	scenario, whichever is greater.)							
Plan for Implementation Prioritization: Desired Timeframe for Implementation: Within the next 2 years Estimated Time Required for Project Implementation: 2 years Potential Funding Sources: Municipal bonding and/or budget reserves Responsible Organization: Town of Milton Town Board Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation, if any: The appointed building committee Alternatives: Action Estimated Cost Evaluation Action S00 Pro: Would provide some improvements at decreased cost Con: Would only provide short term solution short term solution shill leavin slignificant upgrades. Provides least level of protection. Construct new at alternative site \$7,000,000 Pro: Would provide new facility to bet meet both emergency response need and general needs of Town Con: The additional costs for land acquisition and site preparation are largely unknown Date of Status Report: Progress Report (for plan maintenance)	Useful Life:	floodways No less than 30 years		Ensure shelter in place and emergency response center remaining operational during event							
Prioritization: Desired Timeframe for Implementation: Within the next 2 years Estimated Time Required for Project 2 years Potential Funding Sources: Reserves Responsible Organization: Town of Milton Town Board Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation, if any: The appointed building committee Alternatives: Action Estimated Cost Evaluation Action Estimated Cost Evaluation Complete minimal critical maintenance \$500,000 Pro: Would provide some improvements at decreased cost Con: Would only provide short term solution short term solution still leavin significant upgrades. Provides least level of protection. Construct new at alternative site \$7,000,000 Pro: Would provide new facility to bet meet both emergency response need and general needs of Town Con: The additional costs for land acquisition and site preparation are largely unknown Progress Report (for plan maintenance) Progress:	Estimated Cost:										
Prortitization: Implementation: Municipal bonding and/or budget Estimated Time Required for Project Implementation: Potential Funding Sources: Municipal bonding and/or budget Responsible Organization: Town of Milton Town Board Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation, if any: The appointed building committee Municipal bonding and/or budget Responsible Organization: Town of Milton Town Board Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation, if any: The appointed building committee Action Estimated Cost Evaluation Action \$0 Pro: Would provide some mprovements at decreased cost Convolution stuli leavin solution struct term solution stuli term solution struct new at alternative site \$7,000,000 Pro: Would provide new facility to bet meet both emergency response need and general needs of Town Con: The additional costs for land acquisition and site preparation are argely unknown Progress Report (for plan maintenance) Date of Status Report: Report of Progress: Frogress:		Plan for In									
Required for Project Implementation: Potential Funding Sources: reserves Responsible Organization: Town of Milton Town Board Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation, if any: The appointed building committee Three Alternatives Considered (including No Action) Action Estimated Cost Evaluation No Action \$0 Pro: Would provide some improvements at decreased cost Complete minimal critical maintenance Alternatives: Complete minimal critical maintenance \$500,000 Pro: Would provide some improvements at decreased cost Construct new at alternative site \$7,000,000 Pro: Would provide new facility to bet meet both emergency response need and general needs of Town Con: The additional costs for land acquisition and site preparation are largely unknown Progress Report (for plan maintenance) Date of Status Report: Progress: Report of Progress:	Prioritization:			Within the next 2 years							
Responsible Organization: to be Used in Implementation, if any: Implementation, if any: Three Alternatives Considered (including No Action) Action Estimated Cost Evaluation Action S0 Pro: Would provide some improvements at decreased cost Consplete minimal critical maintenance \$500,000 Alternatives: Complete minimal critical maintenance \$500,000 Pro: Would provide some improvements at decreased cost Con: Would only provide short term solution short term solution short term solution short term solution short term solution short term solution short term solution short term solution and provide new facility to bet meet both emergency response need and general needs of Town Con: The additional costs for land acquisition and site preparation are jargely unknown Progress Report (for plan maintenance) Date of Status Report: Report of Progress:	Required for Project	2 years	Potential Funding Sources:								
Action Estimated Cost Evaluation No Action \$0 \$0 \$0 Alternatives: Complete minimal critical maintenance \$500,000 Pro: Would provide some improvements at decreased cost Con: Would only provide short term solution short term solution short term solution still leavin significant upgrades. Provides least level of protection. Construct new at alternative site \$7,000,000 Pro: Would provide new facility to bet meet both emergency response need and general needs of Town Con: The additional costs for land acquisition and site preparation are largely unknown Progress Report (for plan maintenance) Progress:		Town of Milton Town Board	to be Used in Implementation,	The appointed building committee							
Alternatives: No Action \$0 Alternatives: Complete minimal critical maintenance \$500,000 Pro: Would provide some improvements at decreased cost Con: Would only provide short term solution short term solution still leavin significant upgrades. Provides least level of protection. Construct new at alternative site \$7,000,000 Pro: Would provide new facility to bet meet both emergency response need and general needs of Town Con: The additional costs for land acquisition and site preparation are largely unknown Date of Status Report: Progress Progress Report of Progress: Image: Progress report (for plan maintenance)		Three Alternatives Consi	dered (including No Action)								
Alternatives: Complete minimal critical maintenance \$500,000 Pro: Would provide some improvements at decreased cost Con: Would only provide short term solution still leavin significant upgrades. Provides least level of protection. Construct new at alternative site \$7,000,000 Pro: Would provide new facility to bet meet both emergency response need and general needs of Town Con: The additional costs for land acquisition and site preparation are largely unknown Date of Status Report: Progress For year and the progress: Report of Progress: Image: Progress report (for plan maintenance)				Evaluation							
Alternatives: improvements at decreased cost Con: Would only provide short term solution short term solution and general needs of Town Con: The additional costs for land acquisition and site preparation are largely unknown Date of Status Progress: Progress: Progressin term solution solution solutis term solution		No Action	\$0								
meet both emergency response need and general needs of Town Con: The additional costs for land acquisition and site preparation are largely unknown Date of Status Report: Report of Progress:	Alternatives:	Complete minimal critical maintenance	\$500,000	improvements at decreased cost Con: Would only provide short term solution short term solution still leaving significant upgrades. Provides least							
Date of Status Report: Report of Progress:		Construct new at alternative site	\$7,000,000	Con: The additional costs for land acquisition and site preparation are							
Report: Report of Progress:		Progress Report (for plan maintenance)									
Report of Progress:											
In 1-to Exclusion of	Report of Progress:										
Update Evaluation of the Problem and/or Solution:											

2017 New York State Hazard Mitigation Planning Standards Guide